

## **REGULAR MEETING** FORT ORD REUSE AUTHORITY (FORA) WATER/WASTEWATER OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE Wednesday, April 11, 2018 at 9:30 a.m.

920 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue, Suite A, Marina CA 93933 (FORA CIC)

# **AGENDA**

# 1. CALL TO ORDER/ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM

### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 2.

### 3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, ANNOUNCEMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE

### PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD 4

Members of the public wishing to address the Committee on matters within its jurisdiction, but not on this agenda, may do so for up to 3 minutes and will not receive Committee action. Whenever possible, written correspondence should be submitted to the Committee in advance of the meeting, to provide adequate time for its consideration.

### 5. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

a. February 28, 2018 Meeting Minutes

#### 6. **BUSINESS ITEMS**

Business items are for Committee discussion, debate, direction to staff, and/or action. Comments from the public are not to exceed 3 minutes or as otherwise determined by the Chair.

- a. 2018-19 Ord Community Draft Budget & CIP
- b. Three Party Planning Water Augmentation Study Status

### 7. **ITEMS FROM MCWD**

### 8. **ITEMS FROM MEMBERS**

Receive communication from Committee members as it pertains to future agenda items.

### 9. ADJOURNMENT

# NEXT MEETING: April 25, 2018

For information regarding items on this agenda or to request disability related modifications and/or accommodations please contact the FORA office at (831) 883-3672, 48 hours prior to the meeting. Agendas are available on the FORA website at www.fora.org.

INFORMATION/ACTION

INFORMATION

ACTION



# FORT ORD REUSE AUTHORITY WATER/WASTEWATER OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE **MEETING MINUTES**

920 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue, Suite A, Marina CA 93933 | FORA CIC 9:30 a.m., Wednesday, February 28, 2018

# 1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Riedl called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

The following were present: AR = After Roll Call

# **Committee Members:**

Rick Riedl, City of Seaside Steve Matarazzo, University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) Dino Pick, City of Del Rey Oaks Mike Lerch, California State University Monterey Bay (CSUMB)

# **Other Attendees:**

Derek Cray, Marina Coast Water District (MCWD) Mike Wealey, MCWD Patrick Breen, MCWD Kelly Cadiente, MCWD Doug Yount, Shea Homes

# FORA Staff:

Steve Endsley Jonathan Brinkman Peter Said Heidi Lizarbe

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE led by City Manager Dino Pick

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, ANNOUNCEMENTS, AND CORRESPONDENCE 3.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

# 5. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

a. MOTION: On motion by committee member Lerch moved to approve the February 14, 2018 Water/Wastewater Oversight Committee (WWOC) minutes. Seconded by committee member Matarazzo.

**MOTION PASSED: UNANIMOUSLY** 

# 6. BUSINESS ITEMS

a. MCWD Master plan status and review of DRAFT 5-year CIP

Mike Wegley of MCWD reviewed the Master Plan and the Draft 5-year CIP, noting the Master Plan has yet to be completed and will be brought back to the Committee once completed. Mr. Wegley responded to questions and comments from the Committee in reference to capacity charges.

**MOTION:** On motion by committee member Lerch moved to have the Bartle Wells Associates Document included with the minutes for the WWOC. Seconded by committee member Pick. **MOTION PASSED: UNANIMOUSLY** 

Quorum was lost at 10:31am

**b**. MCWD Mid-Year

Kelly Cadiente of MCWD provided the Committee with a Mid-Year Budget Report. Ms. Cadiente responded to questions and comments from the Committee.

c. Three Party Planning Water Augmentation Study Status

Peter Said, Project Manager provided background and status on the item. The committee requested further review of the scope of work. Mr. Said responded to comments and questions from the Committee.

# 7. ITEMS FROM MCWD

None.

# 8. ITEMS FROM MEMBERS

# 9. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Riedl adjourned the meeting at 11:20 A.M.

# NEXT MEETING: March 14, 2018

INFORMATION

INFORMATION

**INFORMATION** 



### BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES INDEPENDENT PUBLIC FINANCE ADVISORS

1889 Alcatraz Avenue Berkeley, CA 94703 510 653 3399 fax: 510 653 3769 e-mail: bwa@bartlewells.com

TO:	Suresh Prasad Marina Coast Water District
FROM:	Tom Gaffney
DATE:	June 14, 2005

SUBJ: Ord Community water and wastewater operating revenues, capacity charges and capital surcharges

MCWD currently finances Ord Community water and wastewater capital expenses on a pay-as-you-go basis funded by capital component surcharges to existing users. Current studies and discussions with FORA staff and consultants, developers, and local planning agency representatives have resulted in a proposed plan to finance all capital expenses with a combination of capacity charges, capital surcharges and operating rates. The capital expansion projects would be funded from a combination of capacity charges and capital surcharges to new development. The share of project costs related to repairs and replacements would be funded from operating revenues.

We proposed that the District wrap its current capital component charges into its operating rates. By doing so, the District is able to maintain its current operating rates without any increases for FY 2005/06. The current level of operating rates would continue and fund operation and maintenance including repairs and replacements. Eventually, rates and charges to all customers would require adjustments to account for cost escalation. Maintaining the current level of rates and charges and applying the full amount to operations gives the District flexibility to fund expenses as well as provide additional security and debt service coverage.

Citigroup has developed a capital financing program that relies on a combination of bond proceeds and pay-as-you-go financing. The District's water and wastewater operating rates would fund O&M and repairs and replacements. Expansion capital projects would be funded from capacity charges and capital surcharges to new development. The Citigroup projections are based on estimated annual growth rates developed by the local planning agencies and compiled by FORA. Citigroup has consistently stated that its analysis is very sensitive to annual growth projections. If growth does not follow these projections, the capacity charges and surcharges would need to be reviewed.

Note that the Citigroup financing projections include revenues from all three sources. Any adjustment to one of the sources would require compensating adjustments from either or both of the other revenue sources.

F:\Jobs\Marina CWD 293\k- Conn chg\Revs for bonds-O&M-coverage.doc

### MEMORANDUM

### Marina Coast Water District

### **DATE:** June 14, 2005

TO: Board of Directors

CC: Mike Armstrong, General Manager

FROM: Suresh Prasad, Director of Finance

SUBJECT: Alternatives for Capital Project Revenues Study

Director Nishi requested a copy of the Alternatives for Capital Project Revenues Study on Ord Community prepared by Bartle Wells Associates (BWA). Attached is a copy of the BWA Study The Study was first provided to the MCWD Board on October 13 and then on October 27, Tom Gaffney from BWA presented the Study to the Board.

Since the meetings in October, staff and Bartle Wells Associates continued to test various assumptions and data and made several modifications to the (spreadsheets) tables included in the Study. Ultimately, the BWA information and data was provided to Citigroup and incorporated in the Citigroup Financing Study. The Citigroup study was presented to MCWD Board on May 25, 2005.

i siyarisi San San

6.5

· . . .

N 12 - 14

I will be happy to answer any additional questions you might have.

APRIL 1

1

12

. 8

Attachments: Alternative for Capital Project Revenues, prepared by Bartle Wells Associates

# DRAFT

# Marina Coast Water District

# Alternatives for Capital Project Revenues

October 2004

Bartle Wells Associates 1889 Alcatraz Ave. Berkeley CA 94703 Tele: 510-653-3399

## Introduction

1

The Marina Coast Water District (District) provides water and wastewater services within the Marina area and within the Ord Community (the former Fort Ord army base). The following discussion pertains only to the Ord Community service area.

The District does not presently generate capital revenues within the Ord Community service area for expansion projects through traditional means such as capacity charges to new development. Rather, the District uses a "pay-as-you-go, rate-based" model, assessing existing customers a "capital component charge" per each hundred cubic feet of water consumed, and a monthly flat rate on wastewater collection bills. 

The current model was developed in response to the unique relationship between the District and the Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA). The District owns and operates the water and wastewater collection utilities in the Ord Community through an agreement between the two agencies. The current capital revenue generation model implements FORA's philosophy to encourage new development. The model relies on FORA growth projections and provides the financing needed for the projects necessary to support this growth.

Given the currently estimated compressed timeline of developer projects and the potential effect to Ord Community ratepayers, the District wants to review and assess its present situation and identify and evaluate alternative expansion capital generation strategies. This review will be presented to the District and FORA Boards of Directors for consideration and possible action.

The method for generating capital revenues must be:

- Appropriate for the District and FORA
- Able to generate sufficient capital for expansion projects
- Easy for District staff to administer; and
- Understandable to the community

The project cost estimates and cost allocations used to develop the capacity charge alternatives in this report are still under review and development by the District. The District's capital improvement programs will be completed within the next several months. In the meantime, preliminary project cost estimates and allocations among those benefiting are used in this report to demonstrate the impacts of expansion capital revenue alternatives. Once an alternative is selected the more refined capital costs and allocations will be used to establish the actual capacity charge.

## **Capacity Charges**

Typically, capacity charges are levied to new customers to recover the capital costs for facilities needed to serve growth. Capacity charges recover costs for future projects that must be constructed to expand facilities, as well as the costs of capacity in existing facilities that is available to benefit and serve new customers. The charges must be reasonable and non-arbitrary, and based on facility capital costs, user demand, flows and loads, and system capacity. A variety of methods may be used to determine the appropriate capacity charge.

California Government Code Section 66013 deals with water and sewer capacity charges. The Code states that such fees or charges shall not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fees or charges are imposed.

### **Capital Facilities**

Establishment of an equitable capacity charge requires the District to identify the capital facilities required to serve a new user. Often new facilities also include capacity that will serve existing users. In this case the costs of such facilities would be shared among current as well as future users. Allocation of facilities to accommodate future demand should consider the following:

- The share and value of existing facilities available for use by future growth
- Cost of planned capital improvements providing additional capacity for future growth

 Special facilities that benefit only one or a few developers and don't provide general benefit throughout the service area.

In an effort to maintain, improve, and expand facilities in the Ord Community, the District is preparing a capital improvement program (CIP) for water and wastewater projects. The general categories of CIP projects are discussed below:

**District-Wide General Benefit Projects** – These projects serve both the Marina service area as well as the Ord Community service area. An example includes the corporation yard project. All current users as well as future users in both Marina and Ord Community would share costs for projects in this category.

Ord Community General Benefit Projects – Projects in this category provide a benefit to current and future users in the Ord Community. An example of a project in this group would be a well in the Ord Community service area. Project costs would be shared among current as well as future Ord Community area users.

Ord Community Limited Benefit Projects – Projects in this category provide a benefit only to future users in the Ord Community. Such projects also benefit all future users equally. An example of a project in this group would be a project adding capacity needed by future development, but not required by current users. Project costs would be shared only among all future Ord Community area users.

**Ord Community Special Benefit Projects** – These projects serve only a limited and identifiable number of development projects. An example would be the Del Rey Oaks water transmission line. Project costs would normally be funded by the development being served by the project.

**In-Tract Projects** – Includes infrastructure that is required to meet a development's requirements within the development service area including facilities such as sewer lines and manholes and water distribution lines and meters. These costs will be financed totally by developers.

**Refurbishment and Repair Projects** – This category of project is not included in the capacity charge alternatives. Such projects are funded by current users through rates on a pay-as-you-go basis. Refurbishment and replacement projects are operating costs of the District. If a replacement project also includes capacity for expansion required for development needs, then a proportionate share of project costs may be included as an integral part of capacity charge costs.

### **Reimbursement Agreements**

A reimbursement arrangement is a useful method for a public agency to allow a developer to finance a special benefit or other project that the agency can not or should not finance. Often a public agency has a capital improvement program that is beyond the capabilities of current customers to finance. In addition, there may be scheduling reasons that effect the ability of current users to finance project expansions.

Reimbursement agreements are used to refund a portion of project costs funded by a developer or developers that will also serve other future users. The agency and developers agree to special capacity charges from future customers within a project's service area. The reimbursement agreement may require a developer to finance the entire project cost. Reimbursements would be collected for the share of project costs that serve other users.

Reimbursement agreement terms and conditions – A reimbursement agreement should establish a maximum term. A limit of ten years is a sufficient term to allow reimbursements. Reimbursements extending any longer would be fully discounted. Reimbursements should be funded from a surcharge applied only to new development served by the project. The surcharge would be collected from future developers at the time of development certification and not from individual homes or users. Or, the surcharge could be collected from future benefiting customers as they connect in the form of a capacity charge surcharge or as a surcharge to water and wastewater rates. Reimbursements back to the initial developer would not include any interest component. If possible the initial developer should establish an agreement with other nearby developers to share the initial project costs. This would

eliminate or greatly reduce the need for reimbursement agreements. After the agreement term (ten years) the agreement would expire and reimbursements would no longer be collected.

## **Capital Revenue Generation Alternatives**

We have identified several alternatives in addition to the present pay-as-you-go method. These alternatives show the impact of capital improvements on current customers and future customers.

1. **Baseline:** This alternative demonstrates the impact to water and wastewater rates for current customers using the current pay-as-you-go, rate based financing method. The District uses a rate model to determine the amount of the capital component surcharge on water rates and sewer charges to fund the current year's capital projects. The capital rate component was developed as an alternative to the levy of a capacity charge to new customers. The capital charge portion of water and wastewater rates may adjust annually as needed and is generally set up to fund a pay-as-you-go capital program. Expensive projects may be funded over a longer-term period or funded with debt.

In addition to the capital rate component that applies to all current users, new customers are subject to an additional one-time charge. An equalization charge is levied to new users to recover the past revenue that would have been generated from the capital rate component. Each year the equalization charge is adjusted to include the prior year's capital component amount. This insures that new users will pay the same amount of capital charges as existing users

2. Uniform Capacity Charge: This capital revenue alternative creates a uniform capacity charge to fund all projects allocated to future development over the entire Ord Community service area. Revenues from the charge would provide funding for general, limited, and special benefit facilities.

- 3. General Benefit Capacity Charge: This alternative creates a capacity charge for funding projects that provide capacity and/or benefit for all new development. In developing this charge, projects also benefit current users so costs are shared between future and current users. Such a charge would be levied over the entire Ord Community service area. The general benefit capacity charge would not include funding for special benefit projects. Special benefit projects would be funded by the developers.
- 4. Uniform Capacity Charge and Reimbursements: This alternative is essentially the same as a previous scenario, but also includes a reimbursement provision to the developer or development group for capital funding provided to fund certain limited benefit and special benefit projects. The plan would provide for a developer or development group to fund a share or all of limited and special benefit project costs, which the District identifies. In these cases the projects are required prior to any offsetting development for funding. These projects would be difficult for the District to fund without outside capital. A reimbursement procedure would be established to repay the initial developer/development group. Reimbursement would come from future developers or from future benefiting customers depending on the terms of the reimbursement arrangement.

The matrix below summarizes the source of income for the various capacity charge alternatives.

and the second second

Alternative	Type of CIP Project							
	R&R	General Benefit Projects	Limited Benefit Projects	Special Benefit Projects	In <sup>c</sup> Tract Projects			
Baseline	rates	rates	rates	rates	developer			
Uniform Capacity Charge	rates.	Uniform Capacity Charge	Uniform Capacity Charge	Uniform Capacity Charge	developer			
General Benefit Capacity Charge	rates	General Bénéfit Capacity Charge	General Benefit Capacity Charge	developer	developer			
Uniform Capacity Charge – with Reimbursement	rates	Uniform Capacity Chärge	Uniform Capacity Charge Or, developer with Reimburse- ment	developer with reimbursements	developer			

# **Calculation of Alternatives**

The alternatives are based on new development paying for their share of project costs that provide system capacity and service. These capacity charges are calculated as follows: Total project costs are determined, costs are allocated among those receiving benefit and a unit capacity charge is determined.

Table 1 shows the current and design capacities of the District's Ord Community water and wastewater facilities. These capacities are used to allocate facility costs between current and future users,

Table 2 lists the value of existing facilities within the Ord Community service area. System facilities constructed by the Department of Defense (DOD) are net of depreciation. District capital improvements are at original cost. The DOD developed valuations for capacity rights and real property.

Table 3 shows the share of water facilities costs assigned to future development based on estimated capacity uses of the system. Certain facilities (district-wide general benefit) such as the corporation yard will serve the entire District service area including both Marina and Ord Community. These costs would be shared proportionally among current and future users in both service areas. Certain Ord Community general benefit facilities serve current and future users in the service area. Costs for these facilities are allocated between current and future Ord Community users. Other Ord Community facilities are required to serve an identifiable development project or area (special benefit projects). There are two alternative for allocating special benefit project costs. All costs are allocated either uniformly among all new development or general costs are allocated to all new development and special benefit costs are allocated only to those developments served.

Table 4 shows the results of the cost allocation plan. At present, the only district-wide general benefit project is the corporation yard. Ord Community general benefit projects include a wide range of new projects and a proportionate share of expansion, replacement, and upgrade facilities. Also many of the new projects are sized for expansion and serve identifiable areas. Virtually every area of the Ord Community area is served by certain special benefit projects.

Table 5 determines the amount of the capacity charge alternatives based on the proceeding discussion. The estimated applicable project costs are divided by the capacity receiving benefit. A capacity charge of over \$7,300 per acre-foot is required to fund general benefit

projects. Assuming special benefits are approximately equal for new users in the Ord Community service area results in an average special benefit of an additional \$2,370 per acre-foot. If certain development programs require more special benefit projects, then this charge could be much higher for those developments.

The wastewater alternatives are determined in exactly the same manner as that for water. Table 6 shows the share of wastewater facilities costs assigned to future development based on capacity uses of the system. The corporation yard is a district-wide general benefit project and will serve the entire District service area including both Marina and the Ord Community. Ord Community general benefit projects serve current and future users in the service area. Costs for these facilities are allocated between current and future Ord Community users. Other special benefit projects are required to serve an identifiable development project or area. Special benefit project costs may be allocated uniformly among all future development or directly allocated only to those developments served.

Table 7 shows the results of the cost allocation plan. The only district-wide general benefit project is the corporation yard. Ord Community general benefit projects include a wide range of new projects and a proportionate share of expansion replacement and upgrade facilities. Also many of the new projects are sized for expansion and serve identifiable areas. Virtually every area of the Ord Community area is served by certain special benefit projects.

Table 8 determines the amount of the wastewater capacity charge alternatives based on the proceeding discussion. The applicable project costs are divided by the capacity benefiting. A wastewater capacity charge (for 250 gallons per day of capacity) of about \$1,260 is required to fund general benefit projects. Assuming special benefit are approximately equal for new users in the Ord service area results in an average special benefit of an additional \$170 for 250 gpd of capacity.

### **Current Financing Method**

For the Ord Community service area, the District does not currently employ a capacity charge for financing system expansions. In accordance with the agreement with FORA, the

District finances future expansion projects as well as current refurbishment and repairs from revenues collected from the current and future rate base capital charge component. New connections to the system pay an equalization charge to "catch-up" with current users that have already paid into the system's improvements.

The District employs rate models to determine the amount of the capital charge component to water and wastewater users to fund annual project capital costs. Capital charges are determined annually based on the amount of projects required. This method requires dual approvals from both the FORA and District boards and also requires a public hearing to set rates, which frequently require adjustment because of varying annual capital requirements.

Table 9 shows the present water rate model and Table 10 shows the present wastewater rate model including all of the CIP costs listed in the earlier tables. The model shows the assumptions used in developing the capital charge component.

### Summary of Capital Revenue Alternatives

Table 11 summarizes the water capital revenue alternatives for the Baseline, General Benefit, Uniform, and Uniform with Reimbursement capacity charge s. Table 12 provides the same summary for wastewater capacity charges.

# Table 1Marina Coast Water District - Ord CommunityFlows and Capacity

Water	·····	······································	·	•
Ord Community Current use Current design flow Future design flow		2,200 6,800 9,000	acre-feet acre-feet acre-feet	
	· · ·	, #		
Wastewater		•	×	ь <sup>1</sup> .
Ord Community Current use Current design capacity Future design flow	44 1	1.40 4.30 5.70	mgd mgd mgd	

.

. . . .

Source: District information and records Wastewater NOT verified

BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES F:\Jobs\client\#\folder\conn chg alts rev 21.xlsT1 Flows capacity6/13/2006,4:45 PM

# Table 2Marina Coast Water District - Ord CommunityExisting Ord Community Facilities Valuation

### Water

System facilities - DOD	\$1,600,000 (1)
District capital improvements	1,000,000
Water rights - DOD	57,200,000
Real property, right of way, easements - DOD	<u>14,100,000</u>
Total valuation	73,900,000

### Wastewater

System facilities - DOD	1,278,000 (1)
District capital improvements	1,000,000
Wastewater capacity - DOD (2.2 mgd)	15,300,000
Real property, right of way, easements - DOD	10,800,000
Total valuation	28,378,000

ι.

Source: District and DOD records 1 - After depreciation

Marina Coast Water District - Ord Community Future Development's Share of Water Project (	Costs		
Ord Community projects			
General Benefit Projects (projects benefit current users and futu	ire developm	ent)	
Future Ord Community design water capacity Ord Community future development capacity Development's share of Ord Community general benefit projec	ts	9,000 6,800 75.6%	acre-feet acre-feet
Limited Benefit Projects (projects benefit only future developme	ent)	•	
Fort Ord future development capacity Development's share of Fort Ord limited benefit projects		6,800 100.0%	acre-feet
Special Benefit Projects (projects benefit only certain developm Special benefit capacity Special benefit share	ent areas) } } }	Varies, depe development configuration	and project

.

,

ŧ.

u )

### Table 4

### Marina Coast Water District - Ord Community

5-Year Water Facilities Capital Improvement Program

		Project Share		Future Development Project Cost Share		t Share	
	•	General benefit Shared with current users	Limited benefit Shared among all future development	Special benefit Shared among some future development		Limited benefit	
Ord Community water projects	•					*****	
FY 2003/2004							
Corp Yard Phase 1 (Master Plan) Deep Monitoring Well No. 2	\$845,000	100.0% 100,0%			\$845,000 .300,000	\$0 0	\$0 0
Construct Well 33 (Design)	75,000	100.0%			75,000	0	0
Construct Well 33	1,000,000	100.0%			1,000,000	ő	Ö
Rehab Reservoir B & F	50,000	100.0%			5D,000	0	0
Replace D & E Reservoir (Design)	171,000	100,0%			171,000	Ó	0
Replace PRV's and Valves (Local Share)	815,000	100.0% 100.0%			815,000 378,000	0	0
2nd Avenue Pipeline (12" from 12th to 8th) 2nd Avenue Pipeline (12" from 8th to Lightfighter)	663,000	100.0%			663,000	0	0 0
Corp Yard Phase 1 (Master Plan)	845,000	100.0%			845,000	0	õ
Demolition of FI. Ord WWTP	25,000	100.0%			25,000	0	0
Disinfection Station - Equipment Upgrade	11,000	100.0%	•		11,000	0	0
JM Boulevard Pipeline Project (Design) FY 2003/2004 totals	<u>10,000</u> 5,188,000	20.0%		80.0%	<u>2,000</u> 5,180,000	0 D	8,000 8,000
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19 <b>1</b> - 1990 - 1990 - 1990	: •.				·	
FY 2004/05 Well 33 (design & construct)	1,100,000	20.0%	80.0%		220,000	880,000	0
Replace D & E Reservoir (Design)	488,000	20.0%	80.0%		000,88	390,000	Ó
Replace Reservoir C2 (Design)	410,000	20.0%	80.0%		<u>62,000</u>	328,000	0
Well Field Booster (Design & Construct) Corp Yard Phase 1 (Demo/Abatement)	424,000 360,000	20.0%	80,0%		85,000 360,000	000,986 0	0
Corp Yard Phase 1 (Demo/Abatement) Corp Yard Phase 1 (Design/Fees)	480,000	100.0%			480,000	0	ů Ó
Disinfection Station - Equipment Upgrade	15,000	• • • • • • •	100.0%	·	2	15,000	Ö
18" UCMBEST MMP	10,000		100.0%		Q	10,000	0
Security Fence al FT. Ord WWTP	<u>40,000</u> 3,327,000		100.0%		0	40,000	0
FY 2004/05 totals	0,027,000		-per starter a second		1,325,000	2,002,000	0
FY 2005/06	1 660 600	00 00i		00.000	070 000		0
Replace D & E Reservoir (Construction) Well Field Reservoir and Booster Station(Construct	4,880,000 2,512,000	20.0% 20.0%		80.0% 80.0%	976,000 602,000	Ó	3,904,000
Replace Reservoir C2 (Construct)	4,100,000	20.0%		80.0%	820,000	a	3,280,000
Corp Yard Phase I (Construction)	2,700,000	100,0%		. 1.	2,700,000	0	0
Demolition Intermediate F & Airport Reservoirs	290,000		100.0%		0	. 290,000	Ö
Calif. Street Boosler	160,000		100.0%		· Q	160,000	0
Fire Flow Improvements Patton School Intertie	825,000 124,000		100.0% 100.0%		0	325,000 124,000	0 0
JM Boulevard Pipeline (Construction)	504,000	20.0%	100,0 10	80.0%	101,000	0	403,000
FY 2005/06 totals	15,595,000	4. e			5,099,000	899,000	9,597,000
FY 2006/07					0	.0	Ó
Rehabilitate Well 31	785,000	20.0%	80.0%	e	167,000	628,000	· 0
Master Plan Update	100,000	20.0% 20.0%	80.0% 80.0%		20,000 685,000	80,000	. 0
Zone C Transmission Demolish Bayview Reservoir	3,425,000 100,000	20.0%	80.0%		20,000	2,740,000 80,000	0 0
Zone C Booster from C2 to C1	272,000	20.0%	80.0%		54,000	218,000	õ
Del Rey Oaks Transmission Line	1,969,000			100.0%	0	0	1,969,000
Intergarrison Rd Pipe Replacement	249,000	100 01		100.0%	0	0	249,000
Corp Yard Phase 2 (Design/Fees) FY 2006/07 (otals	795,000 7,695,000	100.0%			795.000 1,731,000	9,746,000	2,218,000
	(10061000	1			dinition	£11301000	*I*101000
FY 2007/08 Rehabilitate Well 29	772.000	20.0%	80.0%		0 154,000	0 618,000	0
Transmission Line upgrades	5,093,000	20.0%	~~1770	80.0%	1,019,000	010,000	4,074,000
Imjim Stage II (2,800 If of 16")	346,000	25.0%	75.0%		87,000	260,000	0
8th Street Upgrades	772,000		100.0%		0	772,000	0
Blanco/Imjin Connector	473,000		100.0%	100.004	0	473,000	0
Parker Flats Transmission Line Corp Yard Phase 2 (Demo/Abalement)	217,000	100.0%		100.0%	395,000	0	217,000
Regional water augmentation (additional)	10,000,000	.,00,074	100.0%		ຸ່ມທານນຸ	10,000,000	Q Q
FY 2007/08 totals	18,068,000				1,655,000	12,123,000	4,291,000
FY 2008/09							
Golf Boulevard Transmission Line	647,000	50.0%	50.0%		324,000	324,000	0
Replace C Reservolr	7,810,000	20.0%	80.0%		1,562,000	6,248,000	0
Replace B.Reservoir Rehabilitate D.Booster	1,760,000 300,000	20.0% 20.0%	80.0% . 80,0%		352,000 60,000	1,408,000 240,000	0
Renabilitate D Booster Fire Flow Improvements	765,000	20.0%	80.0%		153,000	£40,000 612,000	ŭ Ŭ
Additional D/E Reservoir (Design & Construct)	3,500,000	20.0%	80.0%		700,000	2,800,000	0
Gigling Transmission from D Booster to JM Blvd	65,000	50.0%	60.0%		33,000	33,000	D
Corp Yard Phase 2 (Construction)	4,600,000	100.0%			4,600,000	0	Ŭ
Rehabilitate D Booster Pump FY 2008/09 totals	<u>300,000</u> 19,747,000	20.0%	80.0%		60,000 7,844,000	<u>240,000</u> 11,905,000	<u>a</u>
) t manana miala	101111000					11,800,000	U
Totals	69,820,000				22,834,000	30,675,000	

• ....

Source: Marina Coast WD (ENR-CCI, 20-Cily ave. = 6,825)

BARTLE WELLS ASS OCIATES. F:MobstellenwiWolderconn clig alts rev 21.xls14 Water CIP for Cap Chg6/13/2005.4:45 PM

# Table 5 Marina Coast Water District - Ord Community Water Capacity Charge Determination

	Valuation	Acre-feet Benefitting	Value per Acre-foot
Ord Community General Benefit Capacity Charge Basic capacity charge for Infill development			
Existing water system facilities	\$1,600,000	9,000	\$180
Existing District capital Improvements	1,000,000	9,000	110
Ord Community general benefit facilities	22,834,000	9,000	2,540
Ord Community limited benefit facilities	30,675,000	6,800	4.510
Ord Community General Benefit Capacity Charge per acre-foot	* ) .	• •	\$7,340
An			
tan kanang manang manang kanang ka	and a second	rengangangan kanalaran se	NATIONAL CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR
Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge All costs assigned to future development are funded equ		· · ·	\$100
Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge All costs assigned to future development are funded equ Existing water system facilities	\$1,600,000	9,000	\$180 110
Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge All costs assigned to future development are funded equ		· · ·	\$180 110 2,540
Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge All costs assigned to future development are funded equ Existing water system facilities Existing District capital improvements	\$1,600,000 1,000,000	9,000	110
Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge All costs assigned to future development are funded equ Existing water system facilities Existing District capital improvements Ord Community general benefit facilities	\$1,600,000 1,000,000 22,834,000	9,000 9,000 9,000	110 2,540
Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge All costs assigned to future development are funded equ Existing water system facilities Existing District capital improvements Ord Community general benefit facilities Ord Community limited benefit facilities	\$1,600,000 1,000,000 22,834,000 30,675,000	9,000 9,000 9,000 6,800	110 2,540 4,510

Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge with Reimbursement Special benefit costs are not shared uniformily and are subject to reimbursement arrangements

Existing water system facilities	\$1,600,000	9,000	\$180
Existing District capital improvements	1,000,000	9,000	110
Ord Community general benefit facilities	22,834,000	9,000	2,540
Ord Community limited benefit facilities	30,675,000	6,800	4.510
Ord Comm. Uniform Capacity Charge w/	Reimbursement		\$7,340
Average additional reimbursement (amount would vary for each development	16,114,000 t)	6,800	\$2,370

Source: Prepared by Bartle Wells Associates from District informantion.

Ord Community projects         General Benefit Projects (projects benefit current users and future development)         Total Ord Community design wastewater capacity       5.7 mgd         Ord Community future development capacity       4.3 mgd         Development's share of Ord Community general benefit projects       75.4%         Limited Benefit Projects (projects benefit only future development)       4.3 mgd         Ord Community future development capacity       4.3 mgd         Development's share of Ord Community general benefit projects       75.4%         Limited Benefit Projects (projects benefit only future development)       4.3 mgd         Ord Community future development capacity       4.3 mgd         Development's share of Fort Ord limited benefit projects       100.0%         Special Benefit Projects (projects benefit only certain development areas)       Varies, depending on development and project         Special benefit share       3       development and project         Special benefit share       3       configuration.	Table 6 Marina Coast Water District - Ord Community Future Development's Share of Wastewater Proje	ect Costs	
Total Ord Community design wastewater capacity       5.7 mgd         Ord Community future development capacity       4.3 mgd         Development's share of Ord Community general benefit projects       75.4%         Limited Benefit Projects (projects benefit only future development)       75.4%         Ord Community future development capacity       4.3 mgd         Development's share of Ord Community general benefit projects       75.4%         Special Benefit Projects (projects benefit only future development areas)       100.0%         Special Benefit Projects (projects benefit only certain development areas)       Varies, depending on development and project	Ord Community projects		******
Ord Community future development capacity       4.3       mgd         Development's share of Ord Community general benefit projects       75.4%         Limited Benefit Projects (projects benefit only future development)       75.4%         Ord Community future development capacity       4.3       mgd         Ord Community future development capacity       4.3       mgd         Ord Community future development capacity       4.3       mgd         Development's share of Fort Ord limited benefit projects       100.0%       100.0%         Special Benefit Projects (projects benefit only certain development areas)       Varies, depending on development and project			
Development's share of Ord Community general benefit projects       75.4%         Limited Benefit Projects (projects benefit only future development)       4.3 mgd         Ord Community future development capacity       4.3 mgd         Development's share of Fort Ord limited benefit projects       100.0%         Special Benefit Projects (projects benefit only certain development areas)       Varies, depending on development and project		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ord Community Tuture development capacity       4.3 mgd         Development's share of Fort Ord limited benefit projects       100.0%         Special Benefit Projects (projects benefit only certain development areas)       Varies, depending on development and project         Special benefit capacity       3         Special benefit share       3			mga
Special benefit capacity       }       Varies, depending on         Special benefit share       }       development and project	Ord Community future development capacity		mgd
Special benefit capacity       }       Varies, depending on         Special benefit share       }       development and project	Special Benefit Projects (projects benefit only certain development	ereas)	
	Special benefit capacity	3	
configuration.	Special benefit share		
		3	compuration.

-----

### Table 7

### Marina Coast Water District - Ord Community

5-Year Wastewater Capital Improvement Program

		Project Share				Cost Share	
		General benefit	Limited benefit	Special benefit	General benefit	Limited benefit	Special benefit
		Shared with	Shared among	Shared among	75.4%		
		current users	all future	some future			
			development	development			
rd Community wastewater projects					and the second second		
Y 2003/2004				······			
ift Stations and Abrams Road Improvs.	1,008,000	100.0%			\$1,008,000	30	\$
nd Avenue Upgrades	112,000	100,0%			112,000	0:	
pdate Wastewater Master Plan	50,000	100.0%			50,000	0	
orp Yard Phase 1 (Master Plan)	845,000	100.0%			845,000	0	
Y 2003/2004 totals	2,015,000				2,015,000	ō	j
Y 2004/2005					·		
						a standarda	
R Stations and Abrams Road (construct)	2,300,000	20.0%	80,0%	•	460,000	1,840,000	
orp Yard Phase 1 (Demo/Abatement)	360,000	100.0%			360,000	0	-
orp Yard Phase 1 (Design/Fees)	480,000	100.0%			480,000	.0	
ain Garrison Sewer Pipe Project (Design)	90,000	33,3%	66,7%.		30,000-	60,000	,
ain Garrison Sewer Meter Rehab	100,000	100.0%			_100,000	0	
Y 2004/2005 totals	3,330,000				1,430,000	1,900,000	
2005/2006							
place Trunck Sewers and Force Mains	239,000	50.0%	50.0%		120,000	120,000	*
rp Yard Phase 1 (Construction)	2.700,000	100.0%	00,075		2,700,000	120,000	
ditional Lift Station Rehab	380,000	20.0%	80.0%		76,000	304,000	ş.
sc Lift Station Upgrades	36,000	20.0%	80,0%		7,000	29,000	
in Garrison Sewer Pipe Project	802,000	33.3%	66.7%		267,000		
2905/2006 totals	4,157,000				3,170,000	988,000	, I
2006/2007					•		
h Street Upgrades	239,000	100.0%			239,000.	0	6
Rey Oaks, Transmission to Seaside SD	1,962,000			100.0%	0 -	D	1,962,000
rp Yard Phase 2 (Design/Fees)	795.000	100.0%			795,000	D	
sc Pump Station Upgrades	36,000	100.0%			35,000	<u>o</u>	
2006/2007 totals	3,032,000	,00,035			1,070,000	ō	1,962,000
LUGGIDUI (OLII)	0,502,000				1,070,000		110021000
2007/2008			وتحت سطرا			120,000	
place Truck Sewers and Force Mains	239,000	50.0%	50.0%		120,000		: من ا
jin Stage II	206,000	50.0%		50.0%	103,000	-0	103,000
rp Yard Phase 2 (Demo/Abatement)	395,000	100.0%			395,000	- O (-	
WPCA Buy-In	8,292,000	100.0%		14	8,292,000 0		<u>े</u>
ker Flats Transmission Line	10,000			100.0%	<b>0</b> 🦕	0 -	10,000
ninate Neeson LS, Install gravity line	678,000	100.0%		,	678,000	<u>.</u> 0	<u>(</u>
2007/2008 totals	9,820,000				9,588,000	120,000	
2008/2009							
p Yard Phase 2 (Construction)	4,600,000	100.0%		,	4,600,000	0	- T
rina Hotel/Golf Course/Airport	899,000	100,070		100.0%		ŏ	899.000
place Truck Sewers and Force Mains	239,000	50.0%	50.0%	100.076	120.000	120,000	, 055,000
litional Lift Station Rehab	380,000		50.0%		190,000		0
		50.0%	00,0%			310.000	899.000
2008/2009 totals	8,118,000				4,910,000	310,000	053,000
als	28,472,000				22,183,000	3,318,000	2,974,000

\*

BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES F: Jobskillent#Voldarconn chg alts rev 21.xisT7 Sever CIP for ConnChg8/13/2005,4;45 PM

# Table 8

# Marina Coast Water District - Ord Community Wastewater Capacity Charge Determination

	Valuation	Gallons/day Benefitting	Value per gallon/day	Value for 250 gpd
Ord Community General Benefit Capacity Charge Basic connection charge for Infill development	• • •			
Existing wastewater system facilities	\$1,278,000	5,700,000	\$0.22	\$60
Existing District capital improvements	1,000,000	5,700,000	0.18	40
Ord Community general benefit facilities	22,183,000	5,700,000	3.89	970
Ord Community limited benefit facilities	3,318,000	4,300,000	0.77	190
Ord Community General Benefit Capacity Charge		•	\$5.06	\$1,260
Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge All costs assigned to future development are funded e	equally by dev	elopment	18 men onter state and a state of the state	galtalinens and decomposed of a pyrous
Existing water system facilities	\$1,278,000	5,700,000	\$0.22	\$60
Existing District capital improvements	1,000,000	5,700,000	0.18	40
Ord Community general benefit facilities	22,183,000	5,700,000	3.89	970
Ord Community limited benefit facilities	3,318,000	4,300,000	0.77	190
Ord Community special benefit facilities	2,974,000	4,300,000	0.69	<u>170</u>
Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge	· · ·	,	\$5.75	\$1,440
Ord Community Uniform Capacity Charge with Re Special benefit costs are not shared uniformily and ar			rrangements	
Existing water system facilities	\$1,278,000	5,700,000	\$0.22	\$60
Existing District capital improvements	1,000,000	5,700,000	0.18	40
Ord Community general benefit facilities	22,183,000	5,700,000	3.89	970
Ord Community limited benefit facilities	3,318,000	4,300,000	0.77	<u>190</u>
Ord Comm. Uniform Capacity Charge w/ Reimburs	ement		\$5.06	\$1,260
Average additional reimbursement	2,974,000	4,300,000	\$0.69	\$170

Average additional reimbursement (amount would vary for each development)

.

Source: Prepared by Bartle Wells Associates from District informantion.

### TABLE 9

### Marina Coast Water District - Ord Community WATER RATE MODEL AND FINANCIAL PLAN (FORA PROJECTIONS) ORD COMMUNITY WATER RATE MODEL

Inputs	3	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/010	2010/11
Beginning Year	2.00	4						فالمتعاجم والمتعاجم	
Input O&M Expenses (click right)				مين مين مين مين مينين . مسترين مين مين مين ميني ميني ميني ميني ميني	م المراجع المر المراجع المراجع				19 C - 19
Payments to FORA (click right)			بتوجيب ويتعاد ويتعاد ويتعاد والمتعاد المتعاد المتعاد المتعاد المتعاد المتعاد المتعاد المتعاد المتعاد المتعاد ال	المرونية المرونية المسترينية (1997). المرونية المرونية المسترينية (1997). المرونية المرونية المرونية المرونية المرونية المرونية المرونية المرونية ال			د مرد و من منطق مشار مشار مشار و من منطق می در مسلود است از در مراجع این مسلوم ا	بالمعهد أتمسو مردعاتهم	ا میں ایک ایک کی ایک کی کر ایک کی کر ایک کی کر ایک کی کر
Fort Ord CIP - pay-as-you-go		The section	TREASE CON	0.5	200- (a 7- <b>0</b> 5-	er	0		1 O
Fort Ord CIP - bond financed	18	2,671,190	3,327,000	-15,595,000	7,695,000	4-18,068,000	19,747,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
Revenue adjustment		245367	154,230	S. Street 0:-<		2.0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	وعيدية بالميدية مخصوصة والمعينية	Status state	
Escalation factor @	P 824-1939	6 1.00	1.03	1.06	1,09	1.13	1.16	1,19	1.23
Interest earnings rate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			د میتر کردند محرک در منابع میتر مرکز مید. مرکز میتر کردند مرکز میتر میتر میتر میتر میتر میتر میتر می	بساجيرا وتركي فأتته يبلد لابتديها				
Bond Interest earning rate					میں میں ایک میں ایک میں کا		ويسترجع والمعادية والمرجع والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والم		
Bond term (years)	34/22/6-20	<b>New Service</b>	مېرونې ورونې و د د ورونې	المسمرة المتحقق والمجر	يشجر ويسترجع ومعتدي				
Quarter bonds are issued	Description of the	and the second second	الموجعة المرتبي المستشيمة بما المرتبة المرتبة . الموجعة المرتبة المرتبة المرتبة المحاصرة المر			ار موجع معلم ومار بالمع مرجع مرجع مرجع مرجع مرجع مع مرجعها المعهدية المرجع مرجع مرجع مرجع مرجع م	ور میدود. مرکز میکرد و دست میکرد و می	1	- Andre Innige
Flat rate EDUs (2003/04)	2,746	2,716	1,466	1,466	1,466	1,466	1,466	1,466	1,466
Metered EDUs (2003/04)	2,700	2,720	2,783	3,693	4,876	6,146	6,836	7,406	7,641
Total customers	5,416	5,436	4,249 🔏	5,159	6,342	7,612	8,302	8,872	9,107
New flat rate. EDUs per year		States in the second	(1,250)	and the second second second	er see een se		Sector Sector	a an	CONTRACTOR -
New metered EDUs per year.		20	63 - 5	910	1.183	1.270	590	570	235
Metered water usage (Enter Acre FL)	850	370,260	378,836	502,710	663,746	836,624	930;551	1,008,142	1,040,131
Portion of usage billed in tier 1	50%		file light a gran good		an a she being a	مراجع والمتحاط والمتحاط		Sale in	No the second
Portion of usage billed in tier 2	30%								
Portion of usage billed in tier 3	20%		والمجتمع والتوكور والمتحقي					م از مار المعرب ما ما الم مع المعرب من المعرف ما م الريسة المعرب من معرف ما معرف ما مع المعرف ما	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Unmetered water usage (Enter Acre Ft.)	0 1 395	607,662	327,994	327,994	327,994	327,994	327,994	327,994	-327,994
		Profession States	and the second second				a The second second	ي المحمد المناجعة المحمد ا	S. Low Eller
Charges						Constant of the	5		(1946) - F.F.
Volume charge - base rate	\$12:50	12.50	12,50	12.50	12.50	1250	12.50	12.50	12,50
Increase applied to base rate		\$ \$0.00	\$0,00	\$0.00	\$0,00	\$0.00	\$0,00	\$0:00.	2 \$0.00
Volume charge - 1st tier	\$1:00	100	1.00	S	2,2002+	1:00	100	1.00	1.00
Increase applied to tier 1		\$0.00	5. SO.00-15	\$0.00	50.00	S0.00	S0.00	\$0:00	\$0,00
Volume charge - 2nd tier	\$1:69	ET	1,69	P.69	1.69		1.69-1.2	1.69	1.69
Increase applied to tier 2	ا مذ	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.007	S0:00 -	\$0.00	- \$0:00 ····	
Volume charge - 3rd tier	\$0.00		2.38	238	2.38	238	2382-3	238	238
Increase applied to tier 3	a dia	\$ \$0.00	\$2.38	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1	a \$0.00
Flate rate charge			\$67.72	\$67.42		\$\$\$\$80.38	\$\$107.00 ×	\$102:14	\$109.04
Capital component charge	×37\$070	0.70	Sec. 1,55	1542	1.48	2.25	12 13 TL	3.44	3.82
Equalization charge	\$210.00	.210		734 <b>594</b> 2 (C	842	Sec. 1 073	1,417	1.978	2,496
Available Funds	·····	<u> </u>	ئەن بەركەش - بەرتى	<u>,</u>	÷.	Administration (Administration)	- <u> </u>	4.1	<u>``_```</u>
			054 000 4	177 AAA1 -	(000.000) *	1414.0000 -	<u></u>	1 740 000 0	
Beginning Year Balance End Balance	\$ -	\$ - \$	354,000 \$.	(75,000) \$	(262,000) 5	(114,000) \$	573,000 \$		3,025,000
		\$ 354,000 \$			2 (114,000) s	573,000 \$	1,749,000 \$		4,416,000
Debt service coverage		2.58	0.15	0,90	1206	1.17	1.21	1.22	1.24

2

.

BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES F:Vobs\client/#Ifolder\conn chg alls rev 21.xlsT9 Water rate model6/13/2005,4:45 PM

Metered rate Metered volume Capital charge components Equalization charge Other fees and charges Interest earnings Bond proceeds Total Expenses Operating Expenses Administration Operation and maintenance Laboratory Conservation Engineering	1,132,000 408,000 373,000 676,000 25,000 25,000 2,671,190 \$5,289,000 453,000 991,000 106,000		354,000 778,000 417,000 562,000 900,910 (398,910) 25,000 9,000 <u>3,327,000</u> \$5,620,000 483,408 905,547 135,757		(75,000) 775,000 554,000 746,000 541,000 25,000 11,266,000 541,000 25,000 \$19,502,000 \$19,502,000	\$	(262,000) 763,000 731,000 984,000 1,455,000 986,000 25,000 512,649,000 513,000	<b>*</b>	(114,000) 924,000 922,000 1,241,000 2,601,000 1,362,000 25,000 18,066,000 \$25,143,000	s	573,000 1,230,000 1,025,000 1,380,000 4,638,000 977,000 25,000 14,000 19,747,000 \$25,036,000	\$		\$ \$	3,025,000 1,253,000 1,146,000 1,543,000 5,196,000 587,000 25,000 76,000 <u>1,000,000</u> \$10,826,000
Flat rate accounts (not incl cap charge)       \$         Metered rate       Metered rate         Metered rate       Metered volume         Capital charge components       Equalization charge         Other fees and charges       Interest earnings         Bond proceeds	408,000 373,000 676,000 4,000 25,000 25,000 2,671,190 55,289,000 453,000 991,000 106,000		417,000 562,000 900,910 (398,910) 25,000 9,000 <u>3,327,000</u> \$5,620,000 483,408 905,547		554,000 746;000 1,266,000 541,000 25,000 519,502,000 \$19,502,000		731,000 984,000 1,455,000 996,000 25,000 <u>7,695,000</u> \$12,649,000	ţ.	922,000 1,241,000 2,601,000 1,362,000 25,000 18,068,000		1,025,000 1,380,000 4,638,000 977,000 25,000 14,000 <u>19,747,000</u>		1,111,000 1,495,000 4,571,000 1,128,000 25,000 44,000 1,000,000		1,146,000 1,543,000 5,196,000 587,000 25,000 76,000 1,000,000
Metered rate         Metered volume         Capital charge components         Equalization charge         Other fees and charges         Interest earnings         Bond proceeds         Total         Expenses         Operating Expenses         Administration         Soperation         Laboratory         Conservation         Engineering         Capital improvement projects         Debt service         Payment to FORA	408,000 373,000 676,000 4,000 25,000 25,000 2,671,190 55,289,000 453,000 991,000 106,000		417,000 562,000 900,910 (398,910) 25,000 9,000 <u>3,327,000</u> \$5,620,000 483,408 905,547		554,000 746;000 1,266,000 541,000 25,000 519,502,000 \$19,502,000		731,000 984,000 1,455,000 996,000 25,000 <u>7,695,000</u> \$12,649,000	ţ.	922,000 1,241,000 2,601,000 1,362,000 25,000 18,068,000		1,025,000 1,380,000 4,638,000 977,000 25,000 14,000 <u>19,747,000</u>		1,111,000 1,495,000 4,571,000 1,128,000 25,000 44,000 1,000,000		1,146,000 1,543,000 5,196,000 587,000 25,000 76,000 1,000,000
Metered volume Capital charge components Equalization charge Other fees and charges Interest earnings Bond proceeds Total Expenses Operating Expenses Administration S Operation and maintenance Laboratory Conservation Engineering Capital improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	373,000 676,000 4,000 25,000 2, <u>671,190</u> 55;283,000 453,000 991,000 106,000	 \$	562,000 900,910 (398,910) 25,000 9,000 3,327,000 \$5,620,000 483,406 905,547	•	748,000 1,266,000 541,000 25,000 <u>15,595,000</u> \$19,502,000		984,000 1,455,000 996,000 25,000 <u>7,695,000</u> \$12,649,000		1,241,000 2,601,000 1,362,000 25,000 18,066,000	_	1,380,000 4,638,000 977,000 25,000 14,000 19,747,000	<u>,                                     </u>	1,495,000 4,571,000 1,128,000 25,000 44,000 1,000,000		1,543,000 5,196,000 587,000 25,000 76,000 1,000,000
Capital charge components Equalization charge Other fees and charges Interest earnings Bond proceeds	576,000 4,000 25,000 2, <u>871,190</u> \$5,289,000 453,000 991,000 106,000	 \$	900,910 (398,910) 25,000 9,000 <u>3,327,000</u> \$5,620,000 483,406 905,547	•	1,266,000 541,000 25,000 15,595,000 \$19,502,000 498,000		1,455,000 995,000 25,000 <u>7,695,000</u> \$12,649,000		2,601,000 1,362,000 25,000 18,066,000	_	4,638,000 977,000 25,000 14,000 19,747,000	,;	4,571,000 1,128,000 25,000 44,000 1,000,000		5,196,000 587,000 25,000 76,000 1,000,000
Equalization charge Other fees and charges Interest earnings Bond proceeds Total Expenses Operating Expenses Administration \$ Operation and maintenance Laboratory Conservation Engineering Capital Improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	4,000 25,000 2,071,190 \$5,289,000 453,000 991,000 106,000	\$	(398,910) 25,000 9,000 <u>3,327,000</u> \$5,620,000 \$5,620,000 483,406 905,547	•	541,000 25,000 15,595,000 \$19,502,000 498,000		998,000 25,000 <u>7,695,000</u> \$12,649,000		1,362,000 25,000 18,068,000	_	977,000 25,000 14,000 19,747,000		1,128,000 25,000 44,000 1,000,000		587,000 25,000 76,000 1,000,000
Other fees and charges Interest earnings Bond proceeds Total Expenses Operating Expenses Administration S Operation and maintenance Laboratory Conservation Engineering Capital improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	25,000 2,671,190 35;289,000 453,000 991,000 106,000	\$	25,000 9,000 <u>3,327,000</u> \$5,620,000 483,406 905,547	•	25,000 15,595,000 \$19,502,000 498,000		25,000 7,695,000 \$12,849,000		25,000 18,068,000	_	25,000 14,000 19,747,000	<u>,</u>	25,000 44,000 1,000,000		25,000 76,000 1,000,000
Interest earnings Bond proceeds	2.671,190 \$5,289,000 453,000 991,000 106,000	\$	9,000 <u>3,327,000</u> \$5,620,000 483,408 905,547	•	<u>15,595,000</u> \$19,502,000 498,000		7,695,000 \$12,649,000		18,068,000	_	14,000 19,747,000		44,000 1,000,000		76,000 1,000,000
Bond proceeds	\$5,289,000 453,000 991,000 106,000	\$	3,327,000 \$5,620,000 483,406 905,547	•	\$19,502,000		\$12,649,000		18,068,000	_	19,747,000	,;	1,000,000		1.000,000
Total	\$5,289,000 453,000 991,000 106,000	\$	\$5,620,000 483,406 905,547	•	\$19,502,000		\$12,649,000			_					1.000,000
Expenses Operating Expenses Administration S Operation and maintenance Laboratory Conservation Engineering Capital Improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	453,000 991,000 106,000	\$	483,406 905,547	•	498,000				\$25,143,000	_					
Operating Expenses Administration S Operation and maintenance Laboratory Conservation Engineering Capital improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	991,000 106,000	\$	905,547	\$	• • • •	\$	513.000								
Administration \$ Operation and maintenance Laboratory Conservation Engineering Capital improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	991,000 106,000	\$	905,547	\$	• • • •	\$	513.000								
Operation and maintenance Laboratory Conservation Engineering Capital improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	991,000 106,000	\$	905,547	\$	• • • •	\$	513,000								
Laboratory Conservation Engineering Capital improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	106,000				033.000			Э	528,000	\$	544,000	\$	560,000	5	577,000
Conservation Engineering Capital improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA			135,757		000,000		961,000		990,000		1,020,000		1,051,000		1,083,000
Engineering Capital improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	and an and a second second				140,000 .	÷.,	144,000		148,000		152,000		157,000		162,000
Capital improvement projects Debt service Payment to FORA	63,000		137,445	,	142,000		146,000		150,000		155,000		160,000		165,000
Debt service Payment to FORA	129,000		240,267		247,000	· .	254,000	d,	262,000		270,000		278,000		286.000
Payment to FORA	2,671,190		3,327,000		15,595,000	· .	7,695,000	v	18,068,000		19,747,000		1,000,000		1,000,000
	224,000		502,000		1,807,000		2,451,000		3,963,000	•	5,615,000		5,699,000	•	5,783,000
Reim. to land use agency (5% of OR)															
	124,000		132,896		137,000		141,000		145,000		149,000		153,000		158,000
FORA Admin/Liaison fees	25,000		25,000		26,000		27,000		28,000		29,000		30,000		31,000
Reim, to FORA (5% of OR)	124,000		132,896		137,000		141,000		145,000		149,000		153,000		158,000
Mmbrshp on FORA BOD (1% of OR)	25,000	1	26,579		27,000	<u> </u>	28,000		29,000		30,000		31,000	_	32,000
Total \$	4,935,000	\$	6,049,000	\$	19,689,000	\$	12,501,000	\$	24,456,000	S	27,860,000	\$	9,272,000	\$	9,435,000
Net revenue \$	354,000	\$	(429,000)	\$	(187,000)	\$	148,000	\$	687,000	5	1,176,000	\$	1,276,000	\$	1,391,000
Ending balance \$	354,000	\$	(75,000)	\$	(262,000)	\$	(114,000)	\$	573,000	\$	1,749,000	\$	3,025,000	\$	4,416,000
Capital Component Excess \$	452,000	\$	· -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Operating Revenue Excess \$	(98,000)	\$	(429,000)	\$	(187,000)	\$	148,000	\$	687,000	\$	1,176,000	\$	1,276,000	\$	1,391,000

BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES

F:\Jobs\client\#\folder\conn chg alts rev 21\_xisT9 Water rate model6/13/2005;4:45 PM

### TABLE 10

Marina Coast Water District - Ord Community WASTEWATER RATE MODEL AND FINANCIAL PLAN (FORA PROJECTIONS) ORD COMMUNITY WASTEWATER RATE: MODEL

nputs	1	2003/0	4.	2004/0	5 200	/06	2006/07	2007/	08 2008/	09 2009/0	10	2010/1
Seginning Year	1905							e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			and the second	3
nput Expenses (click right)	L		3.3			a de la companya de La companya de la comp	مانین و مدینه کرد. مراجع			Startes and Startes		
nterest earnings rate	2.00%									کند او در	م المراجع مي المراجع ا معام المراجع الم	
Bond interest earning rate	6.00%		1.1.5			مور شرعه معربی می مرد می معربی می می				میلود کرد کرد. در در مرد میلود کرد کرد کرد کرد در در محمود میلود کرد میلود کرد		و میں میں در در میں شو
Bond term (years)	20									مي و المراجع ا محمد المراجع ال	معتاقها وأوتيا	Sec. 7.
EDUs (2003/04)	4.723	4,723		4,785	5,6	96	6,879	8,14	9 8,83	9,40	9	9,644
New EDUs per year	12/10/17/1	20	1. 21	E 63		10	<b>7</b> 1183	1,27	0,69	10 57	0	235
Escalation factor @	3%	1.00		1.03		06	1.09	7.1	3 4.1	6 1.1	3	1.23
Annual revenue adjustment		-10200		\$7,000	Sector Contractor		1.1.2				بار از این از این از این از ا	
ort Ord CIP - bond financed		1.013,394		3,330,000	4,157.0	00, 3,	032,000	9,820,00	6,118,00	1,000,00	7.1.1	00,000
Debt Assumptions						منام منظمة من الأستاري				مرد بالمرد المرد الم مرد المرد		
Juarter bonds are issued	1		4.6						88. S.		دو او میشود. مرد میشود با ا	1. 1. j.
Issuance factor	1.00	store the				مار شده بر میکند. رو از معرف با دیک	-2-5					و موجود ورون در در مرد ا
ort Ord CIP - pay-as-you-go	0.2		14.2		327.0	-92.35	19			N 194 1622	1.51	1
lat rate increase	323	0%		17%	1	3%	3%		16 P. 1	% ÷ 0	6	
	•							-				
harges (% increase click right)												
	11.00	and the same in the set of the	5	29. 2.12,87-		265	13.65	\$10.B	s کې 16:0	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	4	-16.00
ionthiy capital charge-S/EDU	6,00	6.00		28:39		0	6.92		H 210-18-5			20.07
		6.00	<u> </u>	6.41	7.	متكتبة ملغان أجت	5.92	in=13;20	18.6	2 17.78		20.07
otal monthly charge - \$/EDU		47.00	-	21.26	20,		3 20,57	29.04	34.6	2		36:07
nnual equalization charge-S/EDU	t09.00	\$ 109.00	25	181.00	\$	0. \$	366.84	-5. 449.85	\$ 608.2	8- \$834.72	S. 1,	045.13
A STATE OF STREET, STR												
vailable Funds							•	· · ·				
eginning balance												
ess incumbrances												
otal available funds	the second s	\$ -	\$	204,398	\$ 265,57		63,574		and the second second			
nding balance	1	\$ 204,398		265,574	\$ 463,57				S_2,620,574			
ebt service coverage		3:32	<u></u>	1,16	1.2	1	- 1.40	1,43	1.40	) 1.42		1.43
										• .		
		Budget			-				2008/09	00001040		
evenues		2003/04		2004/05	2005/06	.200	6/07	2007/08	2008/09-	2009/010	2010	9111
at rate revenue	9	\$ 623.000	×	749,000	\$ 906.00		27,000	\$ 1,549,000	\$ 1.697.000	\$ 1.806.000	\$ 1.85	51.000
at rate revenue	•	238,000	Ŷ	368,000	485,00		71,000	1,291,000	1,975,000			3,000
jualization charge		2,000		11,000	258.00		34,000	571,000	420,000			6.000
and proceeds		1.013.394		3,330,000	4,157,00		32,000	9,820,000	6,118,000			0,000
ther		25,000		25,000	25,00		25,000	25,000	25,000			5,000
lerest earnings				4,000	5,00		9,000	17,000	33,000			3,000
									\$ 10,268,000	\$ 5,365,000		8,000

3

5

.

Expenses

BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES F: Jobs/client/Wolder/conn chg alts rev 21.xisT10 WW rate model6/13/2005,4:45 PM

Administration Operation and maintenance	\$ 183,500 293,385	\$	184,913 337,798	\$	190,000 348,000	\$	196,000 358,000	\$	202,000 369,000	\$	208,000 380,000	\$	214,000 391,000	\$	220,000 403,000	#DIV/01	#DIV/01
Lab	-		-				+.		-		•		-		-		
Construction	-		-		-				-		•				-		
Engineering	74,617		138,263		142,000		146,000		150,000		155,000		160,000		165,000		
Payback of existing internal loans	-		.4		-		-		. # .		-		-		•		
CIP - funded by bonds	1,013,394		3,330,000		4,157,000		3,032,000		9,820,000		6,118,000	-	1,000,000		1,000,000		
CIP - pay-as-you-go	-		-		-		-				+		•		-		
Debt service.	88,000		379,000		741,000		1,005,000		1,862,000		2,395,000		2,482,000	1	\$2,569,000		
Sewer franchise	 44,100	مىنىك	55,850	-	58,000		60,000	<u> </u>	62,000	~	64,000	منطق	66,000	-	68,000		
Total	\$ 1,696,996	\$	4,425,824	\$	5,636,000	\$	4,797,000	\$	12,465,000	\$	9,320,000	5	4,313,000	\$	4,425,000		
Net revenue	\$ 204,398	\$	61,176	\$	198,000	\$	401,000	\$	808,000	\$	948,000	\$	1,052,000	\$	1,093,000		
Ending balance	\$ 204,398	\$	265,574	\$	463,574	\$	854,574	\$	1,672,574	\$	2,620,574	\$	3,672,574	\$	4,785,574		
Capital Component Excess	152,000		-		-		-		-		*		-		-		
Operating Revenue Excess	\$ 52,398	\$	61.176	\$	198,000	S	401,000	\$	808,000	\$	948,000	S	1,052,000	\$	1,093,000		
and the second se																	

±

Source: Prepared by Bartle Wells Associates.

EARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES F:Uobs\client\#tokden\conn chg alts rev 21.xtsT10 WW rate model5/13/2005.4:45 PM

# Table 11Marina Coast Water District - Ord CommunitySummary of Water Capital Revenue Alternatives

Baseline Capital component charge	Varies annually between \$0.70 and \$3.82 per hof* of monthly water consumption.									
	Cost - \$92 to \$504 per year for 11 hof of monthly water consumption.									
Equalization charge	\$210 to \$	s annually from 2,496. One-time t time of connection,								
General Benefit Capacity Charge	\$7,340	per acre-foot								
	\$2,202	for an avèrage home using 0.3 acre-feet								
Uniform Capacity Charge	\$9,710	per acre-foot								
	\$2,913	for an average home using 0.3 acre-feet								
Uniform Capacity Charge with	\$7,340	per acre-foot								
Reimbursement	\$2,202	for an average home using 0.3 acre-feet								
Additional Reimbursement	\$2,370	per acre-foot								
	\$711	for an average home using 0.3 acre-feet								

\* - Hundred cubic feet Source: Prepared by Bartle Wells Associates

# Table 12Marina Coast Water District - Ord CommunitySummary of Wastewater Capital Revenue Alternatives

a second and a second		and the second							
Baseline									
Capital component charge	Varies annually between \$6.00 and \$29.75 per month for an average homeowner								
	Cost - \$72	to \$357 per year							
Equalization charge	Increases annually from \$109 to \$1,045. One-time charge at time of connection.								
General Benefit Capacity Charge	\$1,260	for an average home							
Uniform Capacity Charge	\$1,440	for an average home							
Uniform Capacity Charge with Reimbursement	\$1,260	for an average home							
Additional Reimbursement	\$170	for an average home							

Source: Prepared by Bartle Wells Associates

### 45 . 17 . 63