To whom this may concern,
RE: commercial vehicle storage relocation Fort Org

This morning I was talking with a commercial truck driver / fisherman and share common viewpoint. Reasonable rates for vehicle storage spaces are needed in the Monterey Bay region. I would like to lease land for this business at reduce rates for commercial vehicles and boats/trailers in good condition. Salt air has taken many trailers /brake lines dump fluid at moss landing dry storage is not good for long term storage. Other have longer drives raising expenses. Fees would be collected for commercial fence, on-site security-me, dog, insurance, gravel entrance/ larger vehicles, fire break maintenance, other. Please consider this request for long term lease and property. I see that it would take years to establish vehicles and to make costly improvements. Because of expenses relating to lease I would be willing to do a 30-70 / on-site manager self employment fees "David"- Fort Org environment land improvement for conservation. Percent profit share and board review of the expenses subject to yearly review. Fire and security and other related expenses. I have a trailer which I plan to move soon.

thank you,
david turner.

Open to emails turner@mail2dave.com
(805) 403- 6317 message only ans once week? appointments for lease only thanks david turner
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FORA Board Members,

Last year, the FORA Executive Committee established the board@fora.org email address to provide the public with a way to submit correspondence directly to the Board. It was decided that only the FORA voting Board members would be included on the distribution list. However, in response to recent requests, the FORA Executive Committee has directed staff to expand the distribution list to include the email addresses of ex-officio Board members and all FORA Board member alternates. If you received this email, you are either a FORA Board member or a FORA Board alternate, or you are designated to receive mail on their behalf.

The board@fora.org email address was created to increase access to elected officials and provide a convenient way for the public to submit comments regarding FORA activities. This is not the appropriate venue for a back and forth dialogue with members of the public. Such dialogue can too easily result in a violation of the Brown Act. The easiest way to avoid this type of violation is to refrain from hitting “reply” to any emails directed to the Board as a whole. I personally administer this account and receive a copy of all emails sent to the Board email address. Please be assured that all correspondence is directed to the appropriate staff person and any necessary follow-up will be conducted in a timely manner.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,
Lena Spilman
Deputy Clerk/Executive Assistant
Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA)
920 2nd Avenue, Suite A | Marina, CA 93933
(831) 883-3672 | Fax: (831) 883-3675
www.fora.org

Find us on Facebook
From: Edith Frederick [ediesan115@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2013 3:38 PM
To: board
Subject: National Monument support group

Thank you for your consideration of the letter sent by the local National Monument support group.
I am out of town caring for my mother and am unable to sign nor be present at your Friday workshop.

On behalf of our 7th generation beyond us, thank you for maintaining the integrity of the natural land in your planning for the public's access to appreciate the ever changing beauty of this gift.

Respectfully,
Edith Frederick
retired teacher
Please share with all appropriate and interested parties.

Mountain biking is a destructive use of land that doesn't belong in any national preserve.

Bicycles should not be allowed in any natural area. They are inanimate objects and have no rights. There is also no right to mountain bike. That was settled in federal court in 1994: http://mjvande.nfshost.com/mtb10.htm. It's dishonest of mountain bikers to say that they don't have access to trails closed to bikes. They have EXACTLY the same access as everyone else -- ON FOOT! Why isn't that good enough for mountain bikers? They are all capable of walking....

A favorite myth of mountain bikers is that mountain biking is no more harmful to wildlife, people, and the environment than hiking, and that science supports that view. Of course, it's not true. To settle the matter once and for all, I read all of the research they cited, and wrote a review of the research on mountain biking impacts (see http://mjvande.nfshost.com/scb7.htm). I found that of the seven studies they cited, (1) all were written by mountain bikers, and (2) in every case, the authors misinterpreted their own data, in order to come to the conclusion that they favored. They also studiously avoided mentioning another scientific study (Wisdom et al) which did not favor mountain biking, and came to the opposite conclusions.

Those were all experimental studies. Two other studies (by White et al and by Jeff Marion) used a survey design, which is inherently incapable of answering that question (comparing hiking with mountain biking). I only mention them because mountain bikers often cite them, but scientifically, they are worthless.

Mountain biking accelerates erosion, creates V-shaped ruts, kills small animals and plants on and next to the trail, drives wildlife and other trail users out of the area, and, worst of all, teaches kids that the rough treatment of nature is okay (it's NOT!). What's good about THAT?

To see exactly what harm mountain biking does to the land, watch this 5-minute video: http://vimeo.com/48784297.


--

I am working on creating wildlife habitat that is off-limits to humans ("pure habitat"). Want to help? (I spent the previous 8 years fighting auto dependence and road construction.)

Please don't put a cell phone next to any part of your body that you are fond of!

http://mjvande.nfshost.com
Dear FORA Board members:

An important letter to the FORA Board from the Sierra Club is attached.

Sincerely,
Jane Haines
February 14, 2013

Board of Directors
Fort Ord Reuse Authority
920 2nd Avenue, Suite A
Marina, CA 93933

Dear FORA Board of Directors:

We are writing to inform you of FORA’s serious breach of the 1998 Sierra Club-FORA settlement agreement. We request your Board investigate this breach promptly and thereafter to remedy the breach voluntarily and to terminate any FORA employees who are responsible for the breach.

The 1998 Sierra Club-FORA settlement agreement provides that if FORA considers any amendment to Chapter 8 of the FORA Master Resolution, FORA shall give Sierra Club at least 30 days advance notice and that any such amendment shall be subject to CEQA review as a new project. (1998 settlement agreement recital #4.)

Sierra Club learned yesterday that on March 12, 2010, the FORA Board of Directors adopted Resolution #10-06 (copy attached). That resolution approves changes in the Master Resolution, including a change of wording in Chapter 8, Section 8.02.010(a). The change modifies Section 8.02.010(a) to change the criteria for disapproving general plan consistencies with the Base Reuse Plan from mandatory requirements for disapproval to discretionary choices. Specifically, it changes the word “shall” to “may” in specifying when FORA is required to disapprove a consistency determination. (See final page of the exhibit to Resolution #10-06.)

The mandatory criteria for disapproving consistency determinations is a major, material provision of the 1998 Sierra Club-FORA settlement agreement. We realize that lay members of FORA’s Board would not necessarily have understood the significance of Resolution #10-06. But certainly FORA’s attorney in March of 2010 would have understood it.

Sierra Club will consider legal action to remedy the breach. However before doing so, we are giving your Board an opportunity to voluntarily correct this situation.

Sincerely yours,

Jane Haines, member
Sierra Club FORA Subcommittee

Scott Waltz, member
Sierra Club FORA Subcommittee

...To explore, enjoy, preserve and protect the nation’s forests, waters, wildlife and wilderness...
RESOLUTION #10-06

Resolution of the Board of Directors of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority
Approving errata/corrections to the Master Resolution

WHEREAS, the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Board of Directors ("Authority") adopted the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Master Resolution ("Master Resolution") on March 14, 1997; and

WHEREAS, the Authority adopted changes or amendments to the Master Resolution on November 20, 1998, February 19, 1999, January 21, 2000, January 18 and February 8, 2002, April 16, 2004 and February 8, 2008; and

WHEREAS, Authority counsel noted some corrections that should be made to the amended Master Resolution; and

WHEREAS, the Authority Board reviewed these corrections on January 8 and March 12, 2010; and

WHEREAS, approving these corrections will result in better general understanding of the Master Resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Authority approves the corrections as appended hereto (as Exhibit 1) amending the Master Resolution to take effect from and after adoption of this resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on March 12, 2010, by the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Board of Directors by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

I, Ralph Rubio, Chair of the Board of Directors of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority of the County of Monterey, State of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an original order of the said Board of Directors duly made and entered in the minutes hereof in Item 6c, page ___ of the duly approved minutes dated March 12, 2010.

DATED: ___________________  BY: ___________________
Ralph Rubio
Chair, Board of Directors
Fort Ord Reuse Authority

ATTACHMENT A
To Item 6c
March 12, 2010 FORA Board Meeting
before the Board member, alternate, or ex-officio member may participate in Authority Board meetings.

2.01.040. SELECTION OF OFFICERS.

(a) The Authority’s officers will be elected from the Board and will serve a term of one year and may be reelected for no more than one consecutive additional term in the same office. Election of officers takes place at the close of the Authority’s first regular January meeting.

(b) The officers of the Authority will be a Chair, a First Vice-Chair, and a Second Vice-Chair. It is the policy of the Board that the officers of the Authority rotate on a regular basis among the members of the Board with the First Vice-Chair succeeding the Chair and the Second Vice-Chair succeeding the First Vice-Chair as vacancies occur. Such other officers as may be deemed necessary may be appointed by the Authority Board.

2.01.050. AUTHORITY OF CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIRS.

The Chair presides at all meetings of the Authority Board and may make or second any motion and present and discuss any matter as a member of the Board. If the Chair is absent or unable to act, the First Vice-Chair will serve until the Chair returns or is able to act and has all of the powers and duties of the Chair. If both the Chair and First Vice-Chair are absent or unable to act, the Second Vice-Chair will serve until the Chair or First Vice-Chair returns or is able to act and has all of the powers and duties of the Chair. If the Chair, First Vice-Chair, and Second Vice-Chair are absent or unable to act, Board will choose one of its number as the presiding officer.

2.01.060. ADDITIONAL DUTIES.

The officers of the Authority may perform such other duties as may be required by resolution or other action of the Authority.

Article 2.02. MEETINGS OF THE AUTHORITY BOARD

2.02.010. MEETINGS – TIME AND PLACE.

(a) The regular meetings of the Authority Board are held on the second Friday of each and every month at the Authority Offices, commencing at the hour of 3:30 pm, except as otherwise provided in this section.

(b) If any regular meeting day falls upon a holiday, the regular meeting of the Board will be held at the same place on the next Friday, which is not a holiday commencing at the same hour, in which event all hearings, applications, petitioners, and other matters before the Board are deemed to be and are automatically continued to the same hour on such Friday which is not a holiday.

(c) All meetings of the Authority Board are held in places accessible to persons, including persons with physical handicaps or disabilities.
necessary. The Executive Officer and/or the Controller of the Authority attend these meetings. The Finance Advisory Committee's duties will be as follows:

(a) Review and evaluate the annual budget of the Authority as presented by the Controller. Recommend action to the Executive Committee and the Authority Board, including parameters to staff compensation budgets.

(b) Review and evaluate the scope of services for the selection of the Authority auditor as prepared by the Controller. Comment, as appropriate, on modifications to the scope of services. Serve as an advisory selection committee to the Authority Board on the selection of the auditor. Review and evaluate the annual audit of the Authority financial statements as presented by the selected auditor.

(c) Consult with the Authority Administrative Committee, the Executive Officer, the Controller and/or Director of Planning and Finance, and advise and inform the Authority Board on proposed financing mechanisms to fund the obligations of the Authority. The Finance Advisory Committee will develop recommendations to the Authority Board for actions associated with its advice and information responsibilities.

Article 2.04. EXECUTIVE OFFICER

2.04.010. OFFICE CREATED.
The office of the Executive Officer is created and established, as provided in the Authority Act. The Executive Officer is appointed by the Authority Board wholly on the basis of his or her administrative and executive ability and qualifications and holds office for and during the pleasure of the Authority Board.

2.04.020. VACANT.

2.04.030. COMPENSATION.
The Executive Officer receives such compensation as the Board from time to time determines. In addition, the Executive Officer is reimbursed for all actual and necessary expenses incurred by him in the performance of his official duties.

2.04.040. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

(a) The Executive Officer is the administrative head of the Authority under the direction and control of the Authority Board except as otherwise provided in this Master Resolution. The Executive Officer is responsible for the efficient administration of all the affairs of the Authority, which are under the control of the Executive Officer. In addition to general powers of the Executive Officer as administrative head, and not as a limitation thereon, it is the duty of the Executive Officer and the Executive Officer has the powers set forth in the Authority Act and in the following sections.

(b) The Executive Officer has the following powers and duties:

FORA Master Resolution
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(f) No land use agency or any local agency may permit, approve, or otherwise allow any development or other change of use, or approve any development entitlement, for property within the territory of the Authority that is not consistent with the Reuse Plan.

(g) No land use agency may issue, approve, or otherwise allow any building permit until all applicable permits, development entitlements, and approvals required under law have been approved, including, but not limited to, the approvals and permits described and enumerated in Section 3.7 of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Reuse Plan.

(h) The Reuse Plan will be reviewed periodically at the discretion of the Authority Board. The Authority Board will perform a full reassessment, review, and consideration of the Reuse Plan and all mandatory elements as specified in the Authority Act prior to the allocation of an augmented water supply, or prior to the issuance of a building permit for the 6001st new residential dwelling unit (providing a total population of 35,000 persons) on the Fort Ord Territory or by January 1, 2013, whichever event occurs first. No more than 6000 new dwelling units will be permitted on the Fort Ord Territory until such reassessment, review, and consideration of the Reuse Plan has been prepared, reviewed, and adopted pursuant to the provisions of the Authority Act, the Master Resolution, and all applicable environmental laws. No development will be approved by FORA or any land use agency or local agency after the time specified in this subsection unless and until the water supplies, wastewater disposal, road capacity, and the infrastructure to supply these resources to serve such development have been identified, evaluated, assessed, and a plan for mitigation has been adopted as required by California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the Authority Act, the Master Resolution, and all applicable environmental laws.

(i) The failure of any persons or entity to receive notice given pursuant to this chapter does not constitute grounds for any court to invalidate the action on any legislative act or development entitlement pursuant to this chapter for which required notice was given.

(j) The Authority will record a notice on all property in the Fort Ord Territory advising all current and future owners of property of the existence of the Reuse Plan and that development of such property is limited by the Reuse Plan, the policies and programs of the Authority, including the Master Resolution, and/or the constraints on development identified in the Reuse Plan, including lack of available water supply, wastewater and solid waste disposal capacity, and inadequate transportation and other services and infrastructure.

(k) In the event the Authority receives, purchases, or acquires, by any means, fee interest title to property within the Fort Ord Territory, the Authority will record a covenant running with the land advising all future owners of such property that development and use of the property is subject to the Reuse Plan and that development of such property is limited by the Reuse Plan, the policies and programs of the Authority.
Article 8.02. CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION CRITERIA

8.02.010. LEGISLATIVE LAND USE DECISION CONSISTENCY.

(a) In the review, evaluation, and determination of consistency regarding legislative land use decisions, the Authority Board may disapprove any legislative land use decision for which there is substantial evidence supported by the record, that:

1. Provides a land use designation that allows more intense land uses than the uses permitted in the Reuse Plan for the affected territory;
2. Provides for a development more dense than the density of uses permitted in the Reuse Plan for the affected territory;
3. Is not in substantial conformance with applicable programs specified in the Reuse Plan and Section 8.02.020 of this Master Resolution;
4. Provides uses which conflict or are incompatible with uses permitted or allowed in the Reuse Plan for the affected property or which conflict or are incompatible with open space, recreational, or habitat management areas within the jurisdiction of the Authority;
5. Does not require or otherwise provide for the financing and/or installation, construction, and maintenance of all infrastructure necessary to provide adequate public services to the property covered by the legislative land use decision; and
6. Does not require or otherwise provide for implementation of the Fort Ord Habitat Management Plan.

(b) FORA will not preclude the transfer of intensity of land use and/or density of development involving properties within the affected territory as long as the land use decision meets the overall intensity and density criteria of Sections 8.02.010(a)(1) and (2) above as long as the cumulative net density or intensity of the Fort Ord Territory is not increased.

(c) The Authority Board, in its discretion, may find a legislative land use decision is in substantial compliance with the Reuse Plan when the Authority Board finds that the applicant land use agency has demonstrated compliance with the provisions specified in this section and Section 8.020.020 of this Master Resolution.

8.02.020. SPECIFIC PROGRAMS AND MITIGATION MEASURES FOR INCLUSION IN LEGISLATIVE LAND USE DECISIONS.

(a) Prior to approving any development entitlements, each land use agency must act to protect natural resources and open spaces on Fort Ord Territory...
Dear FORA Board Members--
Please accept the attached letter regarding Base Reuse Plan policies that affect the Fort Ord National Monument. The letter is signed by many people who attended the most recent meeting (2/14/2013) of a "friends of" group that is forming to support and protect the National Monument and encourage recreation-based tourism.
Thank you for your consideration of these recommendations in your policy deliberations.

Sincerely,
Henrietta Stern and Kay Cline, co-leaders

----- Forwarded Message -----
To: "henri.stern@ymail.com" <henri.stern@ymail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 8:26 PM
Subject: Letter for FORA re Base Reuse Policies and FONM
February 14, 2013
Fort Ord Reuse Authority Board of Directors
910 Second Avenue
Marina, CA 93933

email: board@fora.org

Re: February 15, 2013 Board Workshop Post-Reassessment Policy Options

Dear FORA Board of Directors:

We are a group of local residents who have been meeting for the past six months to form a support group for the Fort Ord National Monument. We believe that the establishment of the National Monument is a great benefit to the prestige and economy of our community. We request your consideration of the following requests in connection with the “Category II” reassessment issues that your board will discuss at the Post-Reassessment Workshop on February 15, 2013.

1. The Base Reuse Plan (BRP) Land Use Map should be reconfigured to show the boundaries of the National Monument.

2. The BRP circulation-related maps, text, and Capital Improvement Program modifications should be coordinated with Bureau of Land Management circulation plans for the National Monument, including designation of roads, trails, and parking areas for ADA access, docents, electric vehicles, bicycling, equestrian, hiking, wildlife corridors, policing and emergency access and other purposes.

3. The following BRP programs should be implemented on those portions of the former Fort Ord that are outside the National Monument but subject to BRP consistency standards:

   a. Recreation/Open Space Land Use Objective A. (encourage land uses that respect, preserve and enhance the natural resources of Fort Ord). FORA should allow only those land uses which will not interfere with the preservation and enhancement of the 14,651 acres within the National Monument.

   b. Recreation/Open Space Land Use Objective D (retain open space to enhance the appearance of special areas that serve as primary gateways to the Fort Ord area). The multiple access points to the National Monument should be identified as early as possible; thereafter FORA and the land use jurisdictions should protect the access areas under their control against any construction that would detract from the National Monument’s recreational, scientific, educational, habitat protection and other purposes.

   c. Recreation/Open Space Land Use Objective D (coordinate open space and recreation land use in Monterey County with other affected agencies at the former Fort Ord, such as the Bureau of Land Management, the California Department of State Parks and Recreation, and the University of California.) FORA should initiate meetings at which the above-named agencies would send representatives to work out coordination agreements.

At future Post-Reassessment Workshops when “Category III” requests will be considered, we request FORA to implement the following 2012 EPS Market Study recommendations:

1. "Maximize the potential impact of the Fort Ord National Monument Designation. To be successful, the backlands of Fort Ord need to be attractive, safe, and accessible to a broad spectrum of visitors. Paths need to be improved to accommodate bicycle, pedestrian, and equestrian uses without conflict, and visitor amenities should be constructed according to a full master plan for the Monument, which will be prepared by Federal agencies as time and resources permit. Linkages to
key projects and other regional attractions will be an important element of future planning efforts.” (EPS Market Study pg. 13.)

2. “Engage in comprehensive marketing and branding effort. Whether led by the public or private sector, the appearance and perception of the base needs to be improved to support development and leverage the National Monument designation on portions of the former base.” (EPS Market Study pg. 13.)

3. “Improve interim transportation patterns and way-finding. … [T]he placement and timing of […] major improvements should continue to be studied to ensure compatibility with future opportunities brought about by the National Monument designation and the overall vision for base reuse.” (EPS Market Study p. 13.)

4. “Ensure that FORA or a similar organization is positioned to coordinate cross-cutting planning and development issues. … An expanded coordination for FORA or its successor would be based on the following considerations: ... (c.) An expanded role in overseeing marketing and branding of the former Fort Ord made particularly important by the recent National Monument designation, is needed....” (EPS Market Study p. 14.)

We believe that establishment of the Fort Ord National Monument was a landmark event in the history of Fort Ord which should be given major attention in your ongoing reassessment of the 1997 Base Reuse Plan. For more information about the National Monument support group which is forming, please contact co-leaders Henrietta Stern (henri.stern@ymail.com) and Kay Cline (kecline@sbcglobal.net)."

Sincerely yours,

Henrietta Stern

Susan Solomon

Erik Pfeiffer

Kay Cline

Cordy Smith

Catherine Cockett

Sue Hawthorne

Bud Miller

Laurie Leventhal

Shayna Campbell

Linda Steele

Catherine Hedges

Ralph Lowen

Seaside

Att Mr. Lefkovic

Montara

By Fort Camp

Seaside

Seaside

Seaside

Seaside

Marina

Seaside

Seaside

Seaside
Please find attached my comments that I would like to submit for your workshop today. I plan to attend and read them as well but wanted to get them in writing to you just in case.
February 15, 2013

To FOR A Board Members

Today as you, once again, take input from the public regarding the BRP, I am also once again, advocating for an appropriate approach to development in regard to wildlife corridors.

I would like to see a resolution by FOR A that commits all cities involved in land use under the BRP for preservation of wildlife corridors. This is important for wildlife survival given the expected level of human use of the trails and development, and the considerable wildlife population that has also been pushed west from the other side of Route 68 due to development. This should include:

A. An accurate study of current wildlife populations and corridors especially those that extend beyond the boundaries of the national monument and BLM lands going west, north and south, including those currently used to access the Dunes beach area and return.

B. A written agreement by all involved municipal and county entities to ensure all planning includes preservation of these access routes for wildlife via evidence based wildlife corridor preservation principles such as greenway overpasses or underpasses for roads, and contiguous preserved greenways that are already used by animals with sufficient width to ensure movement, food and reproduction.

Wildlife corridors are threatened worldwide, especially in the western US. While we may think of deer as so numerous they will adapt, a crash in the population is already occurring Colorado. We have to remember the environment also includes the living beings that inhabit it and they must be able to move just like we do. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan L. Schiavone, Seaside, CA
S.I.D. 000458223
Marvin Joseph Henderson
Social and Behavioral Science Major concentration Sociology
California State University Monterey Bay (CSUMB)

February 20, 2013

To Whom it may concern,
I am a student at CSUMB and I would like to know more about what F.O.R.A. does and how F.O.R.A. is relevant to me.
I want to know what type of positive impact F.O.R.A. has on the University.
Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Marvin Joseph Henderson
Dear Board of Directors,

I would like to ask that all development proposals to develop Monterey Downs be frozen until the Base Reuse Plan is revised. I would also like to ask that further work on Eastside Parkway be halted until the reason for the development is convincing.

Thank you,

Violet Highley
Howdy:

My dad was at fort ord in the 1960s, and I recently scanned some old photos of him (Ed Mughannam, see below).

If you have any use for these pictures, please feel free. Otherwise, just delete.

Also, FYI, his name on all military records is Adil Abdallah Mughannam.

Thanks,

albert mughannam
Casey De Fremery

http://www.restaurantevetula.es/lx/a2I5dh4u3iw/caxckbd0/iubgj.heq2o41h074xtadyktxb5xierpl