

Draft FY 18-19 Capital Improvement Program Review

Jonathan Brinkmann Principal Planner May 2, 2018

Overview - CIP Review



- Building Removal
- Draft CIPDocument





Building Removal



Strategy: Remove all of the remaining buildings

- What is a cost estimate for removing the remaining buildings?
- 2. How much funding could FORA obtain if it bonded its future property taxes?

Building Removal Estimate



Seaside Surplus	I (Remaining	10 buildings)
	\ <i>J</i>	<i>J</i> /

Marina Cypress Knolls

Marina Arts District, TAMC, MST

Marina City Park in Dunes Specific Plan

County Ammo Supply Point

Administration (5 years)

(\$10 M)

+ **(\$14 M)**

+ **(\$8 M)**

+ (\$10 M)

+ (\$2 M)

<u>+ (\$4.8M)</u>

Building Removal Estimate

(\$48.8 M)

Bond FORA Property Taxes



Rough estimate - FORA could bond its property taxes for \$31 million

Assumptions:

- 30-year General Obligation Bond annual yields are at 3.65%
- 2. A conservative 5% interest rate with issuance fees
- 3. Annual payments of \$2 million on \$31 million debt
- 30-year payoff results in \$29 million in interest and \$31 million in principal

Analysis



Building Removal Estimate FORA Property Tax Bonding Funding Gap (\$48.8 M) + \$31 M

(\$17.8 M)

1.
Apply Land Sales
to fill funding
gap

2. Remove buildings to \$31 M limit 3.
Create revolving fund and target highest potential sites first

Analysis



1. Apply Land Sales to fill funding gap

*Land sales delays would delay building removal

*Board may need to prioritize building removal if not all funding is available

*Agreement needed for post-2020 land sales

2. Remove buildings to \$31 M limit

*Results in remaining building removal areas

*How to choose which building removal sites aren't funded?

*How to choose which buildings not to remove from the property?

3. Create revolving fund and target highest potential sites first

*Jurisdictions defer land sales until all buildings are removed

*More complex to administer, requiring funding oversight

*Agreement needed for post-2020 land sales

Draft CIP Document



- State Law and the 1997 Base Reuse Plan (BRP)
 Development & Resource Management Plan
 (DRMP) require FORA to complete a CIP
- Administrative Committee makes CIP recommendations to the FORA Board

 FORA Board may direct staff to make changes to the CIP prior to adoption

Board Report



- Summarizes Administrative Committee formation of CIP Taskforce to review potential CIP reprioritization and BRP housing cap
- Notes that Taskforce recommendations would be elevated to Administrative Committee and FORA Board

Lists significant CIP changes

Significant CIP Changes



- Construction funding for South Boundary Rd. and its intersection with General Jim Moore Blvd.
- Transit vehicle purchases of \$500,000
- Planning funding for Northeast-Southwest Connector (formerly Eastside Parkway)
- Planning funding to reimburse Marina for Del Monte Blvd. Extension
- Building removal funding for Seaside Surplus II and Marina Stockade

Building Removal & CIP



 What are the Committee's additional building removal recommendations?

 What are the Committee's Draft CIP document recommendations?

Questions?





