

SEP 14 2008

CONNIE MAZZEI
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
DEPUTY

J. CEDILLO

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY

CITY OF MARINA, Petitioner,) Case No. M 41781) (Consolidated with M 41795)
v. BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, Respondents.)) STIPULATION TO DISCHARGE) PEREMPTORY WRIT OF) MANDATE; [PRODUCED] ORDER) Assignment for all purposes:
FORT ORD REUSE AUTHORITY, Petitioner, v.	Honorable Robert O'Farrell))
BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,)
Respondents.	_)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

STIPULATION

Whereas, in May 1998, the Board of Trustees of the California State University ("CSU" or "Trustees") approved a campus master plan for CSU Monterey Bay ("CSUMB");

Whereas, the City of Marina ("Marina") and Fort Ord Reuse Authority ("FORA") thereafter brought action challenging the environmental impact report ("EIR") prepared for the CSUMB master plan;

Whereas, on May 11, 2007, following the California Supreme Court's decision in *City of Marina*, et al. v. Board of Trustees (2006) 39 Cal.4th 341 ("City of Marina"), the Court issued a peremptory writ of mandate ("writ") directing that CSU take those steps necessary to comply with *City of Marina*;

Whereas, CSUMB prepared a new EIR for a revised campus master plan, and CSU has certified the EIR and approved the revised master plan ("2007 Campus Master Plan");

Whereas, CSU now seeks an order discharging the writ; and,

Whereas, FORA and Marina seek certain clarifications with respect to the new EIR;

CSU, FORA, and Marina (collectively, the "Parties") hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. By its resolution approving the CSUMB 2007 Campus Master Plan, the CSU
 Trustees directed that:
 - (i) CSUMB take all measures to ensure that the campus trip counts do not exceed the mitigation threshold of 4,361 additional trips over the baseline traffic level;
 - (ii) CSUMB prepare annual reports on the increase in average daily trips generated by the campus. Based on this data, the Chancellor will report the campus trip counts to the Trustees annually; and,
 - (iii) To the extent CSUMB vehicle trips draw near the mitigation threshold, the Trustees shall take measures to freeze trip generation below the mitigation threshold, including, but not limited to, requiring CSUMB to decrease impacts by increasing transportation demand management ("TDM") measures or, if that cannot be achieved, by limiting development. The Parties construe the term "near" as contained in this paragraph to mean within 5% of the mitigation threshold.

- 2. In the Fall 2008, CSUMB generated approximately 8,550 trips per day from off-campus to on-campus and from on-campus to off-campus. This number was determined by a combination of methodologies, including traffic tube counts and on-campus observational studies. That number is the baseline traffic level against which future increases in campus traffic will be measured.
- 3. In the event CSU determines, based on the CSUMB annual traffic reports, that CSUMB trips will exceed the mitigation threshold of 4,361 additional trips within the upcoming year, CSU will undertake further environmental review, consistent with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code §21000 et seq., ("CEQA") to assess the environmental impacts associated with such additional trips prior to exceeding said threshold.
- 4. Consistent with the Trustees resolution approving the CSUMB 2007 Campus Master Plan, CSUMB will:
 - (i) Adopt a TDM plan referred to in section 1(iii) to reduce vehicle trips; report annual traffic increases to the Chancellor, FORA, and Marina; and,
 - (ii) Seek approval from the Trustees to exceed the threshold of 4,361 additional trips,
 - (iii) Report to the Chancellor, FORA, and Marina any measures or modifications made to the TDM plan to address an increase in trip levels.

CSUMB's above commitment shall be construed as an enforceable mitigation measure under Public Resources Code §21081.6.

- 5. Additional mitigation measure 11-5.1, adopted by the Trustees May 13, 2009, as part of its approval of the 2007 CSUMB Campus Master Plan, does not preclude CSUMB from making direct payments to Monterey Salinas Transit in connection with programs developed as part of the TDM plan to be implemented pursuant to the MOU entered into between FORA and CSU.
- 6. The Statement of Overriding Considerations ("SOC") adopted by the Trustees May 13, 2009 relative to its approval of the CSUMB 2007 Campus Master Plan applies to the significant unavoidable impacts to: (i) on-campus roadways; (ii) off-campus transit facilities; and

(iii) off-campus water supply infrastructure facilities. The SOC does not apply to impacts relative to off-campus traffic impacts. As previously noted, the Trustees determined that to the extent CSUMB vehicle trips draw near (i.e., within 5% of) the 4,361 mitigation threshold, trip generation shall be frozen below the threshold. As a result, the 2007 CSUMB Campus Master Plan will not result in potentially significant impacts to off-campus roadways.

7. Prior to the commencement of development of Phase II of the North Campus Faculty/Staff housing, CSU shall request from the Legislature through the state budget process its fair-share of the costs to implement the Regional Urban Water Augmentation Project ("RUWAP"), determined to be \$1,347,530. [See additional mitigation measure 7-2.2, adopted by the Trustees on May 13, 2009]

If the Legislature denies CSU's initial funding request, CSU shall:

- (i) Resubmit the request during the following CSU budget cycle, and
- (ii) Continue to resubmit the request annually until the funding is appropriated or until the Marina Coast Water District ("MCWD") commences construction of the RUWAP (or its replacement project), whichever comes first.

If the Legislature has not funded CSUMB's \$1,347,530 fair-share costs when MCWD commences construction of the RUWAP or its replacement project, CSUMB shall seek authority from the Trustees to engage in discussions with representatives of FORA and Marina regarding the availability of alternative funding sources, if any, for CSU's \$1,347,530 fair-share costs. CSUMB may seek CSU authorization to engage in such discussions prior to the time of commencement of construction of the RUWAP or its alternate project. The Parties acknowledge that the determination of the availability of any such alternative funding sources shall be consistent with state and federal law, the California Supreme Court's ruling in *City of Marina* and its progeny.

8. CSU shall request funding from the Legislature for its remaining fair-share of the costs to prepare the Habitat Conservation Plan ("HCP") for the former Fort Ord. That sum is expected to be \$47,800. The costs to manage the CSU Borderlands property are expected to be \$4,784.91 annually. [See additional mitigation measure 8-1.1, adopted by the Trustees May 13, 2009].

If the Legislature denies CSU's initial funding request, CSU shall:

(i) Resubmit the request during the following CSU budget cycle, and

(ii) Continue to resubmit the request annually until the funding is appropriated

or until the HCP is completed and executed by all parties thereto, whichever comes first.

If the Legislature has not appropriated the funding at the time the HCP is executed, CSU shall seek authority from the Trustees to engage in discussions with representatives of FORA and Marina regarding the availability of alternative funding sources, if any, for CSU's one-time payment of \$47,800 and its annual payments of \$4,784.91. The Parties acknowledge that the determination of the availability of any such alternative funding sources shall be consistent with state and federal laws, the *City of Marina* and its progeny.

- 9. The Parties request that the Court discharge the writ.
- 10. If any party or its legally recognized successor in interest contends that another party has breached this Stipulation and Order, the party contending breach shall give the other party/parties written notice thereof. Within thirty (30) days of delivery of such notice, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith to try to resolve the dispute. If the dispute is not resolved through the meet and confer process within sixty (60) days of the initial written notice of breach, the Parties shall submit the matter to non-binding mediation or some other form of non-binding Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR"), to be agreed upon by all Parties. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the appropriate ADR forum, the matter shall be submitted to non-binding mediation. The mediator shall be selected by mutual agreement. If the dispute is not resolved through ADR within nine (9) months of the initial written notice of breach, any party may file a judicial proceeding in the Superior Court of California, County of Monterey, to enforce this Stipulation. In that event, all parties waive discovery. The intent of the Parties in drafting this provision is that any dispute arising under this Stipulation be resolved by the least expensive and prompt means possible.
- 11. By executing this Stipulation, the signatories warrant that he or she has the legal authority to do so.

///

1									
1	For CSU								
2	G + 1 2 2000	T M. C.							
3	September $2, 2009$	James Main Vice President for Administration & Finance California State University, Monterey Bay							
4		By: Janue E Mai							
5		James Main							
7	Approved as to Form and Substance	Michael S. Haberkorn Gatzke Dillon & Balance LLP							
8		Attorneys for Respondent Board of Trustees of the California State University							
9									
10		By: San San							
11		Michael S. Haberkorn							
12		Andrea M. Gunn Office of General Counsel							
13		The California State University, Office of the							
14		Chancellor							
15		Attorneys for Respondent Board of Trustees of the California State University							
16 17		By: Andrea M. Gunn							
18		Andrea W. Guin							
19	For FORA								
20	September <u>2</u> , 2009	Ralph Rubio Chair							
21		Fort Ord Reuse Authority							
22		(101/1/1.							
23		By: Muliu Ralph Rubio							
24		Ampir Ruoto							
25	Approved as to Form and Substance	Sheri L. Damon Damon Law Offices							
26									
27		Attorneys for Petitioner Fort Ord Reuse Authority							
28		By Alle Falance Sheri L. Damon							
- 1									

(n-----

-

1	For City of Marina	
2	September <u>/</u> , 2009	The Honorable Bruce Delgado
3		Mayor City of Marina
4		
5		Bruce Delgado
6	A	
7	Approved as to Form and Substance	Kenneth D. Buchert Wellington Law Offices
8		Attorneys for Petitioner City of Marina
9		12xR
10		By Kenneth D. Buchert
11		
12		,
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
1		
26 27		
71	I	

ORDER

	In ligh	t of th	e stipulation	entered	into	among	the 1	parties,	and	for	good	cause	shown,	the
Court	nereby o	orders t	that the peren	nptory w	rit of	f mandat	e iss	ued Ma	y 11.	, 200	07, be	discha	arged.	

Dated:

SEP 1 4 2009

KAY KINGSLEY

Judge of the Monterey County Superior Court

A 3.5