

Transition Issues

Presentation to FORA BOARD

November 17, 2017

Steve Endsley, Assistant Executive Officer Sheri Damon, Prevailing Wage/Risk Coordinator

Overview



- 2nd Vote
- Clarification-Questions
 - Community Facilities District (CFD)
 - Administrative Liabilities (CalPERS)
 - Frequently Asked Questions



2nd Vote



- Create single entity successor (JPA)
- Seek extension of CFD/powers for successor
- Utilize Implementation
 Agreement/Percentage assignment for
 jurisdiction's fair and equitable contribution
 to successor to complete FORA program

Funding Obligations



Revenues

Land Sales/ Property Tax/? \$57.5M

> CFD (Proposed) \$51M

CFD (Entitled) \$71M

\$179.5 M

Expenses

Transportation \$115.5M

HCP \$46.2M

Water \$17.8M

\$179.5 M

CFD Extension Options



1. There must be a language mistake on the end date of the CFD, do we even need legislation?

A: Yes.

CFD Language



"Per Section 53321(d) of the Act, Special Taxes shall not be levied after Fiscal Year 2013-14 or the termination of FORA, whichever is later, but in no circumstances shall the Special Tax be levied later than calendar year 2051."

CFD Extension Options



1. Extend FORA Act

A. **Extend and Amend** FORA Act to address community concerns, thus extending the current CFD by changing FORA's termination date.

For Example:

FORA Lite (only member jurisdictions)

FORA Shell (retaining financing powers and limited participation: reduced staffing)

Eliminate unanimous first vote rule?

Consistency Oversight Adjustment?

CFD Extension Options



- 1. Vote and Amend Mello Roos
- 2. Two Issues:
 - a. End Date of CFD. The end date ambiguity is found in the formation documents (Notice of Special Tax and Ordinance 02-01 and 05-01), it would most likely require a vote to fix the ambiguity and extend end date to 2051.
 - b. <u>Transfer Process</u>. If End Date is extended and FORA is dissolved, the Mello Roos statute must be amended to provide a transfer process from FORA to successor(s).

Sample Post-2020 Jurisdictional Obligation/Liability Allocations



		FORA Program			
	Future Buildout		(Buildout)	Voting	Admin
Carmel				8%	\$ 538,462
County	16%	\$	28,720,000	23%	\$ 1,615,385
CSUMB	0%	\$	-	0%	\$ -
Del Rey					
Oaks	13%	\$	23,335,000	8%	\$ 538,462
Marina	37%	\$	66,415,000	15%	\$ 1,076,923
Monterey	0%	\$	-	8%	\$ 538,462
Pacific					
Grove		\$	-	8%	\$ 538,462
Salinas		\$	-	8%	\$ 538,462
Sand City		\$	-	8%	\$ 538,462
Seaside	29%	\$	52,055,000	15%	\$ 1,076,923
UC MBEST	5%	\$	8,975,000	0%	\$ -
Totals	100%	\$ 1	179,500,000	100%	\$ 7,000,002

Sample Post-2020 Jurisdictional Administrative (CalPERS) Liability Allocations



	Vote %	\$1,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$0
Carmel	7.69%	\$76,923.08	\$538,461.54	\$0.00
County	23.08%	\$230,769.23	\$1,615,384.62	\$0.00
CSUMB	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Del Rey Oaks	7.69%	\$76,923.08	\$538,461.54	\$0.00
Marina	15.38%	\$153,846.15	\$1,076,923.08	\$0.00
Monterey	7.69%	\$76,923.08	\$538,461.54	\$0.00
Pacific Grove	7.69%	\$76,923.08	\$538,461.54	\$0.00
Salinas	7.69%	\$76,923.08	\$538,461.54	\$0.00
Sand City	7.69%	\$76,923.08	\$538,461.54	\$0.00
Seaside	15.38%	\$153,846.15	\$1,076,923.08	\$0.00
UC MBEST	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Frequently Asked Questions



Updated Frequently Asked Questions on FORA Transition Web Page

http://www.fora.org/TTF/Additional/FORA_TransitionPlanning-FAQs_Summary_102417.pdf

2nd Vote



- Create single entity successor (JPA)
- Seek extension of CFD/powers for successor
- Utilize Implementation
 Agreement/Percentage assignment for
 jurisdiction's fair and equitable contribution
 to successor to complete FORA program

Discussion



