### Haffa's original motion 1:56:13

So I guess... I'm comfortable with combined ESCA LRA but I hear the concerns of my colleagues and I really would appreciate getting something approved tonight rather than having a second vote. So what I'm wondering I guess for authority counsel. Can we add something to the agreement, say some kind of a limitation of authority clause that would then add the LRA shall not unreasonably withhold transfer of conveyance. On that term ...to not withhold unreasonably is something that City of Monterey has seen and a number of our lease agreements and I think it gives ... It would give all of the entities a certain confidence. But I am just wondering if that is something that we can add agreement. What your thoughts on that are?

#### Gail "substitute motion" 2:08:44:

"...so I'd like to make a substitute motion that we move forward with the empowering of the ESCA transferring for Seaside to be the Successor in FORA's stead with regard to ESCA...and also with regard to any remaining transfers excepting...that they would step into the place of FORA as the Successor to accept, distribute, transfer title to deeds and amendments that are not accomplished prior to June 30, 2020. That's my motion."

#### Jane 2:36:25

"So the motion before us is to approve the nomination of Seaside as the Successor to FORA for ESCA and for the EDC agreement as it relates to the federal property obligations solely and that the language for that EDC ... stuff will come back next month so we can actually see what it says." (2<sup>nd</sup> motion)

# Mary Adams requests Haffa motion language 2:39:47 and Jane provides:

"Basically, to allow all provisions of the EDC to be transferred to Seaside ... and we don't know what that is...and we don't know what that means...that's where I'm not comfortable with that..."

## **Jane summary 2:40:56:**

"So I think for staff what's being requested is that when we come...back with this next month that the language in the EDC agreement that was approved on that vote for the property obligations that that be before us so that we know what we're talking about. And it probably should be the document in redline so that we can see or highlighted or something so that we can see what it is."