Fort Ord Reuse Authority



920 2nd Avenue, Ste. A, Marina, CA 93933 Phone: (831) 883-3672 ● Fax: (831) 883-3675 ● www.fora.org

BASE REUSE PLAN POST-REASSESSMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING 8:00 A.M. Friday, May 10, 2013

920 2nd Avenue Suite A, Marina, CA 93933 (FORA Conference Room)

ACTION MINUTES

1. CALL TO ORDER AT 8:05 AM

Having confirmed a quorum, Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) Board of Directors Chair Jerry Edelen called the meeting to order at 8:05 AM. The following people, as indicated by the roll sheet, attended:

Committee Members	Other Attendees	
Tom Moore, MCWD	Michael Houlemard, FORA	Gene Doherty
Gail Morton, City of Marina	Steve Endsley, FORA	Tim O'Halloran
Jane Parker, Monterey Co.	Jonathan Garcia, FORA	Approx. four other members
Victoria Beach, City of Carmel	Darren McBain, FORA	of the public (not signed in)
Jerry Edelen, City of DRO	Scott McCreary, CONCUR, Inc.	

- 2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, ANNOUNCEMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE: None
- 3. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD: No comments received.
- **4. APPROVAL OF APRIL 19, 2013 MEETING MINUTES:** The Committee approved the draft meeting minutes by general consensus, without taking a formal vote.

5. OLD BUSINESS

- a. Base Reuse Plan Post-Reassessment Follow-Up
 - i. Continue review of Category I corrections identified in the 2012 Base Reuse Plan Reassessment Report
 - <u>Cat. I text</u>: After discussion, the Committee concurred with staff recommendation to incorporate the BRP Polygon 17A-related recommendation by Monterey County Resource management Agency Deputy Director Carl Holm into the Committee's summary document of Cat. I text-edit recommendations for Board consideration.
 - Cat. I maps/figures: The Committee reviewed and discussed staff's draft worksheet summarizing 1) the Committee's development of a multi-step approach to future map updates, and 2) the applicability of this approach (or "guidelines") to the individual figures. The Committee recommended that staff should follow the guidelines when developing future work products (new versions of the BRP maps/figures) and requested, as a next step, additional materials to be reviewed by PRAC, i.e., draft map updates showing the specific, item-by-item changes "redlined" onto the actual figures in order to show more precisely how each updated figure would implement the Committee's recommended approach.
 - ii. Review Reassessment Report Category IV topics and options; discuss criteria and procedures to establish priority action items for Board consideration
 - Committee members discussed several possible criteria for establishing action-item priorities, including (but not limited to) identification of:
 - Subject areas that have widespread agreement as being desirable goals,

- Actions or objectives with the highest likelihood of moving the FORA communities toward identified goals ("end-states")
- Actions that would promote the objective of regional cohesiveness, as opposed to fragmented "fiefdoms"

Through discussion, Committee members brought the following subject areas (not in rank order) into focus as potential priority recommendations for further discussion at the next meeting.

- 1. Need for an educational/visioning process, previously offered by Dr. Ochoa and CSUMB, as an early step in the process;
- 2. Prioritization of basewide Regional Urban Design Guidelines (an existing "Cat. III" FORA obligation), and their importance as a means to implementing a regional vision;
- 3. Blight removal, and funding thereof, as being relevant and responsive to multiple BRP and community goals;
- The National Monument designation A catalyst for outdoor recreation tourism and other economic development, and a central element of a needed open space access and trail network; and
- 5. Revisiting of FORA's financing model and underlying assumptions Is the way in which FORA is currently financed still relevant and useful, based on current and forecasted economic conditions?

Committee member Tom Moore, PhD, distributed copies of a memo entitled "Draft List of Measures of Effectiveness for the Base Reuse Plan" for the Committee's consideration. A copy is attached to these meeting minutes.

6. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 11:00 AM.

Minutes prepared by Darren McBain.