The Central Coast State Veterans Cemetery Foundation

Cordially Invites You

To A Ceremony Honoring Veterans

And

Presentation of a Site Marker

for the Central Coast State Veterans Cemetery

DATE: Thursday, March 11, 2010

TIME: 11:00 A.M.

LOCATION: Site of the future Central Coast State Veterans Cemetery

(Intersection of Normandy Road and Parker Flats Cut-Off)

PROGRAM:

Presentation of Colors: California Army National Guard

Welcome and Remarks

Presentation of Site Marker

Retirement of Colors

A light lunch will be provided immediately following the Ceremony

sponsored by American Legion Post 591 and the Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation
Driving Directions to the Veterans Cemetery Site

From Highway One North and South Bound:

Exit Highway One at the Main Exit (Light Fighter Drive). Proceed STRAIGHT AHEAD to General Jim Moore Boulevard.

Turn RIGHT onto General Jim Moore Boulevard and continue to the next intersection which will be Giggling Road.

Turn LEFT onto Giggling Road. Continue STRAIGHT AHEAD on Giggling to Parker Flats Cut-Off Road.

Turn RIGHT onto Parker Flats Cutoff and proceed to the intersection of Parker Flats Cut-Off Road and Normandy Road (Parker Flats Road)
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
Friday, March 12, 2010, at 3:30 p.m.
FORA Conference Facility/Bridge Center
201 13th Street, Building 2925, Marina (on the former Fort Ord)

AGENDA

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

4. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD: Members of the audience wishing to address the Board on matters within the jurisdiction of the Authority but not on the agenda may do so during the Public Comment Period. You may speak for a maximum of three minutes on any subject. Public comments on specific agenda items will be heard at the time the matter is being considered by the Board.

5. CONSENT AGENDA
   a. February 11, 2010 board meeting minutes

6. OLD BUSINESS
   a. University of California Monterey Bay Education, Science and Technology Center (UC MBEST) – status report/update
   b. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) grant award update and modification recommendations
   c. Fort Ord Reuse Authority Master Resolution: minor corrections

7. NEW BUSINESS
   a. AB 1791 (Monning) - tax increment assistance legislative adjustments:
      i. Approve Resolution #10-05 supporting AB 1791
      ii. Request for letters and/or resolutions of support from the jurisdictions

ACTION
INFORMATION
ACTION
ACTION
ACTION/ INFORMATION
8. EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S REPORT
   a. Administrative Committee report
   b. Executive Officer’s travel report
   c. Habitat Conservation Plan - status report
   d. ADMINISTRATIVE CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION
      FOR ENTITLEMENT: Marina’s Community Hospital of
      the Monterey Peninsula Project

9. ADJOURNMENT

(Information about items on this agenda is available at the FORA office at 100 12th Street, Building 2880, Marina, on
the former Fort Ord or by calling 831-883-3672 or by accessing the FORA website at www.fora.org.)
MINUTES
of the
FORT ORD REUSE AUTHORITY
BOARD OF DIRECTORS’ MEETING
Fort Ord Reuse Authority Conference Facility/Bridge Center
March 12, 2010

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Chair Ralph Rubio called the March 12, 2010 Board of Directors meeting to order at 3:30 p.m.
and requested a roll call.

Voting members present:

Chair/Mayor Rubio (City of Seaside)  Mayor Pendergrass (City of Sand City)
2nd Vice Chair/Councilmember McCall 1st Vice Chair/Supervisor Potter (County of
Supervisor Parker (County of Monterey) Monterey)
Mayor Edelen (City of Del Rey Oaks) Mayor McCloud (City of Carmel-by-the-Sea)
Councilmember Kampe (City of Pacific Grove) Jim Cook (County of Monterey)
Councilmember Gray (City of Marina) Councilmember Selfridge (City of Monterey)
Councilmember Mancini (City of Seaside)

Absent was Councilmember Barnes (City of Salinas). Alternate Jim Cook represented Supervisor
Calcagno.

Ex-Officio members present:

Noelle White (27th Assembly District) George Blumenthal (UCSC)
James Main (CSUMB) Debbie Hale (TAMC)
Vicki Nakamura (Monterey Peninsula College) COL Darcy Brewer (U.S. Army)
Rob Robinson (BRAC) Ken Nishi (Marina Coast Water District)

Absent were representatives from Monterey Peninsula Unified School District and the 15th State
Senate District. Alec Arago (17th Congressional District) and Hunter Harvath (Monterey-Salinas
Transit) arrived after the roll call had been completed.

With a quorum present Chair Rubio opened the meeting.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair Rubio led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

Chair Rubio welcomed Ms. White, who represented the 27th State Assembly District. He followed
with a brief report on yesterday’s Central Coast Veterans’ Cemetery site marker event. Chair
Rubio announced the groundbreaking celebration of the Monterey Peninsula College Education
Center at Marina on April 15th at 2:00 and Vicki Nakamura distributed invitation cards to all. She
said President Garrison would make a presentation about the project at next month’s board
meeting. Executive Officer Houlemand reminded the board members that their Forms 700 are due April 1st.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

An unidentified man expressed concerns about the lack of jobs in this area and the few jobs available are going to those who will take lower wages. He urged the FORA jurisdictions to enforce apprenticeships and finding mechanisms to hire locals and those with apprenticeship experience. Ron Chesshire from the Monterey/Santa Cruz Building Construction Trades Council stated that for the last three years the Council has been engaged in a lawsuit regarding payment of prevailing wages on former Fort Ord on behalf of FORA, which has been noticeably absent in supporting their efforts. He said current developers have been asking that the prevailing wage requirement be eliminated. He remarked that workers are protected by specific sections in FORA’s Master Resolution, the transfer deeds and the implementation agreements and asked if FORA could provide any help. He asserted that the Council would persist in pursuing this issue.

5. CONSENT AGENDA

Item 5a (February 11, 2010 board meeting minutes): Supervisor Parker said she had some non-substantive changes to "construction noise/ vibrations issues," Item 6bii under the General Jim Moore Boulevard road improvement project item and requested that her changes reflected clarifications to the text. There were no objections. Motion to approve February 11, 2010 board meeting minutes, including Supervisor Parker's changes, was made by Supervisor Parker, seconded by Councilmember Mancini, and carried.

6. OLD BUSINESS

Item 6a – University of California Monterey Bay Education, Science and Technology Center (UC MBEST) – status report/update: Executive Officer Houlemand provided some background information about the UC MBEST Center, noting that it has been a central component of reuse in supporting the regional emphasis on education. He called attention to Congressman Farr's letter dated 3/11/10 to Chair Rubio in which Mr. Farr expressed concerns about the university's apparent intention “to sell off the MBEST parcel to the highest bidder.”

Mr. Houlemand introduced UCSC Chancellor George Blumenthal, who spoke about the university’s re-examination of their reuse of their parcels on former Fort Ord. He said that the university’s priorities during its long-standing, sixteen-year working relationship with FORA had remained consistent with the promotion of its educational mission. He stated that the Fort Ord Natural Reserve must be maintained and the MBEST Center would continue to focus on economic development. A year ago, the university had selected a developer to move forward with these points in mind, however, current market conditions for developing research and development centers are no longer favorable. He reported that the campus leadership committee had advised continuing the MBEST Center as before but re-examining the other properties for other possible uses. Under discussion are selling the 8th Street parcel to acquire funds to build up the Center; continuing discussions with Monterey Institute of International Studies and Monterey County regarding the east campus parcel; pursuing other options for the west campus parcel and the central south campus with Marina.

He addressed Congressman Farr’s misunderstandings in the latter’s letter: (1) It is not the intention to “abandon the UC parcels” but to continue to focus academic research efforts on the
central north campus; (2) regarding “selling off to the highest bidder”: He replied yes, but the university will stay true to FORA’s guiding principles; (3) regarding the transfer of the Habitat Conservation Plan (“HCP”) responsibilities: He said the university plans to keep its commitment of the 600 acres of the HCP; (4) regarding obtaining cash from California land values: He responded that this is not realistic because of deed restrictions. Improvements to the land will benefit the future, and there is no justification to give the land away; (5) regarding the university’s efforts to obtain $8 million in earmarks: He replied that the university was a member of the University Association Consortium, which is seeking funding for infrastructure; and (6) Chancellor Blumenthal said his 2006 letter to then Assemblymember John Laird stated the university had no plans to sell its Fort Ord lands, but changes since then have occurred. Holding the land now would limit job creation, which is one of MBEST’s goals.

Mayor McCloud asked what the next steps or timeline were, and Chancellor Blumenthal replied, “continuing current discussions with the agencies.” Councilmember McCall said the City of Marina would like to cooperate with the university and assist in the process underway. Supervisor Potter said he appreciated the clarity of Chancellor Blumenthal’s presentation and asked that the university sit down with the county’s redevelopment agency, adding that the county has partners that might be interested in the new direction. Supervisor Parker also encouraged working with redevelopment agencies in the area, because the university’s parcels are very important for job generation. Alec Arago noted a “budding frustration” with the steps that could have been taken during the previous 16 years, but were not, but agreed the decline of the dot com boom had been a major deterrent. Chair Rubio remarked that 16 years is a long time to wait to move forward with development and asked if the university was committed to full participation in the HCP (yes). He added that a land give-away is not anticipated but suggested that a transfer model could be negotiated with the local jurisdictions. Mayor Edelen requested that progress reports about twice a year from UCSC be agendized for the Board. There were no public comments and Chair Rubio declared that the UC MBEST report had been received by the Board.

Item 6b – American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (“ARRA”) grant award update and modification recommendations: Executive Officer Houlé said an overview of the ARRA grant award and how it interrelates with FORA’s Capital Improvement Program (“CIP”). He called attention to the pie chart, which was a graphic replication of FORA’s CIP $110 million project investment by jurisdiction to date. He clarified that the amount included roads, habitat, storm drainage projects, building removal and purchase of wild land fire equipment. He stated that $60 million had been obtained from grants and $50 million provided by FORA’s portion of land sales, the Community Facilities District and tax increment.

He reported that FORA has entered into a grant contract for $12.6 million in road improvements on General Jim Moore Boulevard (“GJMB”). He said the original project included a number of road improvement items, some of which had to be value engineered out (removed) to bring project costs in line with initial estimates. When the bids came in lower than expected, restoring those items became a possibility. He said the object now is to pursue those items that could be restored and also be approved by the Economic Development Administration (“EDA”). He referred to the handout being distributed, which itemized the potential projects in categories along with their anticipated costs. Two categories were identified: Funds available for project adjustments and CIP/ARRA task force recommendations to the FORA Board. He said staff recommends all the items listed, adding that it’s not yet clear whether the three projects under deductive alternates would satisfy the ARRA requirements. He emphasized the following: (1) keep the funding local by knowing what Region IX EDA can and will agree to and approve; and (2) all projects must be construction-ready and completed within a specific window of time.
Mayor Edelen asked about the eligibility of a sound wall south of Coe Avenue, and Mr. Houlembard replied "likely." Mr. Edelen said the noise created by four lanes of traffic, particularly in the Del Rey Oaks section, would seem to support building this wall. Mr. Houlembard surmised that this section of the wall might have to be included in the GJMB Phase VI project. He emphasized to the Board that EDA would accept only one package from FORA; a piecemeal approach would not be acceptable, so the goal must be to include projects that will keep the dollars here. Councilmember McCall stated that the 8th Street project ($2.8 million for startup with a project total of $5 million) needs to happen, because it will benefit many jurisdictions. He made a motion to make it the top priority in the task force recommendation list, after the change order items, and to bump the other projects down. Councilmember Mancini seconded this motion.

Discussion of this project followed. Supervisor Parker was sympathetic to the motion but said all projects are important. When she said the EDA process was not clear to her, Mr. Houlembard explained that FORA must put the package together and submit it to EDA. In order to obtain EDA approval, each project must meet the conditions as specified under the original ARRA grant. He clarified that the 8th Street project may actually be two projects, which he described. To his knowledge, neither of these have had design work or environmental review yet. He also said all ARRA projects must be completed before FORA is eligible to apply for another round of grants, which makes the items included in the current package important. Supervisor Potter recommended the staff recommendation and placing the projects in the package without priorities to reduce the risk of losing dollars. He said it is critical to know the EDA criteria, because job creation is riding on grant funding. Councilmember Mancini withdrew his second to the previous motion. Mayor McCloud asked who the members of the task force were, and Mr. Houlembard replied that all jurisdictions are represented. Mayor McCloud supported taking the list back to the task force to come to a consensus. Mr. Houlembard added that board action on the project list is necessary in order to move forward. Chair Rubio recommended that all items removed from the initial project grant should be restored to assure that the grant funds stay local. Jim Cook remarked that delay by the Board increases the chance of losing dollars and supported directing the task force to reach a consensus. Councilmember Gray asked if all projects were approved by EDA, would FORA get to decide which ones to pursue? Mr. Houlembard replied that FORA only submits its list to the Seattle EDA office one time. If any project requires a change of match or scope, the final decision is made by the EDA Washington, DC, office, which is equivalent to their taking the dollars back. He suggested that the package wait consensus by the task force at their earliest convenience and a final package be sent to EDA as soon as possible. Councilmember McCall repeated his request to include the 8th Street project.

Councilmember Kampe recommended that staff prepare the list, based on Supervisor Potter's previously mentioned criteria/conditions for comparison purposes. He restated this as a motion, which was seconded by Supervisor Potter. A friendly amendment was accepted and added that the CIP/ARRA Task Force review the list and reach consensus. Chair Rubio expressed concern that if the 8th Street project remains on the list, something in the GJMB project might fall out. Discussion followed. Mayor McCloud asked for a 5-minute recess, which was supported. Requests to restate the motion came from Doug Yount and Linda Stiehl, Deputy Clerk. The final motion was that: (1) the staff recommendation to pursue the recommended modifications via the construction contract award, as listed on the handout distributed at the board meeting, be approved with one change, namely moving the 8th Street project to #5 and the South Boundary Road dropping to #6 in the deductive alternates list; (2) the CIP/ARRA Task Force review this list before sending it to EDA; (3) Supervisor Potter's criteria, based on known EDA criteria, be used as a guideline by the task force; and (4) the final package be submitted to EDA as soon as possible. This motion was approved unanimously.
Item 6c – Fort Ord Reuse Authority Master Resolution: minor corrections: Executive Officer Houlemand provided a brief overview of the item and recommended adoption of the staff recommendation. Mayor McCloud recommended that the Executive Officer's bonding requirement in Section 2.04.020 be reinstated. **Motion to adopt Resolution #10-06 approving minor corrections to the FORA Master Resolution, and reinstituting the requirement that the Executive Officer be bonded, was made by Councilmember Mancini, seconded by Supervisor Potter, and carried.**

Hunter Harvath left the meeting at this time and Mike Gallant, the alternate assumed his seat at the board table. Alec Arago also departed.

7. NEW BUSINESS

Item 7a – AB 1791 (Monning) – tax increment assistance legislative adjustments:

- Item 7ai – **Approve Resolution #10-05 supporting AB 1791** and
- Item 7aii – **Request letters and/or resolutions of support from the jurisdictions**:

Executive Officer commented that modification of tax increment law was in the approved 2010 Legislative Agenda and urged the jurisdictions to send representatives, preferably their electeds, to the Assembly Local Government Committee hearing on April 7th. **Motion to approve Resolution #10-05 supporting AB 1791 and request letters and/or resolutions of support from the jurisdictions was made by Councilmember Mancini and seconded by Supervisor Parker.** There were no public comments, and the motion carried.

8. EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S REPORT

There were four items in this report: Item 8a (Administrative Committee report), Item 8b (Executive Officer’s travel report), Item 8c (Habitat Conservation Plan – status report) and Item 8d – **ADMINISTRATIVE CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION FOR ENTITLEMENT**: Marina’s Community Hospital of the Monterey Peninsula Project. **Re Item 8c**: Executive Officer Houlemand reported considerable progress with all entities engaged, adding that significant differences remain to be resolved among the members regarding the voting and governance issues related to the joint powers authority. **Re Item 8d**: Mr. Houlemand reported that FORA had received no requests for appeals, so a hearing is not necessary. According to Section 8.02.030 of the FORA Master Resolution, he said this consistency determination is deemed approved.

COL Brewer announced that the ribbon cutting celebrating the groundbreaking and grand opening of The Parks was scheduled for 2:00 p.m. on April 9th. The location is 15th Infantry and 4th Streets. Invitations will be sent closer to the date of the event.

10. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chair Rubio adjourned the meeting at 5:15 p.m.

Minutes prepared by Linda Stiehl, Deputy Clerk

Approved by

Michael A. Houlemand, Jr., Executive Officer/Clerk
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## OLD BUSINESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>University of California Monterey Bay Education, Science and Technology Center (UC MBEST) – status report/update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date</td>
<td>March 12, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agenda Number</td>
<td>6a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RECOMMENDATION:

Receive a status report and update on the University of California Monterey Bay Education, Science and Technology Center ("UC MBEST") from University of California, Santa Cruz Chancellor George Blumenthal.

### BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

In 1991, at the behest of then Congressman Leon Panetta, Senator Henry Mello, and then Assemblymember Sam Farr, the University of California Santa Cruz ("UCSC") campus sent representatives to participate in the regional effort to identify future potential uses of the former Fort Ord property. As a component of that participation, the University of California ("UC") representatives were asked to consider sponsoring/ enabling uses that would enhance the UCSC academic programs while supporting the regional recovery from the announced closure of the former Fort Ord. In evaluating its options the University concluded that a combination of Habitat Reserve areas for research opportunities and a research and development park would be consistent with the University of California’s combined mission of research, education, and community service. Subsequently, the Regents of the University approved a request to the US Army for a portion of the former Fort Ord and Congressional action specifically identified both UC and California State University as recipients of "Educational Economic Development Conveyances" of former Fort Ord land. Agreement with the Army followed allowing a no-payment transfer of more than 1,000 acres of land under the terms of a 14-year agreement. That agreement was executed in 1994 and has now expired.

In the intervening 16 years, UCSC has explored various public and private uses for its property — successfully securing federal grants for infrastructure improvements (built under FORA contracts) and a UC-MBEST Center building that houses incubating businesses and has been the office for the UC employees. Also, the convention for developing Research and Development ("R&D") locations has changed as has the economic outlook for all real property developments. As a consequence, the UCSC is re-examining the UC approach to its role in the recovery of the former Fort Ord and the development/use of its parcels. Chancellor George Blumenthal will be present to outline the University’s ongoing commitment to reuse and how the UCSC campus intends to undertake planning and disposition of their properties.

### FISCAL IMPACT:

Reviewed by the FORA Controller

None

### COORDINATION:

Chancellor Blumenthal and UCSC staff members

Prepared and approved by Michael A. Houleman, Jr.
RECOMMENDATION:

- Receive an update from staff on ARRA grant award modifications, and
- Direct staff to pursue the recommended modifications via construction contract award.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

As reported in January and February 2010, bids received for the General Jim Moore Boulevard Phase V and Eucalyptus Road Phase II project were lower than the engineer's opinion of probable cost, presenting an opportunity to restore project elements previously removed (to bring the project budget within the engineer's prior estimate) and modify the grant scope with additional eligible construction-ready work.

Fort Ord Reuse Authority ("FORA") staff presented a list of items that could be restored to the project for FORA Board approval at their February 2010 meeting. The Board approved the items that could be incorporated into the current construction contract via change order or scope modification. There were other items listed that required additional discussion by the FORA Administrative and Capital Improvement Program ("CIP") Committees and review with US Economic Development Administration ("EDA") officials about eligibility for the ARRA program. The CIP and Administrative committees jointly reviewed these items at their meeting of February 17, 2010 and recommended a task force of select members convene to further define a recommendation to the Board. Staff has also reviewed eligibility issues with EDA staff. A meeting has been established with the task force and the regional EDA representative for March 8th. The staff recommendation under consideration by that group is attached to this report as Exhibit A. Their recommendation will be shared during the March 12th Board meeting and the Board will be asked to approve their recommendation at that time.

Additionally, the Board directed staff to pursue a local match reduction with the EDA. After discussing this approach with EDA representatives, seeking such a reduction is not advisable at this time. Therefore, staff has not submitted a formal request for a match reduction. If any further information is available at the FORA Board meeting it will be shared at that time.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Reviewed by Controller:

FORA’s local match remains at 50% or $6,426,754.

COORDINATION:

Executive Committee, Administrative Committee, CIP Committee

Prepared by: Crissy Maras

Approved by: Michael A. Houleman, Jr.
Summary of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) grant or Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) matching funds potentially available to Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects due to General Jim Moore Boulevard (GJMB) Phase V/Eucalyptus Road (EUC) Phase II bidding results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funds available for project adjustments</th>
<th>$ 6,187,811</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract Change Order items - Approved by FORA Board 02/11/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>Anticipated Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Relocation of PG&amp;E power pole (GJMB/EUC)</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Road connections at Hilby and San Pablo</td>
<td>$213,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Installation of sidewalks on north and south sides of current EUC construction</td>
<td>$171,226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$484,226</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope modifications to existing contract or future award - Approved by FORA Board 02/11/10</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Installation of a bank of 6 4&quot; PVC conduits</td>
<td>$275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Signalization of Coe Avenue and Broadway Avenue intersections with GJMB</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,075,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff recommendation to Administrative/Capital Improvement Program Committees</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follow-on construction contract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Extend EUC to Parker Flats Road</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 600 LF of 6' wall enhancement south of Coe Avenue*</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Installation of street lights on GJMB</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Installation of street lights on EUC</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,466,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GJMB Phase VI/South Boundary Road construction contract</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. GJMB Phase VI/South Boundary Road**</td>
<td>$2,162,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,162,585</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The amount of the wall enhancement could be as much as $215K depending on additional design, engineering and installation costs. $66K is shown as a placeholder because it represents a tangible amount for a pre-fabricated wall.

** The amount shown here may be reduced to accommodate additional costs of the wall enhancement as noted above.

Exhibit A
to Old Business item 6b
03/12/10 FORA Board Meeting
Summary of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) grant or Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) matching funds potentially available to Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects due to General Jim Moore Boulevard (GJMB) Phase V/Eucalyptus Road (EUC) Phase II bidding results.

### Funds available for project adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Change Order Items - Approved by FORA Board 02/11/10</th>
<th>Anticipated Cost</th>
<th>Deductive total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Relocation of PG&amp;E power pole (GJMB/EUC)</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$6,187,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Road connections at Hilby and San Pablo</td>
<td>$213,000</td>
<td>(484,226)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Installation of sidewalks on north and south sides of current EUC construction</td>
<td>$171,226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$484,226</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,703,585</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope modifications to existing contract or future award - Approved by FORA Board 02/11/10</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Installation of a bank of 8 4&quot; PVC conduits</td>
<td>$275,000</td>
<td>$6,703,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Signalization of Coe Avenue and Broadway Avenue intersections with GJMB</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>(1,075,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,075,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,628,585</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CIP/ARRA Task Force Recommendations to the FORA Board</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-on construction contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Extend EUC to Parker Flats Road</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
<td>$4,628,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 600 LF of 6' wall enhancement south of Coe Avenue*</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
<td>(2,466,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Installation of street lights on GJMB</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Installation of street lights on EUC</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,466,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,162,585</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deductive alternates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. South Boundary Road**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 8th Street Project**</td>
<td>$2,162,585***</td>
<td>$2,162,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. GJMB Phase VI**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$2,162,585</strong>*</td>
<td><strong>$2,162,585</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The amount of the wall enhancement could be as much as $215K depending on additional design, engineering and installation costs. $66K is shown as a placeholder because it represents a tangible amount for a pre-fabricated wall.

** The projects shown here will be used as deductive alternates as a part of a grant modification package to the EDA in order to fully expend any remaining grant funds.

*** The amount shown here may be reduced to accommodate additional costs of the wall enhancement noted above and/or to match actual remaining funds after construction costs and contracts have been finalized.
FORA CIP PROJECT INVESTMENT BY JURISDICTIONS - TO DATE
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RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt Resolution #10-06 (Attachment A) approving minor corrections to the Fort Ord Reuse ("FORA") Authority Master Resolution ("Master Resolution").

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

Minor corrections to the Master Resolution were reviewed by the FORA Board at their January 2010 meeting. Upon their review, Board members noted additional minor corrections that could be made to remain consistent and to add further clarity to the document. Staff reviewed their suggestions and made the corrections as appropriate.

These adjustments are shown in "track changes" format and are included for Board member reference as Exhibit 1 to Resolution #10-06.

Adopting Resolution #10-06 will amend the Master Resolution to reflect these corrections. An updated copy of the Master Resolution will be distributed to board members at the April meeting if the resolution is adopted.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Reviewed by Controller:

Staff time for review of the document is included in the FY 09-10 budget

COORDINATION:

Authority Counsel and Executive Committee

Prepared by Crissy Maras

Approved by Michael A. Houlemard, Jr.
RESOLUTION #10-06
Resolution of the Board of Directors
of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority
Approving errata/corrections to the Master Resolution

WHEREAS, the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Board of Directors ("Authority") adopted the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Master Resolution ("Master Resolution") on March 14, 1997; and

WHEREAS, the Authority adopted changes or amendments to the Master Resolution on November 20, 1998, February 19, 1999, January 21, 2000, January 18 and February 8, 2002, April 16, 2004 and February 8, 2008; and

WHEREAS, Authority counsel noted some corrections that should be made to the amended Master Resolution; and

WHEREAS, the Authority Board reviewed these corrections on January 8 and March 12, 2010; and

WHEREAS, approving these corrections will result in better general understanding of the Master Resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Authority approves the corrections as appended hereto (as Exhibit 1) amending the Master Resolution to take effect from and after adoption of this resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on March 12, 2010, by the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Board of Directors by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

I, Ralph Rubio, Chair of the Board of Directors of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority of the County of Monterey, State of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an original order of the said Board of Directors duly made and entered in the minutes hereof in Item 6c, page ___ of the duly approved minutes dated March 12, 2010.

DATED: ____________________ BY: ____________________

Ralph Rubio
Chair, Board of Directors
Fort Ord Reuse Authority

ATTACHMENT A
To Item 6c
March 12, 2010 FORA Board Meeting
FORT ORD REUSE AUTHORITY

MASTER RESOLUTION

Adopted March 14, 1997

Amended November 20, 1998 [Addition of Chapter 8 and Amend §1.01.050, Definitions]

Amended February 19, 1999 [Update §2.03, Committees; Clarify and Add text to §1.02.010(b)(4), Conflict of Interest; and Amend §2.09.020(a), Responsibilities for Enforcement (Addition of City of Del Rey Oaks Police Chief as an enforcement officer)]

Amended January 21, 2000 [Amend §2.03.040, Legislative Advisory Committee, and §2.03.050, Finance Advisory Committee (Redefine membership)]

Amended January 18, 2002 [Amend §2.03.051, Finance Advisory Committee Duties (Delete the word “monthly” in reference to Finance Committee meetings)]

Amended February 8, 2002 [Amend §2.03.040, Legislative Advisory Committee (Increase Legislative Committee membership from 6 to 8 and define voting and ex-officio members) and Amend §2.03.041, Legislative Advisory Committee Duties (Delete text that Authority Counsel should attend meetings)]

Amended April 16, 2004 [Amend Chapter 8 by the addition of Sections 8.02.020(f) and 8.02.030(e)(8), which address the job/housing balance in consistency determinations]

Amended February 9, 2007 [§2.02.010(a) (start time of board meetings) and §2.03.051 (duties of the Finance Advisory Committee)]

Amended March 9, 2007 [Repeal of §3.03.100 (Developers of Property Pursuant to Agreements with FORA), amendment to §3.03.090 (Prevailing Wages), and amendment to §1.01.050 (addition of definition of “First Generation Construction”)]

EXHIBIT 1
To Resolution 10-06
To Item 6c
FORA Board, March 12, 2010
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Chapter 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 1.01. THE MASTER RESOLUTION

1.01.010. SHORT TITLE.
This Master Resolution may be known and may be cited as the "Fort Ord Reuse Authority Master Resolution" or the "Authority Master Resolution.

1.01.015. EXISTING LAW CONTINUED.
The provisions of this Master Resolution, insofar as such provisions are substantially the same provisions of ordinances relating to the same subject matter and existing at the time of the adoption of this Master Resolution, are continued as restatements and continuations of ordinances in existence at the time of the adoption of this Master Resolution and are not considered new enactments.

1.01.020. THE EFFECTS OF PENDING ACTIONS AND ACCRUED RIGHTS.
The adoption of this Master Resolution as well as the provisions of this Master Resolution in no way affect the legality or enforceability of any action or proceeding commenced before this Master Resolution takes effect or any right which accrued before this Master Resolution takes effect. All procedures taken after adoption of this Master Resolution conform to the provisions of this Master Resolution so far as possible.

1.01.030. RIGHTS UNDER EXISTING LICENSES AND CERTIFICATES.
No rights given by any license, permit or certificate under prior actions of any predecessor or governmental entities are affected by the enactment of this Master Resolution; however, such rights are exercised according to this Master Resolution from the effective date of this Master Resolution.

1.01.040. HEADINGS OF PROVISIONS.
The headings of the part, title, chapter, section, and subsections contained in this Master Resolution are intended to indicate the contents of such provisions and are not deemed to govern, limit, modify, or in any manner affect the scope, meaning, or intent of such provisions.

1.01.050. DEFINITIONS.
(a) In the interpretation and construction of this Master Resolution, the following definitions and rules of construction will be observed, unless they are inconsistent with the manifest intent of the Authority Board or the context clearly required otherwise:

"Affected territory," means property within the Fort Ord Territory that is the subject of a legislative land use decision or an application for a development entitlement and such additional territory within the Fort Ord Territory that may be subject to an adjustment in density or intensity of allowed development to accommodate development on the property subject to the development entitlement.
"Army urbanized footprint" means the Main Garrison Area and the Historic East Garrison Area as such areas are described in the Reuse Plan.

"Augmented water supply" means any source of potable water in excess of the 6,600 acre-feet of potable water from the Salinas Basin as allowed under the Reuse Plan.

"Authority" means the Fort Ord Reuse Authority ("FORA"), an independent governmental and public entity, organized under the laws of the State of California pursuant to the Authority Act.

"Authority Act" means the provisions of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Act, Title 7.85, Section 67850, et seq., (also known as Senate Bill 899, Chapter 64 of the 1994 California Statutes) of the California Government Code, as may be amended from time to time.

"Authority Board" or "Board" or "Board of Directors" means the governing body of the Authority as established pursuant to the Authority Act.

"Authority Offices" means the facilities located at 100 12th Street, Buildings 2900, 2901, 2902, 2903, 2880, 2881, 2882, 2883, 2861, and 2862, and offices located at the Imjin Office Park in Marina, California.

"Authority Officers" means the officers, officials, agents, employees, departments, and agencies of the Authority.

"Computation of time" The time in which any act provided by law is to be done is computed by excluding the first day and including the last, unless the last day is a holiday, and then it is also excluded.

"County" or "this County" means the County of Monterey.

"Day" A day is the period of time between any midnight and the midnight following.

"Daytime" means the period of time between sunrise and sunset.

The word "nighttime" means the period of time between sunset and sunrise.

"Development entitlements" includes but is not limited to tentative and final subdivision maps, tentative, preliminary, and final parcel maps or minor subdivision maps, conditional use permits, administrative permits, variances, site plan reviews, and building permits. The term "development entitlement" does not include the term "legislative land use permits" as that term is defined in this Master Resolution. In addition, the term "development entitlement" does not include:

(1) Construction of one single-family house, or one multiple family house not exceeding four units, on a vacant lot within an area appropriately designated in the Reuse Plan.

(2) Improvements to existing single-family residences or to existing multiple family residences not exceeding four units, including remolds or room additions.

(3) Remodels of the interior of any existing building or structure.

(4) Repair and maintenance activities that do not result in an addition to, or enlargement of, any building or structure.

(5) Installation, testing, and placement in service or the replacement of any necessary utility connection between an existing service facility and development approved pursuant to the Authority Act.
(6) Replacement of any building or structure destroyed by a natural disaster with a comparable or like building or structure.

(7) Final subdivision or parcel maps issued consistent with a development entitlement subject to previous review and approval by the Authority Board.

(8) Building permit issued consistent with a development entitlement subject to previous review by the Authority Board.

“Enforcement” means the making of investigations as may be required; demanding and signing criminal complaints or civil declarations; appearing as a witness in any prosecution or proceeding when so required; and generally doing all things necessary and proper to enforce and obtain compliance with the provisions of this Master Resolution.

“Entitlement” means any license, permit, authorization, or grant, which is issued, granted, or given by the Authority or any of its officers, officials, agents, employees, departments, or agencies to any person.

“Executive Officer” means and includes the appointed official of the Authority who occupies the position of Executive Officer of the Authority pursuant to the Authority Act or any person designated by the Executive Officer to perform certain duties pursuant to this Master Resolution under the direction of the Executive Officer.

“Ex-Officio Members” means the persons or entities designated in the Authority Act as ex-officio members or such persons or entities as the FORA Board may designate as ex-officio members. Ex-Officio Members include the Monterey Peninsula Community College District, the Monterey Peninsula Unified School District, the Member of Congress from the 17th Congressional District, the Senator from the 15th Senate District, the Assembly Member from the 27th District, the United States Army, the Chancellor of the California State University, the President of the University of California, the Transportation Agency of Monterey County, the Monterey-Salinas Transit Authority and Marina Coast Water District.

“First Generation Construction” means construction performed during the development and completion of each parcel of real property contemplated in a disposition or development agreement at the time of transfer from each member agency to a developer(s) or other transferee(s) and until issuance of a certificate of occupancy by the initial owners or tenants of each parcel.

“Fort Ord Territory” means all territory within the jurisdiction of the Authority.

“Goods” means personal property.


“Land use agency” means a member agency with land use jurisdiction over territory within the jurisdiction of the Authority Board.

“Legislative land use decisions” means general plans, general plan amendments, redevelopment plans, redevelopment plan amendments, zoning ordinances, zone district maps or amendments to zone district maps, and zoning changes.

“Master Resolution” or “this Master Resolution” means the Authority Master Resolution.
“Member Agencies” means the Cities of Carmel-by-the-Sea, Del Rey Oaks, Marina, Monterey, Pacific Grove, Salinas, Sand City, and Seaside and the County of Monterey.

“Month” means a calendar month.

“Noticed public hearing” means a public hearing noticed in the following manner:

1. Notice of the public hearing will be posted on the public meeting room at the FORA office at least 10 days before the date of the hearing; and

2. Notice of the public hearing will be mailed or delivered at least 10 days prior to the affected land use agency, to any person who has filed an appeal, and to any person who has requested special notice; and

3. Notice of the public hearing will be published at least 10 days before the date of the hearing in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the area that the real property that is the subject of the public hearing is located.

“Oath” means and includes an affirmation.

“Officers, officials, departments, and other agencies” individually and collectively means officers, officials, departments, board, commissions, and employees referred to in this Master Resolution who serve as the officers, officials, departments, boards, commissions, and employees of the Authority unless the context clearly indicated otherwise.

“Official” means any officer, official, agent, or employee of the Authority whose duties are specifically delineated in this Master Resolution.

“Official time” means whenever certain hours are named in this Master Resolution, they mean Pacific Standard Time or Daylight Saving Time, as may be in current use in the Authority.

“Operate” means and includes carry on, keep, conduct, or maintain.

“Owner” applied to a building or land, means and includes any part owner, joint owner, tenant in common, tenant in partnership, joint tenant, or tenant by the entirety of the whole or of a part of such building or land.

“Person” means and includes any person, firm, association, organization, partnership, business trust, corporation or company, and any municipal, political or governmental corporation, district, body or agency other than this Authority.

“Personal property” means and includes every species of property, except real property.

“Preceding” and “following” mean next before and next after, respectively.

“Property” means and includes real and personal property.

“Real Property” means and includes lands, tenements, and hereditaments.

“Reuse Plan” means the plan for reuse and development of the territory within the jurisdiction of the Authority, as amended or revised from time to time, and the plans, policies, and programs of the Authority Board, including the Master Resolution.
"Sale" means and includes any sale, exchange, barter or offer for sale.

"Week" means a period of seven consecutive days.

"Writing" means and includes any form of recorded message capable of comprehension by ordinary visual means.

"Year" means a period of 365 days, except where otherwise provided. The added day of a leap year, and the day immediately preceding if they occur in any such period, will be reckoned together as one day.

(b) Words and phrases are to be construed according to the context and the approved usage of the language. Technical words and phrases, and such other terms as may have acquired a peculiar and specific meaning in the law, or are specifically defined herein, are to be construed in accordance with such peculiar and specific meaning or definition.

1.01.060. TERRITORIAL LIMITATION.
This Master Resolution refers only to the omission or commission of acts within the territorial limits of the Authority and to that territory outside of the Authority over which the Authority has jurisdiction or control by virtue of the state constitution, any state law, the Authority Act, or by reason of ownership or control of property.

1.01.070. DISTRIBUTION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE MASTER RESOLUTION.
(a) Not less than one copy of this Master Resolution will be filed for use and examination by the public in the office of the Executive Officer. Copies thereof will be distributed to the members of the Authority Board, the alternates, the member agencies, and the ex-officio members.

(b) The Executive Officer will keep and maintain this Master Resolution together with all amendments as may be adopted by the Authority Board. The Executive Officer on a timely and recurring basis will publish and distribute such amendments.

1.01.080. NOTICES – SERVICE PROCEDURE.
(a) Notice required to be given under this Master Resolution, unless different provisions are otherwise specifically made in this Master Resolution, may be given by:

1. personal delivery to the person to be notified, or
2. electronic mail if FORA conventionally communicates with the recipient by electronic mail, or
3. deposit in the United States mail in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to the person to be notified, at such person's last known business or residence address, as such address appears in the public records of the Authority or other records pertaining to the matter to which the notice is directed. Service by mail will be deemed to have been completed at the time of deposit in the United States mail.
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(b) Proof of giving any notice required by this Master Resolution may be made by the certificate of any officer or employee of the Authority or by affidavit or declaration of any person over the age of 18 years, which shows service in conformity with this Master Resolution or other provisions of law applicable to the subject matter of the notice.

1.01.090. HOLD HARMLESS CLAUSE FOR LICENSES AND PERMITS.

(a) Every entitlement is subject to the condition that the person receiving the entitlement agrees to save, indemnify, and keep harmless the Authority and Authority Officers against all liabilities, judgments, costs, and expenses which may in any manner or granting of an entitlement or in consequence of the use or occupancy of any sidewalk, street, or other public place, or the occupancy of any property or facility owned or leased by the Authority. The person receiving an entitlement also agrees to strictly comply with the conditions of the entitlement and with this Master Resolution and all ordinances, rules, and regulations of the Authority relating to the entitlement.

(b) Whenever it is administratively proper, the Executive Officer will print, type, or write the Condition Statement above into every entitlement form substantially as it appears in this section.

1.01.100. INTERPRETATION, CONSTRUCTION, AND SEVERABILITY.

(a) This chapter contains the minimum requirements of the protection of the public convenience, safety, health, and general welfare.

(b) Any reference in this Master Resolution to any portion of any statute includes amendments and additions to such statute.

(c) Any reference in this Master Resolution to an ordinance of the Authority or provision of this Master Resolution includes all amendments and additions to such ordinance or provision. Reference to any section of this Master Resolution includes the penalty provisions specified in this chapter, unless otherwise expressly provided.

(d) The act or omission of an act which is made unlawful under this Master Resolution includes causing, allowing, permitting, aiding, abetting, suffering, or concealing the fact of such act or omission. Whenever any act or omission is made unlawful, it includes causing, permitting, aiding, abetting, suffering, or concealing such act or omission.

(e) Powers or duties granted to, or imposed upon, an Official may be performed by a person authorized to act for the Official.

(f) The provisions of this Master Resolution and all proceedings under this Master Resolution are to be construed so as to give effect to the objectives of the Authority Act, this Master Resolution, and the promotion of justice.

(g) The parts of this Master Resolution are severable. Any declaration of unconstitutionality of any phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph, or section
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of this Master Resolution or any amendment to this Master Resolution by the valid
judgment or decree of a court of competent jurisdiction does not affect any of the
remaining phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs, and sections of this Master
Resolution or any amendment to this Master Resolution.

1.01.110. GRAMMATICAL INTERPRETATION.

(a) General Rules.

(1) Any gender includes the other gender.

(2) The singular number includes the plural, and the plural
includes the singular.

(3) Words used in the present tense include the past and
the future tenses and vice versa.

(4) The word "or" may be read "and" and the word "and"
may be read "or" if the sense requires it.

(5) Words and phrases used in this Master Resolution that
are not specifically defined will be construed according
to the context and approved usage of the language.
The provisions of Section 13 and 1645 of the Civil Code
of the State of California are adopted in the
interpretation of words and phrases, unless otherwise
provided in this Master Resolution.

(b) Specific Rules.

(1) It is the policy of the Authority Board that the legal
documents of this Authority, including all ordinances,
resolutions, and contracts, should be gender neutral.

(2) It is the policy of the Authority Board that the legal
documents of this Authority including all ordinances,
resolutions, and contracts, should be written in "plain
English."

Article 1.02. ENFORCEMENT OF MASTER RESOLUTION

1.02.010. RESPONSIBILITIES FOR ENFORCEMENT.

(a) Whenever the enforcement of any provision of this Master
Resolution is imposed upon or delegated to a specific official, such official is primarily
responsible for the enforcement of such provision. In the absence of any specific
impositions or delegation or enforcement responsibility, the Executive Officer is primarily
responsible for enforcing the provisions of this Master Resolution.

(b) Enforcing Officers Generally.

(1) The Sheriff and all peace officers employed by the
Sheriff's Department are hereby empowered to enforce
any and all provisions of this Master Resolution or any
other ordinance of the Authority, including the power to
arrest for such violations in accordance with the laws of the State of California, together with such other powers as are conferred upon them by the County, within that portion of the Authority's jurisdiction that is within the unincorporated area of the County.

(2) The Police Chief of the City of Marina and all peace officers employed by the City of Marina are empowered to enforce any and all provisions of this Master Resolution or any ordinance of the Authority, including the power to arrest for such violations in accordance with the laws of the State of California, together with such other powers as are conferred upon them by the City of Marina, within that portion of the Authority's jurisdiction that is within the jurisdictional limits of the City of Marina.

(3) The Police Chief of the City of Seaside and all peace officers employed by the City of Seaside are empowered to enforce any and all provisions of this Master Resolution or any ordinance of the Authority, including the power to arrest for such violations in accordance with the laws of the State of California, together with such other powers as are conferred upon them by the City of Seaside, within that portion of the Authority's jurisdiction that is within the jurisdictional limits of the City of Seaside.

(4) The Police Chief of the City of Del Rey Oaks and all peace officers employed by the City of Del Rey Oaks are empowered to enforce any and all provisions of this Master Resolution or any ordinance of the Authority, including the power to arrest for such violations in accordance with the laws of the State of California, together with such other powers as are conferred upon them by the City of Del Rey Oaks, within that portion of the Authority's jurisdiction that is within the jurisdictional limits of the City of Del Rey Oaks.

(5) The Police Chief of the City of Monterey and all peace officers employed by the City of Monterey are empowered to enforce any and all provisions of this Master Resolution or any ordinance of the Authority, including the power to arrest for such violations in accordance with the laws of the State of California, together with such other powers as are conferred upon them by the City of Monterey, within that portion of the Authority's jurisdiction that is within the jurisdictional limits of the City of Monterey.
(c) Compliance with the permit requirements of this Master Resolution are the responsibility of the Official authorized to grant the permit to which such requirements apply, except that when the permit is granted by the Authority Board, the Executive Officer is the responsible officer.

(d) Whenever an Official primarily responsible for enforcing any provision of this Master Resolution fails, neglects, or refuses to perform such duty and such failure, neglect, or refusal is brought to the attention of the Executive Officer, the Executive Officer will enforce such provision of law and initiate such penal and disciplinary action against the Official as may be warranted under the circumstances.

(e) Every Official may use administrative processes such as notices of violation, stop work orders, or warning letters in lieu of or prior to seeking judicial enforcement of any provision of this Master Resolution if the Official determines that the process may result in compliance with this Master Resolution at less cost to the Authority.

(f) Every Official is authorized to appear as a complaining witness in any criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding brought for an alleged violation of the Master Resolution or to abate any violation of this Master Resolution or enjoin any present or future violation of this Master Resolution.

(g) Every Official will consult with Authority Counsel in a timely manner prior to commencement of any proceeding or action to terminate, revoke, or deny any entitlement allowed or established pursuant to this Master Resolution, to ensure that such proceeding or action is undertaken in a lawful manner consistent with the laws of the United States, the State of California, and the Authority.

1.02.020. INTERFERENCE WITH ENFORCING OFFICERS.

(a) It is unlawful for any person to interfere or obstruct, or to attempt to interfere or obstruct, any Official in the performance of such Official's duties as specified in this Master Resolution or as may otherwise be received pursuant to the rules, regulations, or policies of the Authority or the Authority Board.

(b) No person will give, either orally or in writing, information to an Official which the person knows or has reason to know is false.

1.02.030. VIOLATIONS OF THE MASTER RESOLUTION.

(a) It is unlawful for any person to violate any provision, or to fail to comply with any of the requirements, of this Master Resolution. Any person violating any of the provisions or failing to comply with any of the mandatory requirements of this Master Resolution is guilty of a misdemeanor unless:

(1) The violation is classified as an infraction by the State Vehicle Code or this Master Resolution, in which case the person is guilty of an infraction; or
(2) The violation is classified as a standing or parking traffic violation under the State Vehicle Code or this Master Resolution; or

(3) The District Attorney files a complaint charging the offense as an infraction; or

(4) A public officer designated in subsection (d) of this section issues a citation charging the offense as an infraction.

(b) Any person convicted of a misdemeanor under the provisions of this Master Resolution, unless provision is otherwise made in this Master Resolution, is punishable by a fine of not more than One Thousand Dollars ($1,000) or by imprisonment in the Monterey County Jail for a period of not more than six months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

(c) Any person convicted of an infraction under the provisions of this Master Resolution, unless provision is otherwise made in this Master Resolution, is punishable upon a first conviction of a fine of not more than Two Hundred and Fifty dollars ($250), and for a second conviction within a period of one year by a fine of not more than Five Hundred Dollars ($500), and for a third or any subsequent conviction within a period of one year by a fine of not more than One Thousand Dollars ($1,000).

(d) The Executive Officer has the authority to cite violations for infractions or civil violations in the enforcement of the provisions of this Master Resolution within the Executive Officer's regulatory responsibilities.

1.02.040. CIVIL PENALTIES.

Any person who is found to have violated any provision of this Master Resolution, specifically subject to civil remedies, will pay the civil fees listed in the Authority Fee Resolution for the violation including the penalty and all collection costs. All such violations will be processed by the Executive Officer.

1.02.050. OFFENSES.

Every person convicted of a misdemeanor or infraction under the provisions of this Master Resolution is guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of this Master Resolution is committed, continued, or permitted by such person and will be punished accordingly.

1.02.060. SAME OFFENSE PUNISHABLE BY DIFFERENT SECTIONS OF THE MASTER RESOLUTION.

In all cases where the same offense is made punishable or is created by different clauses or sections of this Master Resolution, the District Attorney may elect under which to proceed; but not more than one recovery may be had against the same person for the same offense. The provisions of this section apply only to criminal sanctions pursuant to Section 1.02.040 of this Master Resolution. Nothing in this section is construed as limiting or prohibiting the Executive Officer or the Authority from securing
compliance with the provisions of the Master Resolution through the civil remedies provisions authorized pursuant to Section 1.02.040 or Sections 1.02.070, 1.02.080, and 1.02.090 of this Master Resolution.

1.02.070. PUBLIC NUISANCES; CONTINUING OFFENSES.
Any condition caused or permitted to exist in violation of any of the provisions of this Master Resolution is deemed a public nuisance and may be abated as such in a manner consistent with law. Each and every day during which such condition is allowed to exist may be deemed a separate offense and may be abated accordingly.

1.02.080. ABATEMENT AND ENJOINMENT OF PUBLIC NUISANCES.
Any violation of any provision of this Master Resolution is unlawful and a public nuisance. The District Attorney or the Authority Counsel, or their respective designees, may commence such actions or proceedings for the abatement, removal, and enjoinder in the manner provided by law and may take such other steps and initiate such judicial proceedings as the District Attorney or Authority Counsel deems necessary or appropriate to abate and restrain such violation. The remedies provided in this section are cumulative and not exclusive.

1.02.090. REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS AND CIVIL PENALTIES.
(a) Any person, firm, or corporation who creates or maintains a public nuisance in violation of this Master Resolution will be liable for the cost of abatement, which will include, but not be limited to:
   (1) Cost of Investigation;
   (2) Court costs;
   (3) Attorneys' fees; and
   (4) Costs of monitoring compliance.

(b) Upon continuation of a public nuisance after notice from the Authority to cease the nuisance, any person, firm, or corporation will be liable for the costs of abatement set forth in Subsection (a) of this section plus a civil penalty of fifty percent (50%) of those costs payable to the Authority in addition to any other costs of enforcement imposed by the court or such other amount as may be specified in the Authority Fee Resolution. Penalties imposed pursuant to the provisions of this subsection are in addition to any civil penalties that may be imposed pursuant to Section 1.02.040.

1.02.100. REMEDIES CUMULATIVE.
Unless otherwise expressly provided, the remedies provided in this Article or other provisions of this Master Resolution are cumulative and not exclusive. Nothing in this Master Resolution bars any legal, equitable, administrative, or summary remedy to which any aggrieved person, the Authority, or any Official may otherwise be entitled. Paying a fine or serving a jail sentence will not relieve any persons from the responsibility for correcting any condition, which violates any provision of this Master Resolution, or paying any civil penalties that may be imposed pursuant to the provisions of this Article.
1.02.110. IMMUNITY OF ENFORCING OFFICIALS.

Nothing in this Master Resolution is intended or shall be deemed or construed to impose liability upon the Authority or any Official for any injury to persons or damage to property alleged to result from any act or omission by the Authority or any Official beyond the liability expressly imposed by the laws of the State of California or the United States. Nothing in this Master Resolution or any other Authority enactment is intended or shall be deemed or construed to impose a mandatory duty upon the Authority or any Official for the purpose of determining entitlement to equitable relief or liability for any injury to persons or damage to property alleged to result from the failure of the Authority or any Official to discharge a mandatory duty imposed by an Authority enactment.

Article 1.03. AUTHORITY SEAL

1.03.010. ADOPTED; FORM AND CONTENTS.

(a) The Authority seal is nine-sided in shape, bearing the name of the Authority and of such additional design as established or approved by the Authority Board from time to time.

(b) The only form of corporate seal for use by or for the Authority is the form of seal established by the Authority Board, as provided in this section.

(c) The Executive Officer has the official custody of the official seal of the Authority.

1.03.020. DESIGNATION OF UNLAWFUL USES.

It is unlawful for any person to make or use the seal of the Authority, or any cut, facsimile or reproduction of the seal, or to make or use any seal or any design which is an imitation of the seal, or of the design thereof, which may be mistaken for the seal of the Authority, or the design thereof, for any purpose other than for Authority purposes, or for the purposes of any board, officer, or department thereof.

1.03.030. DISPLAY ON PRIVATELY OWNED VEHICLES.

It is unlawful for any person to display or place either temporarily or permanently, the official seal of the Authority, or any facsimile or representation or near representation thereof, on any privately owned vehicle, unless express written permit first has been obtained from the Authority Board to do so. If any such permit is so granted by the Authority Board, it is unlawful for any person to place or display such seal in any manner or at any time contrary to or in violation of the provisions of such permit.

Article 1.04. TIME LIMITATIONS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE MANDAMUS PROCEEDINGS
1.04.010. TERM "DECISION" DEFINED.
As used in this Article, the term "decision" means an adjudicatory administrative decision made, after a hearing required by law to be given, suspending, demoting or dismissing an officer or employee, revoking or denying an application for any entitlement, or denying application for any retirement benefit or allowance.

1.04.020. SECTION 1094.6 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ADOPTED.
The provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.6 is applicable to decisions of the Authority Board and of any board or commission of the Authority authorized to render a final adjudicatory administrative decision where no right of appeal to the Authority Board exists. Notice of such right of appeal will be given in the resolution effecting such decision.
Chapter 2. AUTHORITY OFFICES AND GENERAL REGULATIONS

Article 2.01. AUTHORITY BOARD

2.01.010. MEMBERSHIP.

(a) The Authority is governed by a thirteen (13) member Board of Directors consisting of three (3) members of the Monterey County Board of Supervisors, two (2) city council members from each of the Cities of Marina and Seaside, and one (1) city council member from each of the Cities of Carmel-by-the-Sea, Del Rey Oaks, Sand City, Monterey, Pacific Grove, and Salinas.

(b) The legislative body of each member agency may appoint an alternate member for its respective positions on the Board of Directors. Each alternate member has all the same rights, responsibilities and privileges as a Board member when serving in a Board member's place. Board members and alternates serve at the pleasure of the legislative body of the member agency making the appointment.

(c) Each member and each alternate serves at the pleasure of the legislative body making the appointment. Each member and each alternate is a member of the legislative body making the appointment, except that alternates appointed by the Board of Supervisors of Monterey County are members of the Board of Supervisors or County staff.

2.01.020. EX-OFFICIO MEMBERSHIP.

(a) A representative of each of the ex-officio members may serve as nonvoting members of the Board of Directors. Ex-officio members are not counted to establish a quorum.

(b) The Board of Directors may appoint or remove additional ex-officio nonvoting members at its pleasure. Each ex-officio member may participate in public meetings and hearings of the Authority. For the purpose of this Master Resolution, the term "participate in public meetings and hearings" includes, but is not limited to, the ability to make motions, request the placement of matters on the Authority's agenda, serve on committees, and to participate in all discussions regarding any matter which may come before the Authority in public session. The term "participate in public meetings and hearings" does not include the ability to cast a vote under Section 2.02.040 of this Master Resolution, nor does it include the ability to meet with the Authority Board in closed session.

2.01.030. APPOINTMENT.

Each Board member, alternate, and ex-officio member appointed by a governmental entity must be appointed by a member agency or such other appointing authority as provided in the Authority Act and this Master Resolution. Minute action or a resolution making the required appointment must be presented to the Executive Officer
before the Board member, alternate, or ex-officio member may participate in Authority Board meetings.

2.01.040. SELECTION OF OFFICERS.

(a) The Authority's officers will be elected from the Board and will serve a term of one year and may be reelected for no more than one consecutive additional term in the same office. Election of officers takes place at the close of the Authority's first regular January meeting.

(b) The officers of the Authority will be a Chair, a First Vice-Chair, and a Second Vice-Chair. It is the policy of the Board that the officers of the Authority rotate on a regular basis among the members of the Board with the First Vice-Chair succeeding the Chair and the Second Vice-Chair succeeding the First Vice-Chair as vacancies occur. Such other officers as may be deemed necessary may be appointed by the Authority Board.

2.01.050. AUTHORITY OF CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIRS.
The Chair presides at all meetings of the Authority Board and may make or second any motion and present and discuss any matter as a member of the Board. If the Chair is absent or unable to act, the First Vice-Chair will serve until the Chair returns or is able to act and has all of the powers and duties of the Chair. If both the Chair and First Vice-Chair are absent or unable to act, the Second Vice-Chair will serve until the Chair or First Vice-Chair returns or is able to act and has all of the powers and duties of the Chair. If the Chair, First Vice-Chair, and Second Vice-Chair are absent or unable to act, Board will choose one of its number as the presiding officer.

2.01.060. ADDITIONAL DUTIES.
The officers of the Authority may perform such other duties as may be required by resolution or other action of the Authority.

Article 2.02. MEETINGS OF THE AUTHORITY BOARD

2.02.010. MEETINGS – TIME AND PLACE.

(a) The regular meetings of the Authority Board are held on the second Friday of each and every month at the Authority Offices, commencing at the hour of 3:30 pm, except as otherwise provided in this section.

(b) If any regular meeting day falls upon a holiday, the regular meeting of the Board will be held at the same place on the next Friday, which is not a holiday commencing at the same hour, in which event all hearings, applications, petitioners, and other matters before the Board are deemed to be and are automatically continued to the same hour on such Friday which is not a holiday.

(c) All meetings of the Authority Board are held in places accessible to persons, including persons with physical handicaps or disabilities.
2.02.020. MEETINGS – PROCEDURES.

(a) The proceedings of the Board are governed by the provisions of law applicable thereto and, except as otherwise provided in this Article, by Robert’s Rules of Order, newly revised. Provided further, that the failure to follow the Rules of Order or these rules do not invalidate any action taken. The Authority Counsel acts as parliamentarian and gives parliamentary advice when appropriate.

(b) The Authority Board may adopt such rules of order for the conduct of its business as it deems appropriate, and may amend same, by resolution; provided, however, that no ordinance, resolution or other action taken by the Board is invalidated or the legality or effect thereof otherwise affected by the failure or omission of the Board to observe or follow such rules.

2.02.030. NOTICE AND CALL OF MEETINGS.

(a) Meetings of the Authority Board and all standing committees or subcommittees of the Board, will be called and noticed in accordance with state law, including, but not limited to the Ralph M. Brown Act, Sections 54950 et seq. of the California Government Code, as said Act may be amended by subsequent legislation and augmented by rules of the Board of Directors not consistent therewith. Except as otherwise provided or permitted by law, all meetings of the Authority are open and public.

(b) Special meetings may be called at any time by the Chair or by the majority of the members of the Authority by delivering personally or by mail written notice thereof to each member of the Authority at least 24 hours before the time of such meeting as specified in the notice. Said notice will specify the time and place of such meeting and the business to be transacted. No other business will be considered at such meeting. Such notice may be dispensed with as to any member of the Authority who at or prior to the time the meeting convenes, files with the secretary a written waiver of notice. Such written notice will be given to such local newspapers or radio or television stations that request in writing such notice.

(c) The voting members of the Authority may meet in closed session in accordance with state law.

(d) The Authority Chair, in consultation with the Executive Officer, may cancel any regular meeting if no items are presented that require the Authority’s immediate attention.

(e) The Authority Agenda will be prepared by the Authority staff and will be approved by the Executive Committee eight (8) working days before the regular Board meeting. Any member may request in writing an item to appear on the agenda. The request must be made by the agenda deadline and any supporting papers must be furnished by that time or be readily available.

FORA Master Resolution
Page 16
(f) The Authority members, alternates, and committees receive the Agenda and pertinent back-up material. The Authority Agenda and pertinent back-up material will also be supplied to other governmental agencies on written request, renewable annually. The Authority agenda, minutes and the agenda packet, including back-up material, will be available, at a cost of furnishing these materials, to the public by mail upon written request renewable annually. The Authority agenda will be available for review at the Authority office a minimum of two days before Authority meetings.

2.02.040. QUORUM AND VOTING.
   (a) A majority of the voting members of the Authority constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business, but a lesser number may convene from time to time.
   (b) A resolution, ordinance, or other action of the Board shall not be approved or adopted sooner than 72 hours after its introduction, unless approved by unanimous vote of all members present at the time of consideration. Except as otherwise provided in this section, any action taken by the Board requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the appointed members of the Board.
   (c) Voting on all formal resolutions, matters relating to any federal, state, county or city and on such other matters as may be requested by the majority of members of the Authority, will be by roll call and the ayes and noes will be entered in the minutes of the meeting.

2.02.050. LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS.
   (a) Actions of the Authority Board may be taken in the form of Resolutions or Ordinances. All resolutions and ordinances of the Authority shall be in writing and will be numbered consecutively in the order of their adoption. Wherever feasible, action of the Authority may be by “minute” resolution where the action and the vote on the action are recorded in the minutes of the Authority Board meeting. All ordinances and resolutions are filed in the office of the Authority’s Secretary and will thereupon be copied into a permanent, loose-leaf bound volume, which is kept and maintained as a public record.
   (b) The minutes of the Authority are “action” minutes that will accurately reflect actions of the Authority and the vote taken on such actions and are not verbatim minutes of all matters discussed and comments made at meetings of the Authority Board.
   (c) Authority Counsel will prepare a summary of each ordinance prior to submission of such ordinance to the Authority Board. The Executive Officer is authorized to publish such summary in the manner provided by law in lieu of publishing the verbatim text of such ordinance.
   (d) Except as provided in Section 2.02.040(b), all ordinances and resolutions may be adopted at the meetings at which such ordinances and resolutions were introduced or otherwise placed on the agenda.
2.02.060. CHAIR – POWERS AND DUTIES.

(a) The Chair possesses the powers and performs the duties prescribed in this section.

1. Have general direction over the Board Room and assign seats for the use of Board members and members of the Authority;
2. Preserve order and decorum; prevent demonstrations; order removed from the Board Room any person whose conduct he or she deems objectionable; and order the Board Room cleared whenever he or she deems it necessary;
3. Allocate the length of time for public discussion of any matter in advance of each discussion, with the concurrence of the Board;
4. Allocate equal time to opposing sides insofar as possible, taking into account the number of persons requesting to be heard on any side;
5. Limit the amount of time that a person may address the Board during a public discussion period in order to accommodate those persons desiring to speak and to facilitate the business of the Board;
6. Execute such documents on behalf of the Authority as may be required by state or federal law;
7. Perform ceremonial duties, including the representation of the Authority before other agencies;
8. Other powers as may be prescribed by the Board.

(b) The Chair will order removed from the Board Room any person who commits any one of the acts specified in this section with respect to a regular or special meeting of the Authority Board.

1. Disorderly, contemptuous or insolent behavior toward the Board or any member thereof, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting;
2. A breach of the peace, boisterous conduct or violent disturbance, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting;
3. Disobedience of any lawful order of the chairperson, which includes an order to be seated or to refrain from addressing the Board;
4. Any other unlawful interference with the due and orderly course of said meeting.

(c) Any person so removed will be excluded from further attendance at the meeting from which the person has been removed, unless permission
to attend is granted upon motion adopted by a majority vote of the Board, and such exclusion will be effected by an appropriate peace officer upon being so directed by the Chair.

2.02.070. NOTICE OF ABSENCE.
If any member of the Board is unable to attend a meeting, that Board member will, if possible, notify the Executive Officer prior to the meeting.

2.02.080. VACATION PERIOD.
The Authority Board determines by resolution each calendar year vacation periods during which no regular meetings will be held.

Article 2.03. COMMITTEES

2.03.010. PURPOSE.
Committees and subcommittees may be established, as the Authority may deem appropriate to provide the Board with options, critique, analysis, and other information as the Board may request from time to time.

2.03.020. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.
The Executive Committee is comprised of not more than five (5) members of the Board. The Committee is comprised of the Chair, First Vice-Chair, Second Vice-Chair, a Past Chair, and one representative member appointed by the Board. If the Past Chair position is vacant, the Board may appoint another representative. The Executive Committee will provide such duties as the Board may assign. If any designated representative is unable to serve on the Executive Committee, the Board may fill such vacancy with another member of the Board.

2.03.021. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DUTIES.
The Executive Committee meets on a date and time the Committee determines is convenient or necessary. The Executive Officer and Authority Counsel attends the meetings of the Executive Committee. The duties of the Executive Committee are:

(a) Review and approve all agendas of all regular and special meetings of the Board of Directors;

(b) Provide initial performance evaluation of the Executive Officer and make recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding employment and personnel matters relating to the Authority staff; and

(c) Perform such other duties as the Board of Directors may direct.

2.03.030. ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE.
The chief administrative officer, county administrative officer, or city manager of each member agency, or designee, may serve on an administrative subcommittee to the Board to provide advice, analysis and recommendations to the Board as the Board may request from time to time according to the responsibilities listed in the Authority Act.

2.03.040. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY COMMITTEE.
The Legislative Advisory Committee shall not exceed a total of eight (8) members. The committee members will be appointed by the Chair of the Authority, subject to confirmation by the Board of Directors, and is comprised of up to five (5) voting members and three (3) ex-officio members to be the 17th Congressional District member, the 15th California State Senate District member, and the 27th California State Assembly District member or their respective representatives. Committee members serve for a period of one year.

2.03.041. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY COMMITTEE DUTIES.
The Legislative Advisory Committee is an advisory committee to the Authority Board and meets at the Authority Offices on date and time convenient or as necessary. The Executive Officer attends these meetings. The Legislative Advisory Committee’s duties will be as follows:

(a) Review and evaluate the impact of proposed federal or state legislation with respect to the Authority’s obligations under state law to implement reuse activities on the former Fort Ord;

(b) Advise and inform the Authority Board, when requested or on its own initiative, regarding pending legislation and noting its potential impact on the activities of the Authority. The Committee will develop recommendations to the Authority Board for actions associated with its advice and information responsibilities;

(c) Recommend an annual legislative agenda.

(d) Plan, schedule, and conduct an annual Legislative Session with the sitting representatives of the 27th Assembly District, the 15th Senatorial District, and the 17th Congressional District (individually or collectively as schedules permit).

2.03.050. FINANCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE.
The Finance Advisory Committee (“FAC”) shall not exceed a total of six (6) members. The committee members will be appointed by the Chair of the Authority, subject to confirmation by the Board of Directors, and is comprised of voting members and no more than three (3) ex-officio members. Committee members serve for a period of one year.

2.03.051. FINANCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE DUTIES.
The Finance Advisory Committee is an advisory committee to the Authority Board and meets at the Authority Offices on date and time convenient or as
necessary. The Executive Officer and/or the Controller of the Authority attends these meetings. The Finance Advisory Committee’s duties will be as follows:

(a) Review and evaluate the annual budget of the Authority as presented by the Controller. Recommend action to the Executive Committee and the Authority Board, including parameters to staff compensation budgets.

(b) Review and evaluate the scope of services for the selection of the Authority auditor as prepared by the Controller. Comment, as appropriate, on modifications to the scope of services. Serve as an advisory selection committee to the Authority Board on the selection of the auditor. Review and evaluate the annual audit of the Authority financial statements as presented by the selected auditor.

(c) Consult with the Authority Administrative Committee, the Executive Officer, the Controller and/or Director of Planning and Finance, and advise and inform the Authority Board on proposed financing mechanisms to fund the obligations of the Authority. The Finance Advisory Committee will develop recommendations to the Authority Board for actions associated with its advice and information responsibilities.

**Article 2.04. EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

2.04.010. **OFFICE CREATED.**

The office of the Executive Officer is created and established, as provided in the Authority Act. The Executive Officer is appointed by the Authority Board wholly on the basis of his or her administrative and executive ability and qualifications and holds office for and during the pleasure of the Authority Board.

2.04.020. **VACANT.**

2.04.030. **COMPENSATION.**

The Executive Officer receives such compensation as the Board from time to time determines. In addition, the Executive Officer is reimbursed for all actual and necessary expenses incurred by him in the performance of his official duties.

2.04.040. **POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER.**

(a) The Executive Officer is the administrative head of the Authority under the direction and control of the Authority Board except as otherwise provided in this Master Resolution. The Executive Officer is responsible for the efficient administration of all the affairs of the Authority, which are under the control of the Executive Officer. In addition to general powers of the Executive Officer as administrative head, and not as a limitation thereon, it is the duty of the Executive Officer and the Executive Officer has the powers set forth in the Authority Act and in the following sections.

(b) The Executive Officer has the following powers and duties:
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(1) To plan, organize, and direct all Authority activities under the policy direction of the Authority Board;

(2) To enforce strict compliance with the approved annual budget and approve only expenditures authorized in the approved budget;

(3) To hire and manage such staff as necessary to carry out the provisions of the Authority Act and this Master Resolution;

(4) To make recommendations to and requests of the Authority Board concerning all of the matters which are to be performed, done, or carried out by the Authority Board;

(5) To have charge of, handle, or have access to any property of the Authority, and make an inventory of all Authority property;

(6) To make all books and records of the Authority in the Executive Officer's hands open to inspection at all reasonable times by members of the Authority Board or their representatives;

(7) To execute agreements, contracts, and documents on behalf of the Authority;

(8) To prepare the agenda for each meeting of the Authority Board; and

(9) To chair the Administrative Committee described in Section 2.02.030 of this Master Resolution.

2.04.050. LAW ENFORCEMENT.

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to enforce all laws and ordinances of the Authority and to see that all franchises, contracts, permits, and privileges granted by the Authority Board are faithfully observed.

2.04.060. AUTHORITY OVER EMPLOYEES.

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to enforce all laws and ordinances of the Authority and to see that all franchises, contracts, permits, and privileges granted by the Authority Board are faithfully observed.

2.04.070. POWER OF APPOINTMENT AND REMOVAL.

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to, and the Executive Officer will appoint, remove, promote, demote and discipline any and all officers and employees of the Authority, except those officers and employees appointed by the Authority Board.

2.04.080. ADMINISTRATIVE REORGANIZATION OF OFFICES.

It is the duty and responsibility of the Executive Officer to conduct studies and effect such administrative reorganization of offices, positions, or units under
the Executive Officer's direction as may be indicated in the interest of efficient, effective, and economical conduct of the Authority's business.

2.04.090. **ORDINANCES.**

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to recommend to the Authority Board adoption of such measures and ordinances as the Executive Officer deems necessary.

2.04.100. **ATTENDANCE AT BOARD MEETINGS.**

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to attend all meetings of the Authority Board unless the Executive Officer is excused by the Chair individually or the Authority Board, except when the Executive Officer's removal is under consideration.

2.04.110. **FINANCIAL REPORTS.**

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to keep the Authority Board at all times fully advised as to the financial condition and needs of the Authority.

2.04.120. **BUDGET.**

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to prepare and submit the proposed annual budget and the proposed annual salary plan to the Authority Board.

2.04.130. **EXPENDITURE CONTROL AND PURCHASING.**

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to see that no expenditures be submitted or recommended to the Board except on approval of the Executive Officer. The Executive Officer is responsible for the purchase of all supplies for the Authority.

2.04.140. **INVESTIGATIONS AND COMPLAINTS.**

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to make investigations into the affairs of the Authority and any contract or the proper performance of any obligation to the Authority.

2.04.150. **FACILITIES.**

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to exercise general supervision over all public facilities and all other public property, which are under the control and jurisdiction of the Authority Board.

2.04.160. **CLERK TO THE BOARD.**

The Executive Officer performs all duties associated with the legal function of the Clerk to the Board position as provided in the Authority Act. The Executive Officer may designate a Clerk. However, the Executive Officer retains all responsibility for the duties of the clerk position.

2.04.170. **ADDITIONAL DUTIES.**

It is the duty of the Executive Officer to perform such other duties and exercise such other powers as may be delegated to the Executive Officer from time to time by ordinance or resolution or other official action of the Authority Board.
2.04.180. INTERFERENCE WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE.
The Authority Board and its members deal with the administrative services of the Authority only through the Executive Officer, except for the purpose of inquiry, and neither the Board nor any member thereof will give orders or instructions to any subordinates of the Executive Officer. The Executive Officer will take orders and instructions from the Authority Board only when sitting in a duly convened meeting of the Board and no individual member of the Authority will give any orders or instructions to the Executive Officer.

2.04.190. REMOVAL.
The removal of the Executive Officer may be effected with or without cause, but only by a majority vote of the whole Authority Board as then constituted, convened in a regular Board meeting. The Executive Officer shall be afforded at least 30 days written notice of the effective date of termination.

2.04.200. AGREEMENTS ON EMPLOYMENT.
Nothing in this Article is construed as a limitation on the power or authority of the Authority Board to enter into any agreement with the Executive Officer delineating additional terms and conditions of employment not inconsistent with any provisions of this Article, nor is this Article construed as limiting the power or authority of the Authority Board to enter into any agreement with any person or legally existing entity to provide the services of the Executive Officer as provided in the Authority Act and this Article.

Article 2.05. PERSONNEL
(Reserved)

Article 2.06. POLITICAL ACTIVITIES OF AUTHORITY EMPLOYEES
(Reserved)

Article 2.07. BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS
(Reserved)

Article 2.08. ADDITIONAL OFFICERS AND STAFF

2.08.010. TREASURER.
(a) The Authority Board may appoint a Treasurer to serve at its pleasure.
(b) The Treasurer of the Authority will be a depository and have custody of all the money of the Authority from whatever source. The Treasurer of the Authority will comply strictly with the provisions of state law relating to the duties of Treasurers of Joint Powers Authorities.

(c) The Treasurer ensures that all available cash on hand is at all times invested in a cash management program and investment portfolio pertaining thereto and ensure that efficient liquidity is maintained to meet the Authority's cash disbursement needs.

(d) The Chair may designate an Assistant Treasurer to act on behalf of the Treasurer in fiscal matters and to act on behalf of the Authority during any absence of the Treasurer.

(e) The Treasurer will furnish a corporate surety bond to be approved by the Authority Board in such sum as may be determined by the Authority Board and is conditioned upon the faithful performance of the duties imposed upon the Treasurer. Any premium for such bond is a proper charge against the Authority. This provision may be waived if a person serving as the Treasurer for a member agency serves as the Treasurer of the Authority.

2.08.020. FISCAL AGENT.

(a) The Authority Board may appoint a Fiscal Agent of the Authority to serve at its pleasure. The Fiscal Agent advises the Authority Board in connection with any accounting, budgetary, monetary, or other financial matters relating to the Authority. The duties and responsibilities of the Fiscal Agent include, but are not limited to those duties set forth in the Authority Act and include the following:

1. Establish with Authority Board approval of the annual budget format, accounts, and documentation pertaining to the budget and which most nearly reflect the objectives of the Authority;

2. Establish and maintain the particular funds and accounts as required by generally accepted accounting practices applicable to public entities and which most accurately and appropriately record and report the operations of the Authority as represented by the annual budget document;

3. Enforce strict compliance with the approved annual budget and approve only expenditures authorized in the approved budget;

4. Make all books and records of the Authority in the Controller's hands open to inspection at all reasonable times by the members of the Authority Board or their representatives;
(5) Sign all warrants for the payment of money from the funds of the Authority and pay and disburse such money on direction of the Board.

(b) The Fiscal Agent will furnish a corporate surety bond to be approved by the Authority Board in such amount as may be determined by the Authority Board and is conditioned upon the faithful performance of the duties of the Fiscal Agent. Any premium for such bond is a proper charge against the Authority. This provision may be waived if a person serving as the Auditor-Controller for a member agency serves as the Fiscal Agent of the Authority.

2.08.030. AUTHORITY COUNSEL.
The Authority Board will appoint Authority Counsel to serve at the pleasure of the Authority Board. The Authority Board may appoint additional counsel to assist Authority Counsel or provide special services as may be required by the Authority Board. Authority Counsel attends meetings of the Authority Board and the Executive Committee as required to advise the Authority Board in connection with any legal matters relating to the Authority.

2.08.040. AUTHORITY STAFF.
The Authority Staff consists of the Executive Officer, Authority Counsel, and such other staff as authorized in the Authority budget and approved by the Authority Board. The cost of all staff is borne solely by the Authority.

2.08.050. AGREEMENTS FOR SERVICES OF OFFICIALS AND STAFF.
Nothing in this Article is construed as limiting the power or authority of the Authority Board to enter into any agreement with any legally existing person or entity to provide the services of any or all of the officers or staff described in this Article as provided in the Authority Act and this Article. In addition, the Authority Board in its sole discretion may designate one person to hold one or more of the officer positions designated in this chapter.

Article 2.09. CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE.

2.09.010. PURPOSE AND EFFECT.
The terms of Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulation (Section 18730, et seq.), and any amendments thereto duly adopted by the Fair Political Practices Commission are hereby incorporated by reference and, along with the following sections in which officials and employees are designated and disclosure categories are set forth, constitute the conflict of interest code for the Authority. This Article constitutes the "Appendix" to Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations Section 18730, et seq.

2.09.020. DESIGNATED POSITIONS; DISCLOSURE CATEGORIES.
(a) Each employee filling a designated position, and any employee filling a designated position on a temporary or acting basis for more than thirty consecutive calendar days, will disclose all of the information set forth in all disclosure Categories A through H on such form as the Fair Political Practices Commission may designate. Designated positions are set forth below:

1. Board Members
2. Alternates to Board Members
3. Executive Officer
4. Assistant Executive Officer, if any
5. Treasurer, if any
6. Accounting/Finance Officer, if any
7. Controller, if any
8. Authority Counsel
9. Assistant Authority Counsel, if any
10. Planning Services Manager
11. Director of Planning and Redevelopment
12. Director of Engineering
13. Contract Specialist

(b) Each consultant, as defined in the California Code of Regulations Section 18700, will disclose all of the information set forth in all disclosure categories A through H on such form as the Fair Political Practices Commission may designate. The Executive Officer may determine in writing that a particular consultant, although a "designated position," is hired to perform a range of duties that are limited in scope and thus are not required to fully comply with the disclosure requirements of this section. The determination will include a description of the consultant's duties and, based upon that description, a statement of the extent of disclosure requirements. The determination of the Executive Officer is a public record and will be retained for public inspection in the same manner and location as this conflict of interest code.

2.09.030. PLACE AND TIME OF FILING.

(a) All officials and employees filling designated positions file statements of financial interest with the Executive Officer who receives such statements on behalf of the Authority Board. Unless otherwise required by state law, all statements of financial interest are deemed timely filed only when received by the Executive Officer on or before the following deadlines:

(b) Annual statements must be filed on or before April 1 of each calendar year. Such statements cover the period of the preceding calendar year or from the date of filing such statement as otherwise required by this Master Resolution.

(c) Initial statements must be filed within thirty days after assuming office disclosing interests held on the date of assuming office.
(d) Leaving office statements must be filed within thirty days of leaving office. Such statements cover the period between the closing date of the last statement required to be filed and the date of leaving office.

2.09.040. CONFLICT WITH OTHER LAWS.

Nothing contained herein is intended to modify or abridge the provisions of the Political Reform Act of 1974 (Government Code Section 81000, et seq.). The provisions of this Article are in addition to Government Code Section 87100 and other laws pertaining to conflicts of interest, including, but not limited to, Government Code Section 1090, et seq.
Chapter 3. PROCUREMENT CODE

Article 3.01. GENERAL PROVISIONS

3.01.010. GENERAL PROVISIONS.
This chapter of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Master Resolution will
be known and may be cited as the "Procurement Code of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority."

3.01.020. DEFINITIONS.
As used in this chapter the following terms have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates that a different meaning is intended:

"Area" means Monterey County, San Benito County, and Santa Cruz County.

"Construction" means the process of building, altering, repairing,
improving, or demolishing any structure or building owned or leased by the Authority or other improvements of any kind to any real property owned or maintained by the Authority or within any public right-of-way or easement within the jurisdictional limits of the Authority.

"Contractor" means any person, firm, corporation (including nonprofit), partnership, joint venture, association, or enterprise having a contract or attempting to obtain a contract with the Authority.

"Procurement" means the buying, purchasing, renting, leasing, or otherwise acquiring of any supplies, services, or construction. It also includes all functions that pertain to the obtaining of any supplies, services, or construction, including description of requirements, selection and solicitation of sources, preparation, and award of contracts, and all phases of contracting administration.

"Public project" means a project for construction.

"Resident" means a person who: (1) Maintains a domicile within the Area and such domicile is a person's true, fixed, established principal and permanent home; (2) Has no claim of residency elsewhere; and (3) Intends to remain in the Area indefinitely.

"Subcontractor" means any person, firm, corporation, partnership, joint venture, association, or enterprise that has or seeks to have a contract with a contractor to perform work required as part of a contract or agreement between a contractor and the Authority.

3.01.030. WAIVER.
The Board, in an appropriate circumstance as determined by the Board, may waive any provision of this chapter when deemed in the best interests of the Authority.
Article 3.02. PURCHASING SYSTEM

3.02.010. ADOPTION.
In order to establish efficient procedures for the purchase of supplies and equipment at the lowest possible cost, commensurate with quality needed to exercise positive financial control over purchases, to clearly define authority for the purchasing function, and to ensure the quality of purchases, a purchasing system is adopted.

3.02.020. SCOPE.
The procedures established by this Article apply only to the purchase of supplies, equipment, and services, and do not apply to public projects.

3.02.030. AUTHORITY OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER.
(a) In addition to the duties of the Executive Officer specified in Article 2.04 of this Master Resolution, the Executive Officer has the authority to:

(1) Purchase or contract for supplies and equipment required by any using agency in accordance with purchasing procedures prescribed by this Article, such administrative regulations as the Executive Officer adopts for the internal management and operation of the purchasing division and such other rules and regulations as prescribed by the Board or Executive Officer.

(2) Negotiate and recommend execution of contracts for the purchase of supplies and equipment.

(3) Act to procure for the Authority the needed quality in supplies and equipment at least expense to the Authority.

(4) Discourage uniform bidding and endeavor to obtain as full and open competition as possible on all purchases.

(5) Prepare and recommend to the Board rules governing the purchase of supplies and equipment for the Authority.

(6) Prepare and recommend revisions and amendments to the purchasing rules.

(7) Keep informed of current developments in the field of purchasing, prices, market conditions and new products.

(8) Prescribe and maintain such forms as are reasonably necessary for the operation of this chapter and other rules and regulations.

(9) Supervise the inspection of all supplies and equipment purchased to ensure conformance with specifications.

(10) Recommend the transfer of surplus or unused supplies and equipment between departments as needed.
(11) Maintain an approved vendors’ list, vendors’ catalog file and records needed for efficient performance of the duties of the Executive Officer.

3.02.040. PURCHASING REGULATIONS.
The Executive Officer is responsible for determining that the regulations and procedures in this chapter are carried out.

3.02.050. PURCHASE ORDERS.
Purchase of supplies and equipment are made only by purchase orders. Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, no purchase order will be issued unless the prior approval of the Executive Officer or his designated representative has been obtained.

3.02.060. ENCUMBRANCE OF FUNDS.
Except in cases of emergency, the Executive Officer will not issue any purchase order for supplies or equipment unless there exists an unencumbered appropriation in the fund account against which the purchase is to be charged.

3.02.070. INSPECTION AND TESTING.
The Executive Officer will, in the discretion of the Executive Officer, inspect supplies and equipment delivered to the Authority to determine conformance with the specifications set forth in the purchase order. The Executive Officer has the authority to require chemical and physical tests of samples submitted with bids and samples of deliveries, which are necessary to determine their quality and conformance with specifications.

3.02.080. FORMAL BID REQUIREMENTS.
(a) Except as otherwise provided in this Article, purchases of supplies and equipment of an estimated value greater than $25,000.00 will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder pursuant to the formal bid procedure prescribed in this section.

(b) Notices inviting formal bids include a general description of the article or service desired, state where bid documents and specifications may be secured, and the time and place for opening bids.

(c) Notices inviting formal bids will be published at least ten (10) days prior to the date of opening of the bids. Notices will be published at least once in a newspaper regularly circulated in the Authority and also on the Authority website.

(d) The Executive Officer will also solicit formal sealed bids from responsible suppliers whose names are on the approved vendors’ list, or who have made written request that their names be added thereto.
(e) Where deemed necessary by the Executive Officer, formal bids will be accompanied by security, either cash, cashier's check, certified check, or surety bond, in a sum equal to ten percent of the total aggregate of the bid, and be designated in the notice inviting bids. Bidders are entitled to the return of bid security; provided, however, that a successful bidder forfeits the bid security upon his refusal or failure to execute the contract within ten days after the notice of award of contract has been mailed, unless the Authority is solely responsible for the delay in executing the contract. The Board or Executive Officer may, on refusal or failure of the successful bidder to execute the contract, award it to the next lowest responsible bidder who is willing to execute the contract, or may reject all bids and re-advertise.

(f) The Executive Officer has the authority to require a faithful performance bond or other bonds before entering into a contract other than a public project contract. If bonds are required, the form and amount thereof will be designated in the notice inviting bids.

(g) Sealed bids must be submitted to the Executive Officer and identified as bids on the envelope. The purchasing officer, or designee, will publicly open all bids at the time and place stated in the public notices. A tabulation of all bids received will be available for public inspection in the purchasing office during regular business hours for a period of not less than 30 calendar days after the bid opening.

(h) In its discretion, the Authority Board or Executive Officer may reject any and all bids presented and may cause re-advertising for bids pursuant to the procedure prescribed in this Article. However, when all bids exceed the authorized budgeted amount, the Executive Officer may authorize rejection of all bids and authorize re-bidding based upon the original specifications or as they may be modified, in accordance with procedures prescribed in this Article.

(i) Except as otherwise provided in this Article, formal bid contracts will be awarded by the Authority Board to the lowest responsible bidder. The determination of lowest responsible bidder is at the discretion of the Authority Board pursuant to findings and recommendations presented by the Executive Officer at the time of award of contract.

(j) Subject to the provisions of Section 3.02.090, if two or more formal bids received are for the same total amount or unit price, quality and service being equal and if the public interest will not permit the delay of re-advertising for bids, the Authority Board may in its discretion accept the one it chooses or accept the lowest bid made by and after negotiation with the tie bidders at the time of the bid opening or award of contract.

3.02.090. PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL SUPPLIERS.

(a) Each local supplier providing goods or supplies funded in whole or in part by Authority funds, or funds which the Authority expends or administers, is eligible for a local preference as provided in this section.
(b) Each local supplier who is within five percent of the lowest responsible bid is provided the opportunity to reduce the local supplier's bid to the amount equal to the amount of the lowest responsible bid. The opportunity to reduce bid amounts is provided first to the lowest eligible local bidder and, if not accepted by such bidder within five business days of the opening of bids, then to each successive eligible bidder in ascending order of the amount of bids. In the event an eligible local supplier reduces the bid to the amount of the lowest responsible bid, the eligible local supplier will be deemed to have provided the lowest responsible bid and will be awarded the contract.

(c) For the purpose of this section, the term "local supplier" means a business or resident doing business as a supplier in the jurisdiction of the Authority for the past five years.

3.02.100. RECYCLED MATERIALS; COPYING.

The Authority encourages the use of recycled paper and materials in response to all bids for services and supplies to the Authority. Wherever possible, preference will be given to those vendors, suppliers, and consultants providing supplies and services to the Authority who utilize recycled materials, including recycled paper products. In addition, documents submitted for Authority use must be presented with front to back copying in order to minimize the amount of file space necessary for the maintenance of such documents, as well as to reduce the amount of paper required in the provision of governmental services.

3.02.110. NO FORMAL BIDS.

When no formal bids or no responsive bids are received, the Executive Officer is authorized to negotiate for written proposals, and the award, if any, will be made in accordance with applicable provisions prescribed in this Article.

3.02.120. OPEN MARKET OR INFORMAL BID PROCEDURE.

(a) Purchases of supplies and equipment of an estimated value in the amount of $25,000.00 or less may be made by the Executive Officer in the open market without observing the procedure prescribed in Sections 3.02.080. Open market purchases will, wherever possible, be based on at least three informal bids and will be awarded to the bidder offering the most advantageous bid to the Authority, in the opinion of the Executive Officer, after consideration of price, quality, durability, servicing, delivery time, standardization, location of vendor, and other factors.

(b) The Executive Officer will solicit informal bids by written requests to prospective vendors, or by telephone, or by public notice posted on a public bulletin board at the Authority offices. The Executive Officer will keep a written record of all open market purchases and informal bids for a period of two years. This record, while so kept, is open to public inspection.

3.02.130. EXCEPTIONS TO COMPETITIVE BIDDING REQUIREMENT.
(a) Notwithstanding any provision of this Article to the contrary, the competitive bidding procedures and requirements may be dispensed with in any of the following instances:

(1) When the estimated amount involved is less than $25,000.00.
(2) When the commodity can be obtained from only one vendor.
(3) When the Board finds that the commodity is unique and not subject to competitive bidding.
(4) The Board may authorize the purchase of materials, supplies, equipment, and services where an emergency is deemed to exist and it is determined that service involving the public health, safety, or welfare would be interrupted if the normal procedure were followed.
(5) Any agreement involving acquisition of supplies, equipment, or service entered into with another governmental entity.

(b) Contracts for personal services, for professional and consultant services, and for other, non-public projects and contractual services may be executed without observing the bidding procedures provided in this Article. The Executive Officer is authorized to enter into such contracts where the amount of the contract does not exceed $25,000.00, provided there exists an unencumbered appropriation in the fund account against which the expense is to be charged. Where the amount of the contract exceeds $25,000.00, the contract will be approved by the Authority Board. In the case of professional services, qualifications and experience to the benefit of the Authority will receive first consideration. Upon determination of these factors, a price or fee may be negotiated.

3.02.140. REGULATIONS REGARDING SELECTION OF CONTRACT SERVICES.

The Executive Officer will, by resolution, prescribe procedures, rules, and regulations, governing the solicitation, selection and award of proposals or bids for the furnishing of personal services or professional or consulting services or for other contractual services, the contracts for which may be awarded without observing the bidding procedures provided for in this chapter. Such procedures, rules and regulations have as one purpose: the obtaining of contractual services of the highest quality together with cost effectiveness.
Article 3.03. PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACTS

3.03.010. PROCEDURE-TYPE DESIGNATED FOR CERTAIN PURCHASES.
Public projects of less than $25,000.00 may be let to contract by
informal bidding procedures. All other public projects with a value greater than
$25,000.00 will, in all instances, be let to contract by formal bidding procedure.

3.03.020. PROCEDURE-INFORMAL.
(a) The notice inviting informal bids will be by published notice
and may, in addition, be supplemented by mailed notice and noticing on the Authority
website. The Executive Officer may cause the notice to be printed as display advertising
in such form and style as the Executive Officer deems appropriate. The notice will
describe in general terms the project to be done and state the closing date for submission
of such informal bids. Publication of notice pursuant to this section will be in a newspaper
of general circulation printed and published within the jurisdiction of the Authority. Notice
will be published in accordance with Section 6061 of the Government Code and will be
completed at least twenty-four hours before the time scheduled for opening of the bids.
(b) In addition to notice published in a newspaper of general
circulation, or mailed, pursuant to this section, the Executive Officer may also publish
notice inviting bids in a trade publication.
(c) Bids will be opened, examined, and declared by the Executive
Officer at a public meeting called by the Executive Officer in accordance with the notice
inviting bids. The results of the bidding will be reported to the Authority Board at the next
regular meeting after said bid opening.

3.03.030. PROCEDURE-FORMAL.
(a) The notices inviting formal bids will state the time and place
for the receiving and opening of sealed bids and distinctly state the project to be done.
The first publication or posting of the notice will be at least ten days before the date of
opening the bids. Notice will be published at least twice, not less than five days apart, in
a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the jurisdiction of the
Authority. The newspaper notice will include a description of the equipment or services to
be purchased, will state where bid blanks and specifications may be secured, and the
time and place for opening bids. In addition, the Executive Officer may also publish
notice inviting bids in a trade publication.
(b) When deemed necessary by the Board, bid deposits will be
described in the public notices inviting bids. Unsuccessful bidders are entitled to the
return of each required security.
(c) Bids must be submitted sealed to the Executive Officer and
identified as bids on the envelope. Bids will be opened, examined, and declared by the
Executive Officer at a public meeting called by the Executive Officer in accordance with
the notice inviting bids. A tabulation of all bids received will be provided to all bidders.
(d) The results of the bidding will be reported to the Authority Board at the next regular meeting after said bid opening.

(e) The Board has the authority to reject all bids, or parts of all bids for any one or more components included in the proposed contract, when the public interest will be served thereby.

(f) The Board has the authority to award contracts within the purview of this Master Resolution. Contracts will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder, with the exception of professional services only. In determining the lowest responsible bidder, the following may be considered, in addition to price if such factors are included in the bid specifications:

1. The quality of the work or construction offered;
2. The ability, capacity, and skill of the bidder to perform the contract;
3. Whether the bidder can perform the contract promptly, or within the time specified, without delay or interference;
4. The sufficiency of the bidder's financial resources and the effect thereof on the bidder's ability to perform the contract;
5. The character, integrity, reputation, judgment, experience, and efficiency of the bidder;
6. The quality of the bidder's performance on previous contracts for the Authority;
7. Litigation by the bidder on previous contracts with the Authority;
8. The previous and existing compliance by the bidder with laws and ordinances relating to the subject of the contract;
9. The ability of the bidder to provide future maintenance and service where such maintenance and service is essential.

(g) When the award is not given to the lowest bidder, a full and complete statement of the reasons therefore will be prepared by the Executive Officer and filed with the other papers related to the award of the contract.

3.03.040. LOCAL PREFERENCE.

(a) Each Contractor performing construction funded in whole or in part by Authority funds, or funds which, in accordance with a federal grant or otherwise, the Authority expends or administers, and to which the Authority is a signatory to the construction contract, will be eligible for a local preference as provided in the subsection, if such Contractor meets each of the following minimum requirements:
(1) The Contractor must be licensed by the State of California and be a business, employer, or resident doing business in the Area for the past five years.

(2) The Contractor must be a business, employer, or resident who has been adversely affected by the closure of the Fort Ord military base.

(3) Eighty percent (80%) of the work force of the Contractor must be residents of the Area and fifty percent (50%) of the Subcontractors must be residents of the Area.

(b) Each Contractor who is within five percent of the lowest responsible bid and who is eligible for a local preference under this subsection, will be provided the opportunity to reduce the Contractor’s bid to an amount equal to the amount of the lowest responsible bid. The opportunity to reduce bid amounts will be provided first to the lowest eligible bidder and, if not accepted by such bidder within five business days of the opening of bids, then to each successive eligible bidder in ascending order of the amount of the bids. In the event an eligible Contractor reduces the bid to the amount of the lowest responsible bid, the eligible Contractor will be deemed to have provided the lowest responsible bid and will be awarded the contract.

(c) In the event there is no available and qualified resident of the Area who can fill a specified position, vacancy, or job classification sought to be filled by the Contractor, or by a Subcontractor of the Contractor, the Contractor may request an exemption for the worker hours performed by a person who fills such position, vacancy, or job classification in computing the percentage of total worker hours performed by residents of the Area for the purpose of determining whether the Contractor has met the minimum requirements specified in this subsection. A Contractor seeking such an exemption must file a written application therefore with the Executive Officer on a form provided by the Executive Officer no later than ten days after the position, vacancy, or job classification for which the exemption is sought is filled by a nonresident of the Area. Such application must include a detailed written statement under oath describing the efforts and action taken by the Contractor, or the Contractor’s Subcontractor, in attempting to hire a resident of the Area for the position, vacancy, or job classification for which the exemption is sought, and such further and additional information as may be requested by the Executive Officer.

(d) The provisions of this subsection will expire and will no longer be in force or effect on December 31, 1999, unless otherwise extended by the Board prior to such date.

3.03.050. REJECTION OF BIDS.

In its discretion, the Authority Board may reject any bids presented. If, after the first invitation for bids, all bids are rejected, after re-evaluating its cost
estimates of the project, the Authority Board may abandon the project or re-advertise for
bids in the manner prescribed by this Article. If, after re-advertising, the Authority Board
rejects all bids presented, the Authority Board may proceed with the project by use of
Authority personnel or may re-advertise. If two or more bids are the same and the lowest,
the Authority Board may accept the one it chooses, subject to the limitations contained in
Section 3.02.040. If no bids are received, the Authority Board may have the project done
without further complying with this chapter.

3.03.060. LOWER NEGOTIATED PRICE OR PERFORMANCE BY
AUTHORITY PERSONNEL.
Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 3.02.050, if after the first
invitation for bids, all bids are rejected, the Authority Board may, after re-evaluating its
cost estimates of the project, pass a resolution by a four-fifths vote of its Board declaring
that the project can be performed more economically by Authority personnel, or that in its
opinion a contract to perform the project can be negotiated at a lower price than that in
any of the bids. Upon adoption of the resolution, it may have the project done in the
manner stated without further complying with this chapter.

3.03.070. PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
The Authority Board adopts plans, specifications, and working details
for all public projects the expenditure for which exceeds $25,000. Such plans,
specifications, and working details may be approved at the time the notice is authorized
or at the time the Authority Board approves a contract.

3.03.080. (Reserved)

3.03.090. PREVAILING WAGES.
(a) Not less than the general prevailing rate of wages for work of a
similar character in Monterey County, as determined by the Director of the Department of
Industrial Relations under Division 2, Part 7, Chapter 1 of the California Labor Code, will
be paid to all workers employed on the First Generation Construction performed on
parcels subject to the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan. This subsection applies to work
performed under Development Entitlements as defined in §1.01.050 of this Master
Resolution and by contract with a FORA member or a FORA member agency including
their transferees, agents, successors-in-interest, developers or building contractors.

This policy is limited to "First Generation Construction" work, which is
defined in §1.01.050 of this Master Resolution. In addition to the exceptions
enumerated in the definition of Development Entitlements found in §1.01.050 of this Master
Resolution, this policy does not apply to:

(1) construction work performed by the Authority or a member
jurisdiction with its own workforce;
(2) construction work performed by paid, full-time employees
of the developer, unless the developer is performing the
work of a contractor as defined in California Business and Professions Code §7026;
(3) construction improvements following issuance of an occupancy permit;
(4) affordable housing when exempted under California state law; and
(5) construction of facilities to be used for eleemosynary non-commercial purposes when owned in fee by a non-profit organization operating under §501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

(b) Member agencies must include language in all of their contracts and deeds for the conveyance, disposition and/or development of former Fort Ord property to give notice of and assure compliance with the policy set forth above in subsection 3.03.090(a).

(c) FORA determines compliance by member agencies with this section at the time of and as part of FORA’s consistency determination under Chapter 8 of this Master Resolution.

3.03.100 DEVELOPERS OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO AGREEMENTS WITH FORA. [Section repealed 3/9/07 by Resolution #07-4]

3.03.110. MINORITY, FEMALE, AND HANDICAPPED-OWNED BUSINESSES.
The rules and regulations, as amended, promulgated by the Department of Transportation of the State of California pursuant to Section 10115 of the Public Contract Code for the certification and establishment of specified preferences applicable to minority, female, and handicapped-owned businesses are applicable to contracts for construction awarded by FORA.
Chapter 4. DISPOSAL OF AUTHORITY PROPERTY
(reserved)
Chapter 5. FINANCES AND CLAIMS

Article 5.01. CLAIMS AGAINST THE AUTHORITY.

5.01.010. FILING REQUIREMENT.
All claims against the Authority must be filed with the Clerk to the Authority. The Clerk to the Authority Board will transmit copies of all such claims to the Executive Officer. For the purpose of this Article, the term “Executive Officer” means a person designated by the Executive Officer, including the Executive Officer, and such person may include a contractor of the Authority who performs risk management or claims adjustment duties for the Authority.

5.01.020. PROCESSING OF CLAIMS AGAINST THE AUTHORITY.

(a) The Executive Officer will evaluate the sufficiency and form of all claims against the Authority and give notices relative to any deficiency of such claims to the claimant. The Executive Officer will have all such claims investigated and will prepare an investigative report and a recommendation relating to each such claim. The Executive Officer, with the concurrence of the Authority Counsel, may approve for payment any claim within the jurisdictional limits of a municipal court in the State of California, deny any claim amounting to $50,000 or less, or compromise any claim in an amount less than the jurisdictional limits of a municipal court in the State of California. The Executive Officer is responsible for immediately notifying the claimant of such decision and expediting payment of any claim, which has been approved or compromised.

(b) For all claims not disposed of pursuant to Subsection (a) or of this section, the Authority Counsel will prepare and submit, as soon as practicable, a report to the Authority Board either in open session or in closed session, at the Authority Counsel’s election, together with a recommendation that such claim be approved, compromised, or denied. The Authority Counsel will advise the Executive Officer of the Board’s decision in the matter. The Executive Officer will thereupon notify the claimant, in writing, of the decision and expedite payment of any claim, which has been approved or compromised.

(c) Notwithstanding the above provisions, the Executive Officer will notify and send copies of all claims which are determined by the Executive Officer to be covered by insurance to the insurance carrier which provides coverage to the Authority, and is the Authority liaison with such carriers for the purpose of any claim involvement.

(d) In order to protect the best interest of the Authority and the officers, employees, and agents of the Authority with regard to the investigation, defense, or adjustment of applicable claims incurred against the Authority or its officers, employees, and agents, the Executive Officer and the Authority Counsel are directed to establish and maintain necessary administrative procedures and incident report forms to ensure the confidential coordination of case facts and related information. The
procedures and forms will ensure reasonable use of the principle of privileged client-
attorney communication for confidentiality in the defense or adjustment of all claims as
provided by law.

(e) The legal defense of claims filed against the Authority, which
are not covered by insurance, is the responsibility of the Authority Counsel or a legal firm
or firms designated by the Authority Board. Authorized legal defense costs in conjunction
with the defense of such claims are paid from appropriate Authority funds as designated
by the Authority Board from time to time.

5.01.030. PROCEDURE FOR COLLECTION, COMPROMISE, AND
WRITE-OFF OF CLAIMS OF THE AUTHORITY AGAINST
OTHERS.

(a) Claims of the Authority against other persons or entities will be
handled according to the procedures designated in this section.

(b) The Executive Officer is authorized to pursue collection of any
claims of the Authority against others. The Executive Officer may, in furtherance of such
claims collection, accept a promissory note to repay the claim over a period of time, file a
small claims court action to secure a judgment when the amount of the claim does not
exceed the small claims court jurisdictional limit, or assign the claim, promissory note, or
judgment to a collection agency. When the Executive Officer determines it is in the best
interest of the Authority to do so, considering the cost of collection and the merits of the
claim, the Executive Officer may:

(1) Accept a compromise settlement and write-off the
balance of the claim as uncollectible, where the amount
of the write-off does not exceed the small claims court
jurisdictional limit;

(2) File an action in small claims court and write-off any
amount in excess of such court’s jurisdiction, where the
amount of the write-off does not exceed the small
claims court jurisdictional limit, or

(3) Write off the claim in full where the amount of the write-
off does not exceed the small claims court jurisdictional
limit.

(c) Any claim, which cannot be collected in full or disposed of in
accordance with this subsection, will be sent to Authority Counsel for collection.

(d) The Authority Counsel will pursue collection and may, in
furtherance of such collection, accept a promissory note to repay the claim over a period
of time, file an action in the appropriate court to secure a judgment, or assign the claim,
promissory note, or judgment to a collection agency. When the Authority Counsel
determines it is in the best interest of the Authority to do so, considering the cost of
collection and the merits of the claim, the Authority Counsel may:
(1) Authorize the Executive Officer to accept a compromise settlement and write off the balance of the claim as uncollectible where the amount of the write-off does not exceed the municipal court jurisdictional limit; or

(2) Authorize the Executive Officer to file an action in small claims court and write off any amount in excess of such court's jurisdiction, where the amount of the write-off does not exceed the municipal court jurisdictional limit; or

(3) Authorize the Controller to write off the claim in full where the amount of the write-off does not exceed the municipal court jurisdictional limit.

(e) When the Authority Counsel determines it is in the best interest of the Authority to accept a compromise settlement of the claim and write off the balance as uncollectible, or to write off the claim in full as uncollectible, the Authority Counsel will submit the matter to the Authority Board for approval where the amount of the write-off exceeds the municipal court jurisdictional limit.
Chapter 6. AUTHORITY FEE REGULATIONS

Article 6.01. GENERAL

6.01.010. ESTABLISHMENT OF FEE REGULATIONS.
Except as otherwise provided in this Master Resolution, all fees, penalties, refunds, reimbursements, and charges of any kind collected by the Authority may be adopted by resolution or may be designated in this chapter of the Master Resolution, as amended by the Authority Board from time to time. Whenever applicable throughout the Master Resolution, reference may be made to this chapter in lieu of any reference to specific fee amounts.
Chapter 7. PUBLIC WORKS
(reserved)
Chapter 8. BASE REUSE PLANNING AND CONSISTENCY DETERMINATIONS

Article 8.01 GENERAL PROVISIONS

8.01.010. REUSE PLAN.

(a) The Authority Board will prepare, adopt, review, revise from time to time, and maintain a Reuse Plan for the use and development of the territory within the jurisdiction of the Authority. Such plan contains the elements mandated pursuant to the Authority Act and such other elements, policies, and programs as the Authority Board may, in its sole discretion, consider and adopt.

(b) The Reuse Plan, including all elements, policies and programs adopted in conjunction with the Reuse Plan, and any amendments thereto, is the official and controlling plan for the reuse of the Fort Ord Territory for the purposes specified or inferred in the Authority Act.

(c) All general and specific plans, redevelopment plans, and all other community and local plans regardless of title or description, and any amendments thereto, and all policies and programs relating to the land use or the construction, installation, or maintenance of capital improvements or public works within the Fort Ord Territory, must be consistent with the Reuse Plan of the Authority and the plans and policies of the Authority, including the Master Resolution. The Authority will make a determination of consistency as provided pursuant to the provisions of the Authority Act and, after the effective date hereof, this chapter.

(d) A revision or other change to the Reuse Plan which only affects Fort Ord Territory and only one of the member agencies may only be adopted by the Authority Board if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1. The revision or other change was initiated by resolution adopted by the legislative body of the affected land use agency and approved by at least a majority affirmative vote of the Authority Board; or

2. The revision or other change was initiated by the Authority Board; or any entity other than the affected land use agency and approved by at least a two-thirds affirmative vote of the Authority Board.

(e) All property transferred from the federal government to any user or purchaser, whether public or private, will only be used in a manner consistent with the Reuse Plan, with the following exceptions:

1. Property transferred to California State University or the University of California and such property is used for educationally related or research oriented purposes; or

2. Property transferred to the California State Parks and Recreation Department.
(f) No land use agency or any local agency **may** permit, approve, or otherwise allow any development or other change of use, or approve any development entitlement, for property within the territory of the Authority that is not consistent with the Reuse Plan.

(g) No land use agency **may** issue, approve, or otherwise allow any building permit until all applicable permits, development entitlements, and approvals required under law have been approved, including, but not limited to, the approvals and permits described and enumerated in Section 3.7 of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Reuse Plan.

(h) The Reuse Plan **will** be reviewed periodically at the discretion of the Authority Board. The Authority Board **will** perform a full reassessment, review, and consideration of the Reuse Plan and all mandatory elements as specified in the Authority Act prior to the allocation of an augmented water supply, or prior to the issuance of a building permit for the 6001st new residential dwelling unit (providing a total population of 35,000 persons) on the Fort Ord Territory or by January 1, 2013, whichever event occurs first. No more than 6000 new dwelling units **will** be permitted on the Fort Ord Territory until such reassessment, review, and consideration of the Reuse Plan has been prepared, reviewed, and adopted pursuant to the provisions of the Authority Act, the Master Resolution, and all applicable environmental laws. No development **will** be approved by FORA or any land use agency or local agency after the time specified in this subsection unless and until the water supplies, wastewater disposal, road capacity, and the infrastructure to supply these resources to serve such development have been identified, evaluated, assessed, and a plan for mitigation has been adopted as required by California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the Authority Act, the Master Resolution, and all applicable environmental laws.

(i) **The failure of any persons or entity to receive notice given pursuant to this chapter does not constitute grounds for any court to invalidate the action on any legislative act or development entitlement pursuant to this chapter for which required notice was given.**

(j) **The Authority will record a notice on all property in the Fort Ord Territory advising all current and future owners of property of the existence of the Reuse Plan and that development of such property is limited by the Reuse Plan, the policies and programs of the Authority, including the Master Resolution, and/or the constraints on development identified in the Reuse Plan, including lack of available water supply, wastewater and solid waste disposal capacity, and inadequate transportation and other services and infrastructure.**

(k) **In the event the Authority receives, purchases, or acquires, by any means, fee interest title to property within the Fort Ord Territory, the Authority will record a covenant running with the land advising all future owners of such property that development and use of the property is subject to the Reuse Plan and that development of such property is limited by the Reuse Plan, the policies and programs of the Authority,**
including the Master Resolution, and/or constraints on development identified in the Reuse Plan, including lack of available water supply, wastewater and solid waste disposal capacity, and inadequate transportation and other services and infrastructure.

8.01.020. PROCEDURES FOR CONSISTENCY DETERMINATIONS FOR LEGISLATIVE LAND USE DECISIONS.

(a) Each land use agency will submit all legislative land use decisions affecting property in the territory of the Authority to the Executive Officer for review and processing.

(b) All submissions regarding a legislative land use decision must include:

1. A complete copy of the legislative land use decision, including related or applicable text, maps, graphics, and studies;
2. A copy of the resolution or ordinance of the legislative body approving the legislative land use decision, adopted at the conclusion of a noticed hearing certifying that the portion of a legislative land use decision applicable to the Fort Ord Territory is intended to be carried out in a manner fully in conformity with the Reuse Plan and the Authority Act;
3. A copy of all staff reports and materials presented or made available to the legislative body approving the legislative decision, or any advisory agency relating to the legislative land use decision;
4. A copy of the completed environmental assessment related to the legislative land use decision;
5. A statement of findings and evidence supporting the findings that the legislative land use decision is consistent with the Reuse Plan, the Authority's plans and policies, including the Master Resolution, and is otherwise consistent with the Authority Act; and
6. Such other materials as the Executive Officer deems necessary or appropriate and which have been identified within fifteen (15) days of the receipt of the items, described in subsection (b) of this Section.

(c) Within ninety (90) days of the receipt of all of the items described in subsection (b) above, or from the date the Executive Officer accepts the submission as complete, whichever event occurs first, the Authority Board will conduct a noticed public hearing, calendared and noticed by the Executive Officer, to certify or refuse to certify, in whole or in part, the portion of the legislative land use decision applicable to Fort Ord Territory. The Authority Board will adopt a resolution making findings in support of its decision, such decision will be rendered within the time frame described in this section, and such decision will be final. In the event the Authority Board
fails, within the time frames described in this section, to conduct a public hearing or take action on determining whether the land use decision is consistent with the Plan and the Authority Act, the land use agency may file, upon ten (10) days notice, a request with the Executive Officer to have the matter placed on the next Board agenda for a noticed public hearing to take action to consider the consistency finding and the Board will take action at such noticed public hearing and such decision will be final.

(d) In the event the Authority Board finds, on the basis of substantial evidence supported on the record, that the legislative act is consistent with the Reuse Plan and this chapter, the Authority Board will certify the legislative act pursuant to the provisions of the Authority Act.

(e) In the event the Authority Board refuses to certify the legislative land use decision in whole or in part, the Authority Board’s resolution making findings will include suggested modifications which, if adopted and transmitted to the Authority Board by the affected land use agency, will allow the legislative land use decision to be certified. If such modifications are adopted by the affected land use agency as suggested, and the Executive Officer confirms such modifications have been made, the legislative land use decision will be deemed certified. In the event the affected land use agency elects to meet the Authority Board’s refusal or certification in a manner other than as suggested by the Authority Board, the legislative body of the affected land use agency must resubmit its legislative land use decision to the Executive Officer and follow the procedures contained in this section.

(f) No legislative land use decision will be deemed final and complete, nor will any land use entitlement be issued for property affected otherwise permitted by such legislative land use decision unless it has been certified pursuant to the procedures described in this section.

(g) The Authority Board may only refuse to certify zoning ordinances, zoning district maps, or other legislative land use decision on the grounds that such actions do not conform with, or are inadequate to carry out, the provisions of the general plan, certified as consistent with the Reuse Plan pursuant to the provisions of this section, applicable to the affected property.

(h) Nothing in this section or in this chapter will apply to be or construed as adversely affecting any consistency determination previously obtained by a land use agency and certified by the Authority Board pursuant to the Authority Act.

8.01.030. REVIEW OF DEVELOPMENT ENTITLEMENTS.

(a) After the portion of a general plan applicable to Fort Ord Territory has become effective, development review authority within such portion of territory will be exercised by the land use agency with jurisdiction lying within the area to which the general plan applies. Each land use agency may issue or deny, or conditionally issue, development entitlements within their respective jurisdictions so long as the land use agency has a general plan certified pursuant to Section 8.01.020 and the
decisions issuing, denying, or conditionally issuing development entitlements are consistent with the adopted and certified general plan, the Reuse Plan, and is in compliance with CEQA and all other applicable laws.

(b) All decisions on development entitlements of a land use agency affecting property within the territory of the Authority may be reviewed by the Authority Board on its own initiative, or may be appealed to the Authority Board, subject to the procedures specified in this Section. No development entitlement will be deemed final and complete until the appeal and review procedures specified in this Section and Sections 8.01.040 and 8.01.050 of this chapter have been exhausted.

(c) The land use agency approving a development entitlement within the jurisdiction of the Authority must provide notice of approval or conditional approval to the Executive Officer. Notice of approval or conditional approval of a development entitlement must include:

(1) A complete copy of the approved development entitlement, including related or applicable text, maps, graphics, and studies.

(2) A copy of all staff reports and materials presented or made available to any hearing body that reviewed the development entitlement.

(3) A copy of the completed environmental assessment related to the development entitlement.

8.01.040. REVIEW OF DEVELOPMENT ENTITLEMENTS BY INITIATIVE OF THE AUTHORITY BOARD.

Within thirty-five (35) days of the receipt of all of the notice materials described in Subsection (c) of Section 8.01.030, the Authority Board, on its own initiative, may consider a resolution setting a hearing on a development entitlement affecting Fort Ord Territory. The Authority Board may continue the matter of setting a hearing once for any reason. In the event the Authority Board does not act to set the matter for hearing within the thirty-five (35) day time period or at the continued meeting, whichever event is last, the decision of the land use agency approving the development entitlement will be deemed final and will not be subject to review by the Authority Board pursuant to this section. Nothing in this section will be construed as abrogating any rights that any person may have to appeal development entitlements to the Authority Board pursuant to Section 8.01.050. In the event the Authority Board sets the matter for hearing, such hearing will commence at the first regular meeting of the Authority Board following the date the Authority Board passed its resolution setting the matter for hearing or at a special hearing date prior to such regular meeting. The Authority Board may continue the matter once. In the event the Authority Board fails to take action on the development entitlement within such time period, the development entitlement will be deemed approved.

8.01.050. REVIEW OF DEVELOPMENT ENTITLEMENTS BY APPEAL TO AUTHORITY BOARD.

(a) Within ten (10) days of a land use agency approving a development entitlement, any person aggrieved by that approval and who participated
either orally or in writing, in that agency's hearing on the matter, may file a written appeal of such approval with the Executive Officer, specifically setting forth the grounds for the appeal, which is limited to issues raised at the hearing before the land use agency. The person filing the appeal will pay a filing fee in an amount equal to the fee for appeal of combined development permits as established by the Monterey County Board of Supervisors for the cost of processing the appeal. The Executive Officer will set, schedule, and notice a public hearing before the Authority Board. In the event the Authority Board fails to act on the development entitlement within the time periods specified in this section to conduct a public hearing and take action within sixty (60) days on determining whether the development entitlement is consistent with the Reuse Plan and the Authority Act, the land use agency may file, upon ten (10) days notice, a request with the Authority Board to have the matter placed on the next Board agenda for a noticed public hearing to take action to consider the development entitlement.

(b) At the time and place noticed by the Executive Officer, the Authority Board will conduct a hearing on the development entitlement. The Authority Board may continue the matter once for any reason.

(c) Said continued hearing must be rescheduled to a date that is not later than thirty-five (35) days from the date of the initial hearing date. In the event the Authority Board determines the development entitlement is not consistent with the Reuse Plan, the development will be denied and the Authority Board's decision will be final. In the event the Authority Board determines the development entitlement is consistent with the Reuse Plan, the Authority Board will approve the development entitlement.

8.01.060. **SUPERCESSION.**

In the event of a conflict or inconsistency between this chapter of the Master Resolution and the Reuse Plan, the Development and Resource Plan, and other adopted FORA policies and procedures in regards to legislative land use decisions and/or development entitlements affecting lands within the affected territory, the provisions of this chapter govern.

8.01.070. **FORA AS RESPONSIBLE AGENCY UNDER CEQA.**

In taking action on all legislative land decisions and for review of all development entitlements, the Authority Board acts as a responsible agency under CEQA.

8.01.080. **ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.**

Any administrative decision made by the Executive Officer may be appealed to the Authority Board within fifteen (15) days by completing and filing a notice of appeal at the Office of the Executive Officer.
Article 8.02. CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION CRITERIA

8.02.010. LEGISLATIVE LAND USE DECISION CONSISTENCY.

(a) In the review, evaluation, and determination of consistency regarding legislative land use decisions, the Authority Board may disapprove any legislative land use decision for which there is substantial evidence supported by the record that:

1. Provides a land use designation that allows more intense land uses than the uses permitted in the Reuse Plan for the affected territory;
2. Provides for a development more dense than the density of uses permitted in the Reuse Plan for the affected territory;
3. Is not in substantial conformance with applicable programs specified in the Reuse Plan and Section 8.02.020 of this Master Resolution;
4. Provides uses which conflict or are incompatible with uses permitted or allowed in the Reuse Plan for the affected property or which conflict or are incompatible with open space, recreational, or habitat management areas within the jurisdiction of the Authority;
5. Does not require or otherwise provide for the financing and/or installation, construction, and maintenance of all infrastructure necessary to provide adequate public services to the property covered by the legislative land use decision; and
6. Does not require or otherwise provide for implementation of the Fort Ord Habitat Management Plan.

(b) FORA will not preclude the transfer of intensity of land uses and/or density of development involving properties within the affected territory as long as the land use decision meets the overall intensity and density criteria of Sections 8.02.010(a)(1) and (2) above as long as the cumulative net density or intensity of the Fort Ord Territory is not increased.

(c) The Authority Board, in its discretion, may find a legislative land use decision is in substantial compliance with the Reuse Plan when the Authority Board finds that the applicant land use agency has demonstrated compliance with the provisions specified in this section and Section 8.020.020 of this Master Resolution.

8.02.020. SPECIFIC PROGRAMS AND MITIGATION MEASURES FOR INCLUSION IN LEGISLATIVE LAND USE DECISIONS.

(a) Prior to approving any development entitlements, each land use agency must act to protect natural resources and open spaces on Fort Ord Territory.
by including the open space and conservation policies and programs of the Reuse Plan, applicable to the land use agency, into their respective general, area, and specific plans.

1. Each land use agency must review each application for a development entitlement for compatibility with adjacent open space land uses and require suitable open space buffers to be incorporated into the development plans of any potentially incompatible land uses as a condition of project approval.

2. When buffers are required as a condition of approval adjacent to Habitat Management areas, the buffer must be designed in a manner consistent with those guidelines set out in the Habitat Management Plan. Roads are not allowed within the buffer area adjacent to Habitat Management areas except for restricted access maintenance or emergency access roads.

(b) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans that will ensure consistency of future use of the property within the coastal zone through the master planning process of the California Department of Parks and Recreation, if applicable. All future use of such property must comply with the requirements of the Coastal Zone Management Act and the California Coastal Act and the coastal consistency determination process.

(c) Monterey County must include policies and programs in its applicable general, area, and specific plans that will ensure that future development projects at East Garrison are compatible with the historic context and associated land uses and development entitlements are appropriately conditioned prior to approval.

(d) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans that limit recreation in environmentally sensitive areas, including, but not limited to, dunes and areas with rare, endangered, or threatened plant or animal communities to passive, low intensity recreation, dependent on the resource and compatible with its long term protection. Such policies and programs will prohibit passive, low-density recreation if the Board finds that such passive, low-density recreation will compromise the ability to maintain an environmentally sensitive resource.

(e) Each land use agency will include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans that encourage land uses that are compatible with the character of the surrounding districts or neighborhoods and discourage new land use activities which are potential nuisances and/or hazards within and in close proximity to residential areas. Reuse of property in the Army urbanized footprint should be encouraged.
(f) Each land use agency with jurisdiction over property in the Army urbanized footprint must adopt the cultural resources policies and programs of the Reuse Plan concerning historic preservation, and provide appropriate incentives for historic preservation and reuse of historic property, as determined by the affected land use agency, in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans.

(g) The County of Monterey must amend the Greater Monterey Peninsula Area Plan and designate the Historic East Garrison Area as an historic district in the County Reservation Road Planning Area. The East Garrison will be planned and zoned for planned development mixed uses consistent with the Reuse Plan. In order to implement this aspect of the plan, the County must adopt at least one specific plan for the East Garrison area and such specific plan must be approved before any development entitlement is approved for such area.

(h) Each land use agency will include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans that support all actions necessary to ensure that sewage treatment facilities operate in compliance with waste discharge requirements adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board.

(i) Each land use agency must adopt the following policies and programs:

   (1) A solid waste reduction and recycling program applicable to Fort Ord Territory consistent with the provisions of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989, Public Resources Code Section 40000 et seq.;

   (2) A program that will ensure that each land use agency carries out all actions necessary to ensure that the installation of water supply wells comply with State of California Water Well Standards and well standards established by the Monterey County Health Department; and

   (3) A program that will ensure that each land use agency carries out all actions necessary to ensure that distribution and storage of potable and non-potable water comply with State Health Department regulations.

(j) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans to address water supply and water conservation. Such policies and programs must include the following:

   (1) Identification of, with the assistance of the Monterey County Water Resources Agency and the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, potential reservoir and water impoundment sites and zoning of such sites for watershed use, thereby precluding urban development;
(2) Commence working with appropriate agencies to determine the feasibility of development of additional water supply sources, such as water importation and desalination, and actively participate in implementing the most viable option or options;

(3) Adoption and enforcement of a water conservation ordinance which includes requirements for plumbing retrofits and is at least as stringent as Regulation 13 of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, to reduce both water demand and effluent generation;

(4) Active participation in support of the development of "reclaimed" or "recycled" water supply sources by the water purveyor and the Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency to ensure adequate water supplies for the territory within the jurisdiction of the Authority;

(5) Promotion of the use of on-site water collection, incorporating measures such as cisterns or other appropriate improvements to collect surface water for in-tract irrigation and other non-potable use;

(6) Adoption of policies and programs consistent with the Authority's Development and Resource Management Plan to establish programs and monitor development of territory within the jurisdiction of the Authority to assure that it does not exceed resource constraints posed by water supply;

(7) Adoption of appropriate land use regulations that will ensure that development entitlements will not be approved until there is verification of an assured long-term water supply for such development entitlements;

(8) Participation in the development and implementation of measures that will prevent seawater intrusion into the Salinas Valley and Seaside groundwater basins, and

(9) Implementation of feasible water conservation methods where and when determined appropriate by the land use agency, consistent with the Reuse Plan, including; dual plumbing using non-potable water for appropriate functions; cistern systems for roof-top run-off; mandatory use of reclaimed water for any new golf courses; limitation on the use of potable water for golf courses; and publication of annual water reports disclosing water consumption by types of use.

(k) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans that will require new development to demonstrate that all measures will be taken to ensure that storm water
runoff is minimized and infiltration maximized in groundwater recharge areas. Such policies and programs must include:

1. Preparation, adoption, and enforcement of a storm water detention plan that identifies potential storm water detention design and implementation measures to be considered in all new development, in order to increase groundwater recharge and thereby reduce potential for further seawater intrusion and provide for an augmentation of future water supplies.

2. Preparation, adoption, and enforcement of a Master Drainage Plan to assess the existing natural and man-made drainage facilities, recommend area-wide improvements based on the approved Reuse Plan, and develop plans for the control of storm water runoff from future development. Such plans for control of storm water runoff must consider and minimize any potential for groundwater degradation and provide for the long-term monitoring and maintenance of all storm water retention ponds.

(i) Each land use agency must adopt policies and programs that ensure that all proposed land uses on the Fort Ord Territory are consistent with the hazardous and toxic materials clean-up levels as specified by state and federal regulation.

(m) Each land use agency must adopt and enforce an ordinance acceptable to the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") to control and restrict excavation or any soil movement on those parcels of the Fort Ord Territory, which were contaminated with unexploded ordinance, and explosives. Such ordinance must prohibit any digging, excavation, development, or ground disturbance of any type to be caused or otherwise allowed to occur without compliance with the ordinance. A land use agency must not make any substantive change to such ordinance without prior notice to and approval by DTSC.

(n) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans that will help ensure an efficient regional transportation network to access the territory under the jurisdiction of the Authority, consistent with the standards of the Transportation Agency of Monterey County. Such policies and programs must include:

1. Establishment and provision of a dedicated funding mechanism to pay for the "fair share" of the impact on the regional transportation system caused or contributed by development on territory within the jurisdiction of the Authority; and

2. Support and participate in regional and state planning efforts and funding programs to provide an efficient
regional transportation effort to access Fort Ord Territory.

(o) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans that ensure that the design and construction of all major arterials within the territory under the jurisdiction of the Authority will have direct connections to the regional network consistent with the Reuse Plan. Such plans and policies must include:

1. Preparation and adoption of policies and programs consistent with the Authority's Development and Resource Management Plan to establish programs and monitor development to assure that it does not exceed resource constraints posed by transportation facilities;

2. Design and construction of an efficient system of arterials in order to connect to the regional transportation system; and

3. Designate local truck routes to have direct access to regional and national truck routes and to provide adequate movement of goods into and out of the territory under the jurisdiction of the Authority.

(p) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans to provide regional bus service and facilities to serve key activity centers and key corridors within the territory under the jurisdiction of the Authority in a manner consistent with the Reuse Plan.

(q) Each land use agency must adopt policies and programs that ensure development and cooperation in a regional law enforcement program that promotes joint efficiencies in operations, identifies additional law enforcement needs, and identifies and seeks to secure the appropriate funding mechanisms to provide the required services.

(r) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans that ensure development of a regional fire protection program that promotes joint efficiencies in operations, identifies additional fire protection needs, and identifies and seeks to secure the appropriate funding mechanisms to provide the required services.

(s) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their respective applicable general, area, and specific plans that will ensure that native plants from on-site stock will be used in all landscaping except for turf areas, where practical and appropriate. In areas of native plant restoration, all cultivars, including, but not limited to, manzanita and ceanothus, must be obtained from stock originating on Fort Ord Territory.
(t) Each land use agency must include policies and programs in their general, area, and specific plans that will ensure compliance with the 1997 adopted FORA Reuse Plan jobs/housing balance provisions. The policies and programs for the provision of housing must include flexible targets that generally correspond with expected job creation on the former Fort Ord. It is recognized that, in addressing the Reuse Plan jobs/housing balance, such flexible targets will likely result in the availability of affordable housing in excess of the minimum 20% local jurisdictional inclusionary housing figure, which could result in a range of 21% - 40% below market housing. Each land use agency should describe how their local inclusionary housing policies, where applicable, address the Reuse Plan jobs/housing balance provisions.

1. Agencies submitting consistency determination requests to FORA should identify and describe, where applicable, any factors that impact production of housing. These factors may include, without limitation, public financing, water resources, land use regulations, and environmental conditions. Each jurisdiction should consider but not be limited to, the following in establishing its Reuse Plan jobs/housing balance policies and programs:
   a. Earmarking of tax increment housing set aside funds for housing programs, production, and/or preservation linked to jobs;
   b. Development and/or preservation of ownership or rental housing linked to jobs;
   c. Incorporation of job creation targets in project specifications;
   d. Linkage of existing housing resources with jobs created;
   e. Development of agreements with such jurisdictions for Reuse Plan-enhancing job creation or housing programs, production, and/or preservation; and
   f. Granting of incentives to increase additional below-market housing productions to meet job creation needs.

2. As a reference and guide for determining income limits and housing affordability levels, each land use agency should use measures established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the California Department of Housing and Community Development, and/or the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments when determining compliance for very low, low, median, moderate affordability and comparable affordability factors for below-market housing up to 180% of median as approved as FORA
policy guidelines at the January 9, 2004 FORA Board meeting.

8.02.030. DEVELOPMENT ENTITLEMENT CONSISTENCY.
   (a) In the review, evaluation, and determination of consistency regarding any development entitlement presented to the Authority Board pursuant to Section 8.01.030 of this Resolution, the Authority Board will withhold a finding of consistency for any development entitlement that:

(1) Provides an intensity of land uses, which is more intense than that provided for in the applicable legislative land use decisions, which the Authority Board has found consistent with the Reuse Plan;

(2) Is more dense than the density of development permitted in the applicable legislative land use decisions which the Authority Board has found consistent with the Reuse Plan;

(3) Is not conditioned upon providing, performing, funding, or making an agreement guaranteeing the provision, performance, or funding of all programs applicable to the development entitlement as specified in the Reuse Plan and in Section 8.02.020 of this Master Resolution and consistent with local determinations made pursuant to Section 8.02.040 of this Resolution.

(4) Provides uses which conflict or are incompatible with uses permitted or allowed in the Reuse Plan for the affected property or which conflict or are incompatible with open space, recreational, or habitat management areas within the jurisdiction of the Authority.

(5) Does not require or otherwise provide for the financing and installation, construction, and maintenance of all infrastructure necessary to provide adequate public services to the property covered by the applicable legislative land use decision.

(6) Does not require or otherwise provide for implementation of the Fort Ord Habitat Management Plan.

(7) Is not consistent with the Highway 1 Scenic Corridor design standards as such standards may be developed and approved by the Authority Board.

(8) Is not consistent with the jobs/housing balance requirements developed and approved by the Authority Board as provided in Section 8.02.020(t) of this Master Resolution.
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8.02.040.  ADOPTION OF REQUIRED PROGRAMS.

No development entitlement will be approved or conditionally approved within the jurisdiction of any land use agency until the land use agency has taken appropriate action, in the discretion of the land use agency, to adopt the programs specified in the Reuse Plan, the Habitat Management Plan, the Development and Resource Management Plan, the Reuse Plan Environmental Impact Report Mitigation and Monitoring Plan and this Master Resolution applicable to such development entitlement.

Article 8.03 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

8.03.010.  ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND PURPOSE.

The purposes of this Article are to provide guidelines for the study of proposed activities and the effect that such activities would have on the environment in accordance with the requirements of the CEQA.

8.03.020.  DEFINITIONS.

Except as otherwise defined in this section, words and phrases used in this Article have the same meaning given them by Chapter 2.5 of the California Environmental Quality Act and by Article 20 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

8.03.030.  STATE CEQA GUIDELINES ADOPTED.

The Authority hereby adopts the State CEQA Guidelines ("Guidelines") as set forth in Title 14, Section 15000 et seq. of the California Administrative Code and as may be amended from time to time. This adoption is not construed so as to limit the Authority's ability or authority to adopt additional implementing procedures in accordance with Section 15022 of such Guidelines, or to adopt other legislative enactments the Board may deem necessary or convenient for the protection of the environment.

8.03.040.  EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RESPONSIBILITY.

(a) The Executive Officer will, consistent with FORA obligations:

(1) Generate and keep a list of exempt projects and report such list to the Board.
(2) Conduct initial studies.
(3) Prepare negative declarations.
(4) Prepare draft and final environmental impact reports.
(5) Consult with and obtain comments from other public agencies and members of the public with regard to the environmental effect of projects, including "scoping" meetings when deemed necessary or advisable.
(6) Assure adequate opportunity and time for public review and comment on a draft environmental impact report or negative declaration.
(7) Evaluate the adequacy of an environmental impact report or negative declaration and make appropriate recommendations to the Board.

(8) Submit the final appropriate environmental document to the Board who will approve or disapprove a project. The Board has the authority to certify the adequacy of the environmental document.

(9) File documents required or authorized by CEQA and the State Guidelines.

(10) Collect fees and charges necessary for the implementation of this Article in amounts as may be specified by the Board by resolution and as may be amended from time to time.

(11) Formulate rules and regulations as the Executive Officer may determine are necessary or desirable to further the purposes of this Article.

8.03.050. COMPLETION DEADLINES.
(a) Time limits for completion of the various phases of the environmental review process must be consistent with CEQA and Guidelines and those time limits are incorporated in this Article by reference. Reasonable extensions to these time limits may be allowed upon consent by any applicant.

(b) Time limits set forth in this section do not apply to legislative actions.

(c) Any time limits set forth in this section will be suspended during an administrative appeal.

8.03.060. PUBLIC NOTICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL DECISION.
(a) Notice of the decision of whether to prepare an environmental impact report, negative declaration, or declare a project exempt will be available for public review at the Office of the Executive Officer. Notices of decisions will be provided in a manner consistent with CEQA and the Guidelines.

(b) Notice that the Authority proposes to adopt a negative declaration will be provided to the public at least ten (10) days prior to the date of the meeting at which consideration of adoption of the negative declaration will be given.

(c) Notice of decisions to prepare an environmental impact report, negative declaration, or project exemption will be given to all organizations and individuals that have previously requested such notice. Notice will also be given by publication one time in a newspaper of general circulation in Monterey County.
8.03.070. APPEAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL DECISION.
(a) Within fifteen (15) days after the Executive Officer provides notice of a decision, any interested person may appeal the decision to the Board by completing and filing a notice of appeal at the Office of the Executive Officer.
(b) The appellant will pay a fee in the amount as specified in Section 8.01.050(a) of this Resolution.
(c) The Board will hear all appeals of decisions on any environmental issue. The hearing will be limited to considerations of the environmental or procedural issues raised by the appellant in the written notice of appeal. The decision of the Executive Officer will be presumed correct and the burden of proof is on the appellant to establish otherwise. The Board may uphold or reverse the environmental decision, or remand the decision back to the Executive Officer if substantial evidence of procedural or significant new environmental issues is presented.
(d) The decision of the Board will be final.

8.03.080. CONFLICT DETERMINATIONS.
This Article establishes procedural guidelines for the evaluation of the environmental factors concerning activities within the jurisdiction of the Authority and in accordance with State Guidelines. Where conflicts exist between this Article and State Guidelines, the State Guidelines prevail except where this Article is more restrictive.
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NEW BUSINESS

Subject: AB 1791 (Monning) – tax increment assistance legislative adjustments
Meeting Date: March 12, 2010
Agenda Number: 7a

ACTIONS and INFORMATION

RECOMMENDATION:

1. Approve Resolution #10-05 supporting AB 1791 (ACTION)
2. Request letters and/or resolutions of support from jurisdictions (ACTION/ INFORMATION)

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

Certain provisions of California’s Community Redevelopment Law (“CRL”) prohibit redevelopment agencies from providing direct assistance for commercial development on vacant land of five acres or more that has not been previously developed for urban use. This ban was to prohibit redevelopment agencies from improperly using CRL powers to convert open space land for commercial purposes, such as “big box” retailers. However, current law bars former Fort Ord, a closed military base, from using tax increment funds and other forms of direct assistance, which places an economic strain on local redevelopment efforts, the primary purpose of the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan. It was clearly intended, under the Authority Act, that FORA and its jurisdictions be allowed to use tax increment to subsidize infrastructure development to serve all uses.

Because of the current economic recession, redevelopment on former Fort Ord has been reduced to a trickle. By allowing FORA’s jurisdictions with land within the confines of former Fort Ord the ability to extend commercial development, jobs will be created and much-needed housing will follow. It is important to remember that development on former Fort Ord will not increase the number of people who will settle on the former base but will provide productive civilian uses and provide an economic boost for this area. AB 1791 is narrow and specific to the former Fort Ord footprint and would not provide any other unintended exemption to current CRL protections. Attached are a draft of FORA Resolution #10-05, samples of a letter and a resolution of support to assist the jurisdictions in showing their support, and a fact sheet from Assemblymember Monning’s office.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Reviewed by Controller

Staff time, including trips to Sacramento, have been, and are being, covered by the approved FY 09-10 budget.

COORDINATION:

Administrative Committee, Executive Committee, Legislative Committee, Authority Counsel, JEA & Associates, Assemblymember Bill Monning and his staff, and FORA jurisdictions

Prepared by Linda L. Stiehl
Approved by Michael A. Houlemand, Jr.
FORT ORD REUSE AUTHORITY RESOLUTION NO. 10-05

SUPPORTING AB 1791

I. RECITALS

1.1. The Fort Ord Reuse Authority ("FORA") was created by state law to plan, finance, and convert the former Fort Ord from military to civilian uses. Government Code sections 67650 - 67700 ("FORA Act").

1.2. FORA is a "public corporation of the State of California." Government Code section 67657.

1.3. FORA is a unique agency in California in that:
   1.3.1. Was established by statute to oversee land use approvals of its member jurisdictions;
   1.3.2. Its initial decisions must be unanimous;
   1.3.3. Its jurisdictional area is approximately forty-five (45) square miles;
   1.3.4. 18,000 acres, two thirds of its area, is permanently reserved as open space; and
   1.3.5. It will sunset on June 30, 2014.

1.4. In the FORA Act the Legislature found and declared that "the planning, financing and management of the reuse of the former Fort Ord is a matter of statewide importance..." Government Code section 67657 (c).

1.5. The FORA Board comprises representatives from every local jurisdiction affected by the redevelopment of the former Fort Ord and its major stakeholders. Government Code section 67660 and 67661.

1.6. The FORA Act required FORA to adopt a Base Reuse Plan governing redevelopment and reuse of the former Fort Ord. Government Code section 67675.

1.7. A Base Reuse Plan was adopted by the FORA Board. That Plan designates all future commercial development sites. Government Code section 67675 (c) (1).

1.8. The adopted Base Reuse Plan will not result in a net increase in the level of development over that existing when the former Fort Ord was devoted to use as a military base.

1.9. The former Fort Ord was developed as a "city" and an estimated population near 36,000. The former Fort Ord had numerous commercial, residential and industrial uses. These developed uses were served by sewer, water, electrical and natural gas distribution and collection lines.

1.10. Redevelopment of the former Fort Ord is statutorily required to comply with the Base Reuse Plan. Government Code section 67675.8. The FORA Board determines whether a project is consistent with the Base Reuse Plan. Government Code section 67675.8 (b) (1).

1.11. Each of the sites designated in the Base Reuse Plan for commercial development is distant from similar commercial development within a non-Fort Ord neighboring jurisdiction.
1.12. No part of the former Fort Ord was used for agricultural purposes prior to base closure. The Base Reuse Plan does not designate any area for agricultural use.

1.13. The state law restriction inhibit the financing powers granted by the Authority Act to support employment creating commercial services use on certain areas designated for such use in the Base Reuse Plan.

1.14. The restrictions of current redevelopment law conflict with the Authority Act that anticipated use of tax increment to support the heavy infrastructure and other basewide costs of former Fort Ord redevelopment.

1.15. FORA has proposed to the state legislature that the former Fort Ord communities be allowed to use direct tax increment assistance to commercial project proponents to help pay for the required infrastructure replacement.

1.16. Assemblymember Bill Monning has authored AB 1791 to address this restriction in a localized, focused manner to allow powers offered under the Authority Act to be undertaken by FORA jurisdictions for these select areas.

1.17. The members of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority have reviewed the legislation being authored by Assembly Bill Monning and have concluded that it would be beneficial to the reuse of the former Fort Ord.

II. RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:
   i. that the Fort Ord Reuse Authority urges the California Legislature to enact AB 1791; and
   ii. that this Resolution takes effect immediately upon adoption.

III. ADOPTION

Upon the motion of __________________, seconded by __________________ the foregoing resolution was ADOPTED at the March 12, 2010 Board of Directors meeting by the following vote:

Ayes: ___
Noes: ___
Absent: ___
Abstentions: ___

_____________________
Ralph Rubio, Chair

ATTEST:

_____________________
Michael A. Houlemand, Jr., Clerk
DATE

Assemblymember Bill Monning
27th State Assembly District
State Capitol
P.O. Box 942849
Sacramento, CA 94249-0027

RE: SUPPORT - AB 1791 tax increment assistance for former Fort Ord

Dear Assemblymember Monning:

This letter supports AB 1791, your bill that would permit redevelopment agencies with jurisdiction over portions of the former Fort Ord to use tax increment funds to support certain commercial projects. We are writing to express our concern about current redevelopment law barriers that impede the effective reuse of former Fort Ord, which was closed in 1991. In particular, we are concerned about provisions that prohibit the use of direct tax increment assistance to commercial projects on certain vacant properties.

The Fort Ord Reuse Authority adopted a base reuse plan in 1997 that calls for a balanced program of job creation and housing. The commercial developments are key to the recovery and are central to creating much-needed jobs for the Monterey Bay Region. [The city/county of ____________ will receive (has received ?) a portion of the former Fort Ord. We intend to use that land for ______________________________. ] That development will create jobs for the Monterey Bay Region, assist in our recovery from the impact of the current recessionary trends, and help us realize the promises of economic sustainability in the wake of the financial devastation inflicted by the base closure. Barring our community from using direct assistance impedes our ability to extend infrastructure to the areas designated for commercial development. These job-creating activities are important to all of the communities surrounding Fort Ord.

I am aware that others from our region will be testifying before the Local Government Committee on this subject. Prior commitments may not make it impossible for all to join them, but please add our voice(s) to those enthusiastically supporting AB 1791.

Sincerely yours,

__________________________

C: Steve Endsley, Fort Ord Reuse Authority
RESOLUTION NO. _____OF THE
SUPPORTING AB 1791

I. RECITALS

1.1. The Fort Ord Reuse Authority ("FORA") was created by state law to plan, finance, and convert the former Fort Ord from military to civilian uses. Government Code sections 67650 - 67700 ("FORA Act").

1.2. FORA is a "public corporation of the State of California." Government Code section 67657.

1.3. FORA is a unique agency in California in that:
1.3.1. Was established by statute to oversee land use approvals of its member jurisdictions;
1.3.2. Its initial decisions must be unanimous;
1.3.3. Its jurisdictional area is approximately forty-five (45) square miles;
1.3.4. 18,000 acres, two thirds of its area, is permanently reserved as open space; and
1.3.5. It will sunset on June 30, 2014.

1.4. In the FORA Act the Legislature found and declared that "the planning, financing and management of the reuse of the former Fort Ord is a matter of statewide importance." Government Code section 67657 (c).

1.5. The FORA Board comprises representatives from every local jurisdiction affected by the redevelopment of the former Fort Ord and its major stakeholders. Government Code section 67660 and 67661.

1.6. The FORA Act required FORA to adopt a Base Reuse Plan governing redevelopment and reuse of the former Fort Ord. Government Code section 67675.

1.7. A Base Reuse Plan was adopted by the FORA Board. That Plan designates all future commercial development sites. Government Code section 67675 (c) (1).

1.8. The adopted Base Reuse Plan will not result in a net increase in the level of development over that existing when the former Fort Ord was devoted to use as a military base.

1.9. The former Fort Ord was developed as a "city" and an estimated population near 36,000. The former Fort Ord had numerous commercial, residential and industrial uses. These developed uses were served by sewer, water, electrical and natural gas distribution and collection lines.

1.10. Redevelopment of the former Fort Ord is statutorily required to comply with the Base Reuse Plan. Government Code section 67675.8. The FORA Board determines whether a project is consistent with the Base Reuse Plan. Government Code section 67675.8 (b) (1).

1.11. Each of the sites designated in the Base Reuse Plan for commercial development is distant from similar commercial development within a non-Fort Ord neighboring jurisdiction.

1.12. No part of the former Fort Ord was used for agricultural purposes prior to base closure. The Base Reuse Plan does not designate any area for agricultural use.

1.13. The state law restriction inhibit the financing powers granted by the Authority Act to support employment creating commercial services use on certain areas designated for such use in the Base Reuse Plan.
1.14. The restrictions of current redevelopment law conflict with the Authority Act that anticipated use of tax increment to support the heavy infrastructure and other basewide costs of former Fort Ord redevelopment.

1.15. FORA has proposed to the state legislature that the former Fort Ord communities be allowed to use direct tax increment assistance to commercial project proponents to help pay for the required infrastructure replacement.

1.16. Assemblymember Bill Monning has authored AB 1791 to address this restriction in a localized, focused manner to allow powers offered under the Authority Act to be undertaken by FORA jurisdictions for these select areas.

1.17. The City Council of ________________ have reviewed the legislation being authored by Assembly Bill Monning and concluded that it would be beneficial to the reuse of the former Fort Ord and the Monterey Bay Region.

II. RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

i. that the ________________ urges the California Legislature to enact AB 1791; and

ii. that this Resolution takes effect immediately upon adoption.

III. ADOPTION

Upon the motion of ________________, seconded by ________________, the foregoing resolution was PASSED AND ADOPTED at a meeting of the City Council/Board of Supervisors of the City/County of ________________ on ___________ 2010 by the following vote:

Ayes: ___ (list names)

Noes: ___ (list names)

Absent: ___ (list names)

Abstentions: ___ (list names)

________________________
(name of Chair), Chair

ATTEST:

________________________
(name of Clerk), (title)
AB 1791

Redevelopment: Fort Ord Reuse Plan

Summary: Assembly Bill (AB) 1791, by Assemblymember Monning, will allow local redevelopment agencies within the project area of the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan to provide direct assistance to specified types of development under California’s Community Redevelopment Law (CRL).

Background: Existing law prohibits a redevelopment agency from providing direct assistance to commercial development on five acres or more of vacant land that has not been previously developed for urban use. The intent of redevelopment is to re-use land previously developed rather than develop open space for commercial uses, such as auto-plazas and “big box” retailers. Thus, current redevelopment law prohibits the decommissioned military base at Fort Ord from using tax increment funds and other forms of direct financing assistance to develop open space on the former military base, placing an economic strain on local redevelopment efforts.

Since its establishment in 1917, Fort Ord Base has been an integral part of Monterey Bay region’s economy. When the base was closed in 1994, the California State Legislature established the Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) Agency to complete the planning, financing, and management of the former Fort Ord facility as described in the Reuse Plan developed by FORA.

FORA is comprised of representatives from every local government entity in the Monterey Bay Region and major stakeholders affected by the redevelopment of the former Fort Ord Base. Since the base was closed, FORA, with broad participation from the community, has held a series of meetings to discuss and plan for the region’s economic recovery.

Need for Legislation: Continuing the redevelopment of the former Fort Ord Base is critical to the entire Monterey Bay region and there is a need by the community for commercial development within the boundaries of the former military base. This will create jobs, as well as provide the necessary infrastructure to support the increased need for housing in the region. Additionally, any development must be an environmentally sustainable project that sets aside areas for habitat conservation and management.

AB 1791 is narrowly drafted to only impact redevelopment associated with the former Fort Ord military base, advancing the conversion of former Base land for civilian use and provide an economic boost to the Monterey Bay region.

Sponsor: Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA)
Location: In Assembly Rules Awaiting Referral to a Policy Committee
Support: None Known
Opposition: None Known
Staffer: Ryan Guillen – 916.319.2027
A bill introduced by Assembly Member Lauretti.

AB 1791

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1791

February 10, 2010

Principal author: Senator Maldonado (Principal author: Assembly Member Lakabeno)

Legislative Counsellor: Senator Maldonado

Blaine A. Brown 0-2-2 Regular Session

AB 1791, as introduced, Lauretti: Redevelopment; Redevelopment Fund, Old Redevelopment Act

An act to amend Section 33927.70 of the Health and Safety Code.

CALL TO ORDER
**AB 1791**

**Redevelopment: Fort Ord Reuse Plan**

**Summary:** Assembly Bill (AB) 1791, by Assemblymember Monning, will allow local redevelopment agencies within the project area of the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan to provide direct assistance to specified types of development under California’s Community Redevelopment Law (CRL).

**Background:** Existing law prohibits a redevelopment agency from providing direct assistance to commercial development on five acres or more of vacant land that has not been previously developed for urban use. The intent of redevelopment is to re-use land previously developed rather than develop open space for commercial uses, such as auto-plazas and “big box” retailers. Thus, current redevelopment law prohibits the decommissioned military base at Fort Ord from using tax increment funds and other forms of direct financing assistance to develop open space on the former military base, placing an economic strain on local redevelopment efforts.

Since its establishment in 1917, Fort Ord Base has been an integral part of Monterey Bay region’s economy. When the base was closed in 1994, the California State Legislature established the Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) Agency to complete the planning, financing, and management of the former Fort Ord facility as described in the Reuse Plan developed by FORA.

FORA is comprised of representatives from every local government entity in the Monterey Bay Region and major stakeholders affected by the redevelopment of the former Fort Ord Base. Since the base was closed, FORA, with broad participation from the community, has held a series of meetings to discuss and plan for the region’s economic recovery.

**Need for Legislation:** Continuing the redevelopment of the former Fort Ord Base is critical to the entire Monterey Bay region and there is a need by the community for commercial development within the boundaries of the former military base. This will create jobs, as well as provide the necessary infrastructure to support the increased need for housing in the region. Additionally, any development must be an environmentally sustainable project that sets aside areas for habitat conservation and management.

AB 1791 is narrowly drafted to only impact redevelopment associated with the former Fort Ord military base, advancing the conversion of former Base land for civilian use and provide an economic boost to the Monterey Bay region.

**Sponsor:** Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA)

**Location:** In Assembly Rules Awaiting Referral to a Policy Committee

**Support:** None Known

**Opposition:** None Known

**Staffer:** Ryan Guillen – 916.319.2027
**FORT ORD REUSE AUTHORITY BOARD REPORT**

**EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject:</th>
<th>Administrative Committee report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date:</td>
<td>March 12, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agenda Number:</td>
<td>8a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION**

Receive a report from the Administrative Committee

**BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION**

The Administrative Committee met on February 17 and March 3, 2010. The approved minutes of the former meeting and the draft minutes of the latter meeting are **attached** for your review.

A joint meeting of the Administrative Committee and the Capital Improvement Program Committee also took place on February 17, 2010. The draft minutes are **attached** for your review.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

Reviewed by FORA Controller

Staff time for the Administrative Committee and Capital Improvement Program Committee is covered in the approved FY 09-10 budget.

**COORDINATION**

Administrative Committee and Capital Improvement Program Committee

Prepared by: Linda L. Stiehl

Approved by: Michael A. Houlemard, Jr.
MINUTES OF THE
ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Wednesday, February 17, 2010

1. Call to Order

Chair Doug Yount called the meeting to order at 8:15 a.m. The following land recipient jurisdiction representatives, establishing a quorum, were present:

*Jim Cook - County of Monterey
*Daniel Dawson – Del Ray Oaks
*Doug Yount – City of Marina

*Ray Corpuz - City of Seaside
*Elizabeth Caraker – City of Monterey

Also present, as noted by the roll sheet, were:

Jim Feeney – FORA
Chuck Lande – Marina Heights
Bob Schaffer
*Don Bachman – TAMC
*Rob Robinson – BRAC
Tim O’Halloran - Seaside
Paul N. Greenway – Monterey County
Steve Endsley – FORA
Gage Dayton - UCSC
Debbie Platt – City of Marina
Michael Houlema - FORA

Nick Nichols – Monterey County
*Graham Bice – UC MBEST
Jonathan Garcia – FORA
Pat Ward – Bestor Engineers
Kathleen Ventimiglia – CSUMB
Jim Arnold – FORA

*Vicki Nakamura – Monterey Peninsula College
*Carl Niizawa – MCWD
Diana Ingersoll – City of Seaside
*John Marker - CSUMB

* indicates a committee member

Voting board member jurisdictions not represented at this meeting were Salinas, Pacific Grove, Sand City, and Carmel.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

Chair Yount asked Elizabeth Caraker, who agreed, to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Acknowledgements, announcements and correspondence - none

4. Public comment period - none

5. Approval of minutes of the February 3, 2010 meeting

There were no objections to the minutes and Chair Yount declared them approved by consensus.
6. Follow-up to February 11, 2010 board meeting

Graham Bice asked if the draft of the Multi-Modal Transit Corridor Memorandum of Agreement that the board approved on February 11th was the final draft (yes) and asked that Senior Planner Jonathan Garcia email it to the parties so they could take it to their boards/councils for approval. . . . Mr. Garcia reminded all that discussion on the modifications to the General Jim Moore Boulevard grant and the noise issues, which had been discussed by the Board last Friday, would continue at the joint meeting following today’s Administrative Committee meeting. . . . Director of Planning and Finance Steve Endsley reported that Rabobank had approved the debt consolidation financing loan and execution of the documents is expected to occur in the next few days. Chair Yount commented that Marina had a few items to discuss with FORA staff before full agreement is achieved.

7. Old Business

Item 7a – Direct tax increment assistance legislation: resolutions of support for AB 1791: Director of Planning and Finance Steve Endsley reported that the sample resolution template and support letter for AB 1791 will be sent out to all as ways of showing their support for this bill. He emphasized the importance of all jurisdictions standing together in support of AB 1791, particularly between now and the April 6th (still tentative date) hearing in Sacramento. He asked that all who can attend this hearing to plan to do so. He reported that Assemblymember Monning and possibly Senator Maldonado have agreed to sponsor the bill. Chair Yount asked about a deadline for the support documents, and Mr. Endsley replied mid-March. He said this bill, if passed, would exempt certain former Fort Ord redevelopment projects specifically from the state law prohibiting the use of tax increment.

Item 7b - Habitat Conservation Plan (“HCP”)

Item 7bi – Updated timeline schedule: Director of Planning and Finance Steve Endsley said the clock is ticking for the FORA HCP entities to resolve the remaining issues, particularly those pertaining to the Joint Powers Authority governance structure, to avoid further delay in moving the HCP forward. He reported that the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service reviewers have voiced no major issues with the other documents to date. Senior Planner Jonathan Garcia called attention to the current timeline in the meeting packet and said all parties are being held to it, although there have been some small changes, which he pointed out. Mr. Endsley commented that the timeline had been prepared by Terah Donovan, who is also pushing all to hold to the deadlines. Discussion about the items on the timeline followed. Mr. Garcia stressed the importance of FORA having a complete HCP package to submit to the regulators for review. The regulators’ solicitors/general counsels will not accept individual items submitted on a piecemeal basis.

Item 7bii – Discussion of Joint Powers Authority (“JPA”) governance structure options: Director of Planning and Finance Steve Endsley called attention to the memo listing several JPA governance structure options. He stated that since FORA has no vested interest in these documents, staff is open to working with the HCP parties, however they want to proceed. Ray Corpuz asked what responsibilities rest on the HCP JPA Cooperative, and Executive Officer Houlemard responded, “implementing the HCP, including the costs of
performing habitat management on certain former Fort Ord habitat lands.” He said that obligation has already been written in the deeds. Nick Nichols said his understanding was that the Cooperative would clarify the work through adoption of a resource management plan, including hiring contractors and consultants, managing the budget, and handling any appeal cases. Mr. Houlemaid agreed and pointed out that understanding the job will determine the structure of the Cooperative governance structure. Scott Hilk asked if the Community Facilities District (“CFD”) would be managed by the HCP Cooperative after FORA’s expiration. Mr. Endsley responded “not necessarily,” that the revenues generated by the CFD would fund the HCP endowment over time. The Cooperative would be responsible for managing the HCP endowment once established, but not the direct collection of the CFD fee, which would fall to the land use jurisdictions. He added that the regulators don’t want to manage the HCP on a daily basis, and, if the Cooperative does a good job managing the HCP, the regulators will only check in once a year when the annual report is submitted to make sure everyone is in compliance with the HCP. Mr. Houlemaid said there are really two governance options: (1) a local cooperative and (2) state and federal regulators, because there must be some level of oversight. The alternative to having local control governance is for each jurisdiction or developer to work directly with the regulators, which means separate permit approvals. He reminded all that an approved HCP provides a basewide permit.

Elizabeth Caraker asked about the authority to manage the financials, e.g., the possibility of the Cooperative wanting or having to refinance its obligations. Mr. Endsley remarked that the endowment would be a set amount, not subject to additional funding requests from the regulators, which is why the regulators want to be sure sufficient funds exist in the endowment for the Cooperative to do their work. Regarding the operation of the Cooperative: Mr. Endsley said the endowment will fund the Cooperative budget, which the board will manage. Ms. Caraker mentioned a worst case scenario, namely, what if development doesn’t occur, so no funds can be deposited in the endowment? Mr. Houlemaid responded that we’re ahead of the game in many ways now, although future entitlements, such as those from the Del Rey Oaks project and future Seaside development of east General Jim Moore Boulevard, might not be ahead of schedule. He said he is assuming all prefer local control and a basewide permit, which was a board policy from way back. Local control requires local agreement on the governance structure, and he called attention again to the staff-prepared options in the February 17, 2010 memo, proposals, he said, that are familiar to the locals. He reiterated that staff stands ready to assist with the process of working through the issues. Chuck Lande, the Marina Heights developer, recommended “keeping it simple.” Graham Bice and Vicki Nakamura said that educational institutions already have agreements with FORA to pay their fair share of the HCP costs, including a portion of the HCP fees. This arrangement has benefited them because they are contributing to the endowment in order to be Permittees and benefit from the state and federal permits. John Marker, the CSUMB representative, said all are impacted, so all have a stake in maintaining HCP compliance and the state and federal permits. Chair Yount stated that although the land use jurisdictions have the most development, all parties must work together, because all are vulnerable. He also recommended keeping it simple. He said that all development projects will be subject to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) scrutiny, and the HCP Cooperative must be the manager to implement the documents. He agreed that resolving the voting role by the parties is very important. Mr. Houlemaid guessed that the first year of the Cooperative’s existence would probably mean
more regular meetings to get the plans in place. He added that a simpler process of monitoring the work should follow and signing on would open doors to development. Graham Bice raised the question of weighted voting in the Cooperative, stating that the Cooperative has a unique role in maintaining HCP compliance; and since all Permittees are impacted, each Permittee should have one vote on the Cooperative Board. Mr. Endsley listed the probable members of the HCP Cooperative: Marina, Seaside, Monterey County, Del Rey Oaks, Monterey, UCSC, MPC, CSUMB, MCWD, Monterey Peninsula Regional Park District, State Parks, and FORA. Jim Cook said he appreciated hearing about how the educational institutions were handling their HCP obligations and said he would like time to discuss them with the County policymakers.

Chair Yount suggested that the discussion be continued to the next Administrative Committee meeting. He urged all to seek a mutually-agreed upon decision regarding the governance structure issue within the time span noted on the timeline and to allow sufficient time to obtain approval from their policymakers. The members agreed to consider various scenarios concerning the weighting of votes. FORA’s memo distributed at the meeting described a few scenarios, but members may suggest other possibilities. Lastly, staff was asked to prepare a one-page outline of specific Cooperative responsibilities and powers, which would provide a key to understanding the governance issue.

8. **New Business** - none

9. **Adjournment**

Chair Yount adjourned the meeting at 9:40 a.m.

Minutes prepared by Linda Stiehl, Executive Assistant
MINUTES OF THE
JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE/CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT
COMMITTEE MEETING
Wednesday, February 17, 2010

1. Call to order at 9:15 a.m.

Administrative Committee Co-chair Doug Yount called the meeting to order at 9:40 a.m. The following people, as indicated by roll signatures, were present:

Nick Nichols, Monterey County
Jim Feeney, FORA
Doug Yount, City of Marina
Diana Ingersoll, City of Seaside
Elizabeth Caraker, City of Monterey
Carl Nizawa, MCWD
Ray Corpuz, City of Seaside
Debby Platt, City of Marina
Steve Endsley, FORA
Bob Schaffer, MCP
Crissy Maras, FORA

Michael A. Houlemand, Jr., FORA
Rob Robinson, BRAC
Graham Bice, UCMBEST
John Marker, CSUMB
Vicki Nakamura, MPC
Daniel Dawson, City of DRO
Tim O' Halloran, City of Seaside
Michael A. Houlemand, Jr., FORA
Jim Feeney, FORA
Jonathan Garcia, FORA
Pat Ward, Bestor Engineers

2. Public Comment Period – none

3. Approval of minutes from February 3, 2010 joint meeting

There were no objections to the approval of the minutes, and Chair Yount declared them accepted.

4. Old Business

a. Grant adjustments/project scope enhancements

Executive Officer Michael Houlemand reported that FORA staff had updated the chart distributed to FORA Board members. Mr. Houlemand further noted that the updates were based on action taken at the 02/11/2010 FORA Board meeting, highlighting that the first priority for using remaining grant funds is to restore elements that were value engineered out of the General Jim Moore Blvd. project and can be restored by change order and do not require any additional design, environmental processing, etc. Items listed under the heading “Items for discussion at 02/17/2010 Administrative/Capital Improvement Program Committee meeting” and sub-heading “Proposed Eucalyptus Road follow-on construction contract” are elements that do not require additional design or environmental processing, but were not a part of the original project design and cannot be incorporated via change order. These items would need to be prepared as a separate construction contract.

Based on direction given to FORA staff at the February FORA Board meeting, the potential cost for installation of sound walls at Coe Avenue is now included on the list of items that may be incorporated into a subsequent construction contract. FORA staff promised to return this item for discussion at the March meeting of the FORA Board.

The FORA Board additionally directed staff to pursue a local match reduction. Upon first request to the Economic Development Administration grant manager, several difficulties in this request were
noted. The FORA legislative mission will take several FORA representatives to Washington DC in March and there may be an opportunity to work with Congressman Farr and others to help facilitate the local match reduction request. Mr. Houlemard indicated that FORA would share the restrictions for use of funds with the jurisdiction representatives.

Jim Cook suggested that decisions about utilizing remaining grant funds be deferred until the outcome of the local match reduction request had been determined. He additionally noted that the County may receive a $15M grant for construction of the multi-modal corridor and a local match for the grant would be required.

Mr. Houlemard suggested that a focused group of impacted jurisdictions meet to discuss the financial strategy of how to best use the resources to meet the priorities. A meeting will be scheduled prior to the upcoming legislative mission in March.

b. Fiscal Year 2010/2011 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Spreadsheets – Table 4
Jurisdiction development and revenue forecasts were received by FORA staff over the past two months and compiled into Table 4, which was reviewed by Mr. Garcia. The major portion of revenue anticipated in FY 10/11 is forecasted to be derived from work force housing planned by the Residential Communities Initiative project.

Mr. Youn suggested that the revenue shown in Table 4 reflects the jurisdiction’s best forecasts and asked staff to prepare remaining CIP tables based on these projections. Mr. Cook made a motion to that effect, which was seconded by Ray Corpuz and approved. All CIP tables will be prepared and sent to the Committee by the March 17th joint Administrative/CIP committee meeting or sooner if available.

5. Adjournment

Chair Youn adjourned the meeting at 10:10 a.m.

Minutes prepared by Crissy Maras, Administrative Coordinator
1. Call to Order

Chair Michael Houlemard called the meeting to order at 8:18 a.m. The following land recipient jurisdiction representatives, establishing a quorum, were present:

*Jim Cook - County of Monterey  
*Daniel Dawson – Del Rey Oaks  
*Doug Yount – City of Marina

*Ray Corpuz - City of Seaside  
*Elizabeth Caraker – City of Monterey

Also present, as noted by the roll sheet, were:

Jim Arnold – FORA  
*John Marker – CSUMB  
*Rob Robinson – BRAC  
Pat Ward – Bestor Engineers  
*Todd Muck – TAMC  
*Graham Bice – UC MBEST  
Steve Endsley – FORA  
*Elizabeth Caraker – City of Monterey

Crissy Maras – FORA  
Diana Ingersoll – City of Seaside  
Jonathan Garcia – FORA  
Bob Schaffer  
*Vicki Nakamura – Monterey Peninsula College  
Scott Hilk – Marina Community Partners  
*Carl Niizawa – Marina Coast Water District  
Michael Houlemard - FORA

* indicates a committee member

Voting board member jurisdictions not represented at this meeting were Salinas, Pacific Grove, Sand City, and Carmel.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

Chair Yount asked Daniel Dawson, who agreed, to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Acknowledgements, announcements and correspondence - none

4. Public comment period - none

5. Approval of minutes of the February 17, 2010 meeting

Motion to approve the minutes of February 17, 2010 was made by Ray Corpuz, seconded by Doug Yount, and carried.
6. Review draft March 12, 2010 board meeting agenda and draft board reports

Item 6a – Review draft March 12, 2010 board meeting agenda and draft board reports:

Board agenda Item 6a - (UC MBEST Center status report/update): Chair Houlemard announced that Chancellor George Blumenthal is expected to attend the March board meeting and report on the changes to the UC MBEST property but has requested that the item be considered under Announcements, rather than Old Business, on the agenda. (After the meeting, a university spokesperson asked that the item be returned to Old Business.) Item 6b (ARRA grant award modifications): Chair/Executive Officer Houlemard said the board had requested this item be returned to the March meeting with a recommendation of how to move forward. He reported that a task force would be meeting next Monday to discuss the possibilities and would formulate a recommendation, including policy priorities. He said it would be important to comply with the ARRA requirements, such as completing the projects in the near term, but within the five-year window, and create jobs. Jim Cook suggested that staff draft possible policies pertaining to the non-ARRA projects [FORA’s Capital Improvement Program (“CIP”) projects] that could be advanced. Chair Houlemard said staff could offer suggestions but this matter needs to be considered by the CIP Committee. Doug Younct commented that it seems pre-mature to make a recommendation to the Board at this time, andChair Houlemard replied not necessarily, because FORA would like to act to direct grant funds toward eligible CIP projects in the near-term. Mr. Yount asked about a change in the match percentage, and Chair Houlemard announced that Dianne Church, FORA’s U.S. Economic Development Administration (“EDA”) representative, would teleconference into, or possibly attend, Monday’s meeting, and could answer that question. Director of Planning and Finance Steve Endsley remarked that it would be advantageous to frame the issues in a broader perspective and stay focused on what additional projects EDA determines acceptable within the grant limitations. He emphasized that the FORA entities must be united as a region. Chair Houlemard said FORA staff would try to draft and send a draft of policy options to everyone before the Monday meeting. Ray Corpuz asked if there were other EDA grants FORA could apply for. Chair Houlemard responded that each grant must be completed before an application for another grant could be submitted.

Item 7b (AB 1791): Chair Houlemard encouraged the jurisdictions to send letters and/or resolutions of support for this legislation. Samples of each will be emailed to the members. Item 8d (ADMINISTRATIVE CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION FOR ENTITLEMENT: Marina’s Community Hospital of the Monterey Peninsula Project): Chair Houlemard explained what an entitlement consistency determination was, noting that it was an administrative action by staff, which is reported to the Board as an information item. Unless it is appealed, it stands approved. He called attention to FORA’s current policy of jurisdictions providing 130 hard copies of lengthy documents such as entitlements, which can be costly, and said that FORA’s policy will probably change to allow compact disks (CD’s) or emails to transmit the information to those requesting it. Jim Cook asked under what conditions do requests for Housing Element consistency determinations need to be submitted, and Chair Houlemard responded that jurisdictions with housing properties on former Fort Ord are required to request a consistency determination. He said the process is described in Chapter 8 of the Master Resolution, which came into existence after the Settlement Agreement with the Sierra Club in 1998.
He suggested that entitlement consistency determinations be agendized for further discussion by the committee in April.

7. Old Business

Item 7a – Habitat Conservation Plan (“HCP”) issues

Item 7a-i – Joint Powers Authority (“Cooperative”) governance issues: Director of Planning and Finance Steve Endsley called attention to the documents in the meeting packet. Chair Houlemand described each item in the memo dated 2/17/10, which offered five governance structure options. He emphasized that this issue must be decided, because it is part of the HCP document, which cannot be published without this information. He further stressed that it was the jurisdictions' decision but FORA staff could facilitate the discussions. Scott Hilk, Marina Community Partners managing director, asked if the Cooperative's scope included only the HCP and not other responsibilities or authority, and Chair Houlemand replied “For now, its just the HCP. There may be other obligations of FORA that may be taken on either by another agency or another entity, but the Fort Ord Regional Habitat Cooperative is not intended to be that entity.” Discussion began about the pro's, con's, and preferences of the five options presented in the memo. Comments from several people were to “keep it simple.” Weighted voting was discussed in detail, and it was noted that determining this might be time-consuming. John Marker stated that stakeholder representation is important to CSUMB and said his preference would be option #1 (the originally proposed option) or some modification to #5 (governance based on land mass structure). After some discussion of the options, Chair Houlemand concluded that option #3 (structure based on population) and #4 (structure based on contributions) could be omitted and there were no objections. He remarked that the general consensus was to focus on options #1 and #2 (the county proposed structure) with some consideration of #5. He commented that the real issue appeared to be land use responsibilities and also voting issues. He commented that more voters usually add to the risk factor but excludes those with direct responsibilities regarding the HCP, because it is directly tied to the developments. Doug Yount noted the distinction with CSUMB, which has its own authority as a sovereign entity through state laws, but added that a significant amount of land use authority comes through the jurisdiction via its local zoning authority. He said this leaves the land use jurisdiction on the hook in the end. Jim Cook asked where the educational institutions' votes begin and end, according to their sovereign status and agreements with FORA and asked staff to review their agreements in order to find a way to address assurances to these entities. Chair Houlemand said that the “stay ahead” provisions are important considerations in the discussion today. Mr. Endsley said it would be instructive for staff to put together an overview of the agreements with the educational institutions, showing their Cooperative responsibilities and obligations, also “what can an entity drop out of but still be in the Cooperative.” Chair Houlemand said staff would focus on providing more information on governance structure options #2 and #5 at the next meeting.

Item 7a-ii – HCP concurrence process: Chair Houlemand called attention to the outline of the Cooperative’s responsibilities and powers. Jim Cook asked why there was an appeal process, and Chair Houlemand responded that every HCP has an appeal process, whether to the Wildlife agencies or the HCP joint powers authority. Bob Schaffer
commented that the reference to the Coastal Commission in Section II (Ensure that Permittees and BLM maintain permit compliance) was “scary.” Discussion followed and the Committee directed staff to delete the reference. Chair Houlemard said the appeal process was similar to the FORA entitlement consistency determination process. Mr. Endsley stated a need for transparency and credibility. Mr. Garcia reported that the regulators had expressed no objections to the proposed concurrence process at the December meeting. Doug Yount expressed the need for balance to satisfy the regulators and said he supports self-regulation mechanisms (local control). He suggested that other examples be explored, such as those utilized by San Diego and Saratoga. He also supports the “keep-it-simple” principle in HCP monitoring and management.

**Item 7a-iii – Endowment funding assurances:** Chair/Executive Officer Houlemard framed the discussion around two points: (1) Will the endowment be locally managed by the Cooperative? He commented that local control offers the best opportunity to generate dollars. The members responded positively and had no objections; and (2) how to establish the endowment. Mr. Houlemard reported he had talked to several bankers about the possibilities and has another meeting in the next two weeks. He said that California Department of Fish & Game has a certification process with a guaranteed payout rate, currently about 2% per year. He remarked that the endowment needs a higher rate to achieve the $30+ million needed and the regulators have already agreed to a ramping-up process. Mr. Garcia called attention the county’s letter dated 1/25/10, which included a list of funding concerns, one of which was a request that FORA devote 30% of its developer fees to funding the HCP endowments until a given level is reached and then 25% until full funding is reached. Jim Cook commented that this increase would take the pressure off of the jurisdictions’ financial obligations to make up short falls, but that wasn’t as essential as protecting general funds.

**Item 7a-iv – Other remaining issues:** Mr. Garcia commented that, according to the County’s comment letter, the one-resource management plan for the Cooperative-managed habitat lands would need to be approved by the underlying land use jurisdictions/land owners. These would include Monterey County, City of Marina, City of Del Rey Oaks/Monterey Peninsula Regional Park District, and Monterey Peninsula College. Mr. Cook questioned whether the draft HCP proposed one-resource management plan for the Cooperative or multiple resource management plans according to landowners. Mr. Garcia responded that the HCP consultant had previously explained during meetings last summer that the Cooperative would manage its habitat lands through a one-resource management plan. The lands could be managed under multiple-resource management plans, but doing so would cost more, because the estimated cost per plan would probably be between $25,000 - $50,000. Doing one plan would clearly reduce costs. Mr. Cook stressed the importance that the resource management plan should be approved by the underlying jurisdiction/landowner, since it would affect the landowner’s costs. Mr. Houlemard responded that this topic needs more discussion, adding that ultimate approval is in the hands of the regulators.

Re Item V – “Budget/Staffing” in the county’s January 25, 2010 letter: Mr. Houlemard stated that budget and staffing decisions would fall under the authority of the Cooperative. Discussion followed. Mr. Endsley stated that it would be important for FORA jurisdictions
to maximize efficiencies before FORA sunsets on June 30, 2014, but staffing decisions would ultimately be made by the Cooperative Board.

Item 7a-v – Updated timeline schedule: Chair Houlemond reported no changes in the timeline. He said the key part is the date when the base-wide permit is issued, now expected in mid-2011. He suggested that all parties to the HCP keep the schedule on their and their jurisdictions’ radar screens.

Item 7b – Department of Toxic Substances (“DTSC”) Annual Land Use Covenant Jurisdiction Reporting and Submittal Deadline: Chair Houlemond expressed appreciation for the jurisdictions’ responsiveness to this annual reporting. He reminded all that FORA had taken on the responsibility of paying DTSC’s monitoring costs for these reports for the first two years of reporting. This reporting year is the second year. Next year, FORA - or the County Health Department after FORA’s expiration - will be responsible for collecting the reports, transmitting them to DTSC, paying DTSC’s monitoring costs, and then collecting reimbursements from the reporting entities for the monitoring costs. Mr. Garcia reported that DTSC is still requesting site visual inspections of the parcels related to this reporting.

8. New Business - none

9. Adjournment

Chair Houlemond adjourned the meeting at 9:54 a.m.

Minutes prepared by Linda Stiehl, Executive Assistant
RECOMMENDATION:

Receive an informational travel report from the Executive Officer

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

The Executive Officer regularly submits reports to the Executive Committee providing details of his travel requests, including those by the Fort Ord Reuse Authority ("FORA") staff and board members. Travel expenses may be paid or reimbursed by FORA, outside agencies/jurisdictions/organizations, or a combination of these sources. The Executive Committee reviews and approves these requests accordingly, and the travel information is reported to the Board as an informational item.

~Trip to Sacramento to participate in a State Water Quality Control Board hearing (February 15-16, 2010): For the records, FORA staff did not attend this hearing in Sacramento.

~Trip to Albuquerque, NM, to attend the Association of Defense Communities ("ADC") Winter Forum (February 20-24, 2010): When Councilmember Dave McCall, a member of the Executive Committee, could not attend this Forum, he asked that Doug Youn, the director of Marina’s Development Services Department, represent him. ADC waived his registration fee and Executive Officer Houlemand asked FORA to reimburse his hotel expense, as has been the policy in previous instances. At their March 3rd meeting the Executive Committee approved this travel and FORA’s reimbursement of Mr. Young’s hotel expense.

~Trip to Sacramento to attend follow-up meetings for AB 1791 (Manning) and Habitat Conservation Plan ("HCP") issues (tentatively March 24-25, 2010): Assemblymember Bill Manning is one of the sponsors of AB 1791, which will allow local redevelopment agencies within the project area of the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan to provide direct assistance to specific types of development under California’s Community Redevelopment Law. This legislation is specific to former Fort Ord, and face-to-face meetings, along with strong local support, enhance its passage in the state legislature. The HCP is entering its approval phases and united local support is vital to assuring its passage. Meetings with high-level officials at the Resources Agency, the CA Department of Fish & Game and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service serve to resolve issues and keep all entities on track according to the mutually-agreed upon timeline. At this time, Executive Officer Houlemand, Chair/Mayor Rubio, and Authority Counsel Bowden received approval from the Executive Committee to travel to Sacramento for these purposes.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Reviewed by Controller

Travel expenses for this item are included in the approved FY 09-10 budget.

COORDINATION:

Chair/Mayor Rubio, Executive Committee, and JEA & Associates

Prepared by

Linda L. Stiehl

Approved by

Michael A. Houlemand, Jr.
Habitat Conservation Plan - status report
March 12, 2010
8c

RECOMMENDATION(S)

Receive a status report regarding the Habitat Conservation Plan ("HCP") and State of California 2081 Incidental Take Permit ("2081 permit") preparation process.

BACKGROUND

FORA, with the support of its member jurisdictions and consultant team, is on a path to receive approval of a completed basewide HCP and 2081 permit in 2011, which will result in the US Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") and California Department of Fish and Game ("CDFG") issuing crucial federal and state permits. Chair/Mayor Ralph Rubio, 1st Vice Chair/Supervisor Dave Potter, Executive Officer Michael A. Houlemand, Jr., and Director of Planning and Finance Steve Endsley ("FORA's legislative representatives") met in Sacramento with California Resources Secretary Mike Chrisman on June 25 and 26, 2009 to develop a critical path forward. A product of these meetings was to form a Permit Completion working group, comprised of department heads from CDFG, USFWS, State Parks, and FORA, to resolve outstanding issues and ensure completion of the HCP and 2081 permit on schedule. FORA's legislative representatives held a meeting with newly appointed California Natural Resources Agency Secretary Lester Snow on February 2, 2010 to reaffirm commitments.

DISCUSSION

Jones & Stokes, FORA's HCP consultant, completed a pre-public administrative draft HCP on December 4, 2009. CDFG, USFWS, and FORA member jurisdictions have completed a comment and review period, which ended February 26, 2010. The next critical milestone to completing the HCP is resolving outstanding issues. The FORA Administrative Committee is engaged in a dialogue on the governance structure of the future HCP Joint Powers Authority Cooperative. Staff is optimistic that the Administrative Committee will recommend a governance structure in the next few weeks. Jones & Stokes will schedule a meeting in late March or early April to begin this process.

FISCAL IMPACT

Reviewed by FORA Controller

Jones & Stokes, and Denise Duffy & Associates (FORA's National Environmental Policy Act/California Environmental Quality Act consultant) contracts have been funded through FORA's annual budgets to accomplish HCP preparation. Staff time devoted to this item is included in the fiscal year 2009-10 operating budget.

COORDINATION

Executive Committee, Administrative Committee, Legislative Committee, HCP working group, HCP Permit Completion working group, FORA Jurisdictions, USFWS and CDFG personnel, Jones & Stokes, DD&A, and various development teams.
RECOMMENDATION(S)

1. Receive a report from the Executive Officer regarding the Community Hospital of the Monterey Peninsula ("CHOMP") Project Administrative Consistency Determination per Section 8.02.030 of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority ("FORA") Master Resolution; OR

2. Conduct a hearing and consider the Executive Officer's concurrence in the City of Marina's ("Marina") development entitlement consistency determination if:
   a. an appeal is received within the 10-day (Master Resolution Section 8.01.050) or 15-day (Master Resolution Section 8.03.070) appeal response terms; OR
   b. a Board member requests that a hearing be conducted on this project within the 35-day response term (Master Resolution Section 8.01.040).

BACKGROUND

Marina submitted the CHOMP project for consistency determination on February 4, 2010. The CHOMP project consists of an addendum to the University Villages Specific Plan Environmental Impact Report ("EIR"), Site and Architectural Design Review, and Tree Removal Permit. Marina requested Development Entitlement Consistency review of the project in accordance with section 8.02.030 of the FORA Master Resolution, which does not require Board approval. Under state law, (as codified in FORA's Master Resolution) legislative land use decisions (plan level documents such as General Plans, Zoning Codes, Specific Plans, Redevelopment Plans, etc.) differ from development entitlement (a project under an approved General Plan and Zoning designation) consistency determinations. By law, legislative land use decisions must be scheduled for FORA Board review under strict timeframes. Development entitlements are treated differently by the law; unless appeal to the FORA Board, they are reviewed by staff to determine consistency with the Base Reuse Plan ("BRP"). The legislative framers wrote the law this way in recognition of the high volume of development entitlements expected to be processed by member jurisdictions.

As a development entitlement consistency determination, FORA staff does not require the jurisdiction to furnish hard copies of their submittal unless specifically requested by the Board or formally appealed. Staff distributed electronic copies of Marina's submittal to the FORA Administrative Committee and FORA Board in advance of March 12, 2010. It is important to note that the Community Facilities District ("CFD") fees for this project will be paid and that, in 2005, the FORA Board found the University Villages Specific Plan, Marina's legislative land use document applicable to this project, to be consistent with the BRP and Chapter 8 of the Master Resolution. The Executive Officer concurs with Marina that the CHOMP project is consistent with the BRP and the FORA Master Resolution.

DISCUSSION

Rationale for consistency determinations FORA staff finds that there are several defensible rationales for making an affirmative consistency determination. Sometimes additional information is provided to buttress those conclusions. In general, it is noted that the BRP is a framework for development, not a precise plan to be mirrored. However, there
are thresholds set in the resource constrained BRP that may not be exceeded without other actions, most notably 6,160 new residential housing units and a finite water allocation. More particularly, the rationales for consistency analyzed are (also included in the consistency summary table ["Attachment A"]):

DEVELOPMENT ENTITLEMENT CONSISTENCY FROM SECTION 8.02.030 OF THE FORA MASTER RESOLUTION

(a) In the review, evaluation, and determination of consistency regarding any development entitlement presented to the Authority Board pursuant to Section 8.01.030 of this Resolution, the Authority Board shall withhold a finding of consistency for any development entitlement that:

(1) Provides an intensity of land uses, which is more intense than that provided for in the applicable legislative land use decisions, which the Authority Board has found consistent with the Reuse Plan:

The CHOMP project is located on an approximately 9.53-acre site at the southeast corner of I-20 Parkway and Second Avenue in Marina. The development entitlement under review would allow construction of three medical office buildings with total building square-footage of approximately 110,000 square feet, 65,000 square feet of which would be built in phase 1A and 1B. This project is located within a mixed-use land use designation area of the BRP and is within Marina’s University Villages Specific Plan. This development is not more intense than permitted under the current land use designation.

(2) Is more dense than the density of development permitted in the applicable legislative land use decisions which the Authority Board has found consistent with the Reuse Plan:

Marina’s submittal correctly asserts that the intensity of the CHOMP project is consistent with the BRP thresholds. Table 3.3-1 Summary Land Use Capacity: Ultimate Development in the BRP assumes 549 acres of land dedicated to Business Park/Light Industrial/Office/R&D within Marina’s area of the former Fort Ord. After subtracting previously approved projects within Marina’s portions of former Fort Ord, the CHOMP project is well below that threshold.

(3) Is not conditioned upon providing, performing, funding, or making an agreement guaranteeing the provision, performance, or funding of all programs applicable to the development entitlement as specified in the Reuse Plan and in Section 8.02.020 of this Master Resolution and consistent with local determinations made pursuant to Section 8.02.040 of this Resolution:

These conditions are imposed on the project.

(4) Provides uses which conflict or are incompatible with uses permitted or allowed in the Reuse Plan for the affected property or which conflict or are incompatible with open space, recreational, or habitat management areas within the jurisdiction of the Authority:

The CHOMP project does not impact open space, recreational, or habitat management areas within FORA’s authority.

(5) Does not require or otherwise provide for the financing and installation, construction, and maintenance of all infrastructure necessary to provide adequate public services to the property covered by the applicable legislative land use decision:

The CHOMP project will pay its fair share of the basewide costs through the developer fees and tax increment that will accrue to FORA, as well as land sales revenues.
(6) Does not require or otherwise provide for implementation of the Fort Ord Habitat Management Plan:

The Fort Ord Habitat Management Plan ("HMP") designates certain parcels for "Development," in order to allow economic recovery through development while promoting preservation, enhancement, and restoration of special status plant and animal species in designated habitats. The CHOMP project only affects lands that are located within areas designated for "Development" under the HMP. Lands designated as "Development" have no management restrictions placed upon them as a result of the HMP. The CHOMP project would not conflict with implementation of the Fort Ord HMP.

(7) Is not consistent with the Highway 1 Scenic Corridor design standards as such standards may be developed and approved by the Authority Board; and

The project is consistent with the Highway 1 Scenic Corridor design standards.

(8) Is not consistent with the jobs/housing balance requirements developed and approved by the Authority Board as provided in Section 8.02.020(t) of this Master Resolution.

The project would increase employment opportunities on the former Fort Ord and support redevelopment activities. This is consistent with the jobs/housing balance approved by the FORA Board.

Additional Considerations

(9) Adoption of required programs from section 8.02.040 of the FORA Master Resolution and

Marina has incorporated BRP programs and is consistent with the BRP EIR and mitigation monitoring plan. Marina has adopted the HMP and the submittal conforms to the Development Resource Management Plan and FORA Master Resolution.

(10) Is not consistent with FORA’s prevailing wage policy, section 3.03.090 of the FORA Master Resolution.

The CHOMP project will comply with FORA’s prevailing wage policies.

FISCAL IMPACT
Reviewed by FORA Controller

This consistency review is regulatory in nature and should have no direct fiscal, administrative, or operational impact. In addition to points already dealt with in this report, it is clarified that the CHOMP project is subject to the FORA CFD that ensures a fair share payment of appropriate future fees to mitigate for impacts delineated in the 1997 BRP and accompanying EIR. Marina has agreed to provisions for payment of all required fees for future developments in the former Fort Ord under its jurisdiction.

Staff time to review this matter is included in the fiscal year 2009-10 operating budget.

COORDINATION
City of Marina, Administrative Committee, and Executive Committee

Prepared by Jonathan Garcia Reviewed by D. Steven Endsley

Approved by Michael A. Houlema Jr.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FORA Master Resolution Section 8.02.030 (1-8) and additional considerations (9-10)</th>
<th>Finding of Consistency</th>
<th>Justification for finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Does not provide for a land use designation that allows more intense land uses than the uses permitted in the Reuse Plan for the affected territory;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This development is not more intense than permitted under the current land use designation. See Marina’s 2005 University Villages Specific Plan Consistency Analysis (“2005 Analysis”) items (a) through (e) pg. 1-2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Does not provide for a development more dense than the density of uses permitted in the Reuse Plan for the affected territory;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The project’s expansion is well below the 549-acre Business Park/Light Industrial/Office/R&amp;D threshold. Marina’s February 4, 2010 submittal materials pg 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Is in substantial conformance with applicable programs specified in the Reuse Plan and Section 8.02.020 of this Master Resolution.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>These conditions are imposed on the project. See Marina’s 2005 Analysis items (a) to (t) pg. 1-16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Does not provide uses which conflict with or are incompatible with uses permitted or allowed in the Reuse Plan for the affected property or which conflict with or are incompatible with open space, recreational, or habitat management areas within the jurisdiction of the Authority;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The project does not impact open space, recreational, or habitat management areas. See Marina’s 2005 Analysis item (a) pg. 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Requires or otherwise provides for the financing and/or installation, construction, and maintenance of all infrastructure necessary to provide adequate public services to the property covered by the applicable legislative land use decision;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The project will pay its fair share of basewide costs through payment of developer fees, tax increment, and land sale proceeds. See Marina’s 2005 Analysis item (n) pg. 12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Requires or otherwise provides for implementation of the Fort Ord Habitat Management Plan.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The project does not conflict with implementation of the Fort Ord Habitat Management Plan. See Marina’s 2005 Analysis item (a) pg. 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Is consistent with the Highway 1 Scenic Corridor design standards such standards may be developed and approved by the Authority Board.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Since the project is consistent with the 2005 UVSP, it is consistent with the Highway 1 Scenic Corridor design standards. See Marina’s February 4, 2010 submittal materials pg. 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Is consistent with the jobs/housing balance requirements developed and approved by the Authority Board as provided in Section 8.02.020(t) of this Master Resolution.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The project complies with the 1997 Reuse Plan jobs/housing balance provisions. See Marina’s 2005 Analysis item (t) pg. 15.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) Adoption of required programs from section 8.02.040 of the FORA Master Resolution.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The submittal conforms to DRMP and BRP EIR. See Marina’s 2005 Analysis items (j) 6, 7 pg. 7-8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) Prevailing Wage section 3.03.090 of the FORA Master Resolution.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Project shall comply with FORA’s prevailing wage policy. See Marina’s March 1, 2010 submittal materials pg. 1-2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
289 12th Street Marina, CA 93933
MPC Education Center at Marina
2:00 p.m.
Thursday, April 15, 2010

Education Center at Marina
Monterey Peninsula College

Groundbreaking Celebration

You are cordially invited to the

MPC Monterey Peninsula College
The Honorable Ralph Rubio  
Chairman  
Fort Ord Reuse Authority  
100 12th Street  
Building 2880  
Marina, CA 93933

Dear Chairman Rubio:

At tomorrow’s FORA Board meeting the agenda calls for UCSC to report on the status of the UC MBEST center.

I have been briefed by UCSC officials on the university’s desire to abandon the parcel and its commitment to any further development of a university research park there. UCSC has indicated it intends to sell off the MBEST parcel to the highest bidder. I also have been told by UCSC that it desires to transfer its HCP responsibilities to FORA.

The ultimate success or failure of our efforts to rebuild this former fort into a thriving community depends on the dedication of all its community members to stick with it. When the going gets tough it is more important than ever that we hang together and power through the difficult times. If individual FORA community members are allowed to “peel off” and abdicate their obligations to the social contract they signed when first entering this reuse collective, then there will never be certainty about how we achieve successful reuse.

I object to UCSC’s actions. UCSC fought for this land and got it at no cost from the federal government. Now UCSC hopes to cash in on California land values when what it really should be doing is repaying taxpayers for neglecting the investment they made in MBEST – both in terms of the free land and the nearly 20 million in federal dollars that went to build out the parcel’s infrastructure. I am further disheartened to know that at the same time that UCSC is abandoning its research park in Marina, it is seeking $8 million in federal funds for FY11 to support a research park at NASA Ames. Just think what that $8 million could do to grow the local research economy here in Marina rather than up in Mountain View. I also want to point out that it was just a few short years ago that Chancellor Blumenthal, in a letter dated June 8, 2007, stated without reservation that, “MBEST is not in decline, nor are there any plans to abandon the effort or sell the property.” At the very least, UCSC should convey its property to CSUMB at no cost so our other local university can put it to the use for which it was intended.
Enclosed is a letter I sent to Chancellor Blumenthal recently on this issue. I request that my letter and the enclosure be provided to all FORA Board members prior to the meeting tomorrow so this issue can be discussed fully.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

SAM FARR
Member of Congress

SF/rd

Please be sure to visit my website at www.farr.house.gov.
Dr. George Blumenthal  
Chancellor  
University of California, Santa Cruz  
1156 High Street  
Santa Cruz, CA 95065

Dear Dr. Blumenthal:

I appreciate very much the effort of your staff to keep me apprised of the intentions of UC Santa Cruz toward its satellite campus, the MBEST Center. However, I must express deep disappointment that — after repeated assurances by you that the land would remain with UCSC and be used for educational purposes — the university now intends to sell the various parcels to the highest bidder. Though I understand UCSC will do its best to find other educational institutions to purchase the land or to extract commitments from the new owners to use the land in a manner consistent with the original base re-use plan, there is no guarantee this will be the case.

As I expressed in the meeting on February 3 with Donna Blitzer, Graham Bice and Lisa Akeman, for UCSC to abandon this land and this effort just as the Central Coast is growing into a new center for educational excellence and collaboration is to miss out on the next great economic engine that will drive California productivity in the years to come. The Central Coast is rapidly making its mark as a region for academic research and higher learning. Besides the presence of UCSC there is CSUMB, Golden Gate College, the Monterey College of Law, the Monterey Institute for International Studies, the Naval Postgraduate School, the Defense Language Institute, MBARI, Moss Landing Marine Lab, the Agricultural Research Station, and Long Marine Lab — just to name a few. All of these institutions have embarked on new and creative collaborations to grow the educational currency of the region. For UCSC to sell off MBEST to redevelopment authorities is an insult to the vision that gave rise to this educational collaborative effort in the first place.

You have heard me many times say that UCSC didn’t just get this land after base closure — it pursued it aggressively. The university fought for it! I fought for it. Then-Chancellor Karl Pister saw the value of establishing a base where the new population was going to grow, where the new concentration of industry would form, and where the need for a world-class research park would be invaluable. The research of Silicon Valley drove the California economy for years; high-end research and education can do the same and it can — and will — do it coming out of the Monterey Bay region. UCSC needs to be a part of that.
I would also remind you that UCSC obtained this land for free from the federal government. The vast bulk of money put into developing the site was paid for by the federal government; UCSC contributed very little to the build out of the site. I am concerned that now UCSC claims that its cost of salaries of personnel at MBEST — salaries for persons who were already and mostly still are on the UCSC payroll — need to be “reclaimed” as lost investment. These were not costs solely attributable to MBEST; they were on-going personnel costs. And for UCSC to seek to abrogate its obligation to maintenance of the local habitat as required by its agreement with FORA is, in my opinion, contradictory to all the environmental principles under which the City on a Hill was established.

Chancellor, I cannot endorse the university’s plan to sell freely-gotten land for profit and must urge you to reconsider.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

SAM FARR
Member of Congress

SF/rd

Please be sure to visit my website at www.farr.house.gov.